

Board Meeting Agenda

Feb 8-9, 2020, UC Irvine

Pacific Ballroom C

- Location can be found at <https://www.studentcenter.uci.edu/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/Directory-letter.pdf>

Notices:

- Make requests in advance for disability accommodations by contacting ed@ucsa.org.
- These meetings are public and recorded (either through video or audio).
- Request to add agenda items 10 days before the meeting at <https://ucsa.org/air/>.

Saturday Agenda (times are approximate):

10:00 AM (5 min)

Host Campus Welcome

- Happy Black History Month!
- Recognition of Acjachemen and Tongva Tribes

Dashay: Thanks for coming, happy Black History Month, support local black businesses. Recognize indigenous lands we're on today. Just take a moment of silence to respect those folks. Next 15 minutes, welcome members of the public to speak. Any members?

10:05 AM (15 min)

Public Comment

(none seen)

10:20 AM (5 min)

Roll Call; Adoption of the Agenda; Approval of Previous Minutes

Varsha: Mismatch between our agenda and the organizing training one- move 2 items from Sunday to today to get the campaign committee to line up.

Daevionne: CalPIRG is on their way here, so that has to stay on Sunday

Anais: Trying to get 40 minutes from tomorrow onto today- so move gender bias summit and maybe AB970?

Dashay: We can do that today. Do want to make a statement, we are eating Aramark food, it was the most feasible considering people's dietary restrictions, I didn't want to but it had to.

Johana: Is there an agenda item concerning testing

Dashay: Yes, that's today, the first item.

Johana: Can someone resend the item?

Daevionne: I can do Mauna Kea update. Gender bias will not take 15 minutes, but if we need to equal out the time

Anais: Made those comments, haven't been approved

Lauren: Move to add these

Varsha: Second

No objections seen

Dashay: Can someone move to change Salih's staff report to tomorrow

Lauren: So moved

Josh: Second

No objections

Dashay: Wifi is oit.uci.edu/mobile/registration/, and student center Wifi is anteaters.

Lauren: Move to approve the agenda

Josh: Second

No objections seen

Dashay: Approval of minutes- those are linked

Rojina: So moved

Varsha: Second

No objections seen

10:25 AM (5 min) Review Previous Meeting Action Items

Dashay [reads out each action items]

Johana: Is registration closed for Black Lobby Day?

Dashay: yes

Vincent: LDs will be responsible for scheduling those meetings, please let your LD know

Dashay: We will do Hailey's report to be mindful of time at this time

Johana: Question on OD tuition petition and signatures- some folx hear and make their own petitions, what am I supposed to do in those circumstances. Should I just forward a group to Ashraf.

Salih: Much stronger for one petition, so try to consolidate. If folks have already compiled emails, you can try to forward our petition to those that signed

Johana: Question- the endorsements are for our specific campus correct? Do I write that UCSA supports this resolution?

Dashay: yes

Daevionne: I was under the impression that I was just making a draft so I have that

Rojina: Regarding the \$20 mil ask, our team needs a little more supplementary materials to really make it informative and educate our spaces (foster youth and homeless students)

Daevionne: I don't even know where we'd find materials

Ashraf: I can put together a report

Dashay: Can we add for folx to reach out to any of their foster youth programs/clubs/coordinators, as well as for carceral-impacted folx.

Aidan: Sent out feedback form for rebranding, will have another call next week to see final revisions.

Rojina: We passed reso on Mauna Kea. Language should be in email I sent

Daevionne: Have agenda item set for it, will talk about it then

10:30 AM (25 min) Guest Reports (if present)

- [Council on Student Fees](#)

Not able to make it

- Representatives from UC Unions

Both patient and service care workers have settled after a 3 year fight. 99% of each unit voted yes to accept the contract, includes wage increases. ACA 14 is still on our list, contract language is almost the same as ACA 14 but if we don't have it by 2024 the UC will take everything away from the workers. Pension plans, health care, etc...

With ACA 14 since it's a constitutional amendment UC cant take it away from us. A few notes: we've banned the box (check box that says incarceration status) (part of the contract now and will try and ensure it always is) which would increase the number of POC in AFSCME and make it more accessible. UC does federal background checks on everyone, but this is harmful to undocumented workers.

Johana, Aidan, Josh: Convo about SLC advocacy, Parshan has been in contact with AFSCME policy director and will connect the Board with resources on how to best advocate during this time

- UC Office of the President

I didn't prepare any updates but I can give you updates on the structure of student affairs. Some may remember there was a group from UCLA, mothers of color in academia. We have grad and undergrad reps (some were nominated by UCSA), met in september for the first time and we're meeting again in two weeks. Workgroup members are interviewing grad students who are not student parents but doing research on student parent issues. Also interviewing student parents who aren't on the workgroup to highlight the needs for student parents and preliminary expectations on what the workgroup should focus on. Group is looking at lactation rooms, basic needs, equitable financial aid packages, and health care for dependents. Update on undocu students: UCOP convened some staff, faculty, admin to focus on career development and financial support for undocu students. We want to empower faculty and staff so we're showing ways for them to earn money without having to work. A group is creating a toolkit focused on entrepreneurship. They're also doing training webinars. One will focus on UC undocu students and alumni, including a panel of successful entrepreneurs with ways to earn money without working.

You all should have gotten a message about that webinar.

Later this fall there will be a research summit sponsored by UCOP, focused on undocu students to empower students, staff, faculty, policy makers on how to best address undocu student issues.

October at UCLA probs.

New policy program analyst and SDE, Claire from UCD. New positions will be created at ucop, director of student mental health and wellness. Don't know what the timeline is but interviews are underway. New director of student engagement is also coming. New initiative: Innovative Learning Tech initiative.

Vincent: I've been in contact with student-parent workgroups at UCR and they're confused about how they're supposed to carry out their research

George; members wanted to see an inventory of what resources are available on campus. Workgroup also requested better and more granular data for student parents as well as came up with imp questions for grad and undergrad students. What specific questions should be included in these surveys to best utilize them to improve services for students.

Dashay: Can we motion to move CSAC update above Executive Officer report?

Rojina: so moved

Aidan: seconded

- UC Student Regents

Hailey: Sorry for having to go early, UCGPC is also here. Thank you, Jamaal at res life work. [reviews slides]
Dashay: Questions?

Rojina: Do you mind talking a bit about your conversation with CARE and Janet

Hailey: Supportive of CARE work, wants to ensure adequate resources. Hard to understand how each is funded/staffed. Has been assessing what exists at each campus, should be complete spring. I said to her that assessment is wonderful, but need a plan for how we will act on those gaps. Want to make sure results are acted upon. Results will probably show many inconsistencies. No set ratio of staff:students. National best practices might be useful. Your advocacy is critical

Aidan: Mentioned financial aid work group- what are some of the big ideas

Hailey: Not a work group- unofficial meeting of the minds. Varsha and I planned to speak on debt burden, current food and housing insecurity data, addressing misconceptions that those of middle income might also be food insecure, pathway for trans/LGBTQ students in being removed from families and having no financial support, but on paper, looked like they were better off. Want to make sure pathways are quick and accessible. Trying to figure out funds for different programs. Percent going to financial aid- is that the optimal percent, should there be more, etc. Then conversation with Nathan Brostrom- 0 EFC students that doesn't take into account assets. On the other side, medical debt can complicate EFC (expected family contribution). So some have more or less than expected.

Johana: Who are we allowed to talk to this about? If I wanted to continue to ask other students about what they think

Hailey: I think it's always appropriate and fair to say there's high level conversations happening about financial aid, I'd love to hear your thoughts

Varsha: A lot of the polls we are using are coming from students, we are hearing these stories from people across the UC. Other things we should flag that are helpful.

Hailey: Really try, to bring in Varsha, as then I have access to the voices of this space and of students across the UC, so please talk to Varsha. It is wonderful to have the chair of the Board of Regents interested in this

Varsha: Want to make sure there is as much messaging as possible

Hailey: Tried to be clear that we are engaging in financial aid reforms, but we are not in favor of tuition increase
Daevionne: Has summer CalGrant come in at all?

Varsha: That's a state issue, right now we are speaking on the UC specifically. We are working on that on a different front, this is more UA side.

Vincent: Standardized testing- are we going to have an open public comment period on comprehensive access?

Hailey: Good question, the two processes stood out as so different. To my knowledge, standardized testing one just say it is going to divisional academic senates, and then regents. Does not mean they are formally creating process, but public comments in March, social media, etc. are great ways of engaging. I believe there's a time frame for when campus academic senates give their feedback. Report is dense, important to dig into methodology. There are regents on the board that really want to drop the SAT/ACT, glad y'all have an item on it. Thank you so much, great to see you, advocacy work can be lonely, please reach out.

10:55 AM (15 min) Executive Officer Reports:

[President](#)

Varsha: Hope everyone is doing well, want to highlight what I've been doing [slides]. Tuition vote happening at next March meeting, looks like it's during finals, it's in southern California. Will also be at an undergraduate campus. Couple action items out of meeting with President Napolitano. Talked about selection process with regents for UC Student Regent. Want to improve process for more alignment concerning requirements, etc. Want to include more criteria that all orgs can agree on, also implicit bias training. If you have thoughts, let me know, want to be careful that it is not a process people are questioning later on. Questions? Tuition did not happen at January. Shout out to all of you who were there and made sure there were students. Scary for me

but less intense when all of you are there with me. Raised concerns with the Chair and UCOP in the existing financial aid model. Will be continuing to bring these points forward. Also SAT/ACT- reaching out to see how we should move forward on this. UC doesn't want to do something academic senate doesn't recommend.

Rojina: move to add 15 minutes

Lauren: Second

No objections seen

Daevionne: Names for people accepted to Black Lobby Day?

Varsha: Ask Kyndall or Salih

No other questions seen

Chair

Dashay: Want to first ask if we can turn off livestream and no minutes. Folx of public can stay

Rojina: So moved

Lauren: Second

[no minutes taken for this portion of the meeting]

CFO

Tiger: Sorry for being late, discussed with Lenin to update MOU, doesn't come up until after terms end, so might want to draft it and then leave it for the next board. Wanted to pass draft budget today, but instead might do so in May, so there's no practical reason for March pass, whereas in May it will be better. Long term financial forecasting which was very helpful. If you want to see changes on the draft let me know

Vice Chair

Looking to schedule with appointed officers for this quarter, ongoing is job descriptions.

Campaign Chair

Not here

UA Chair

Not here

GR Chair

Aidan: Tuition increase advocacy, folx on the ground to coordinate public comment, laid out position on tuition increase, want more deliberate process considering AB970. Due process of going through committees before bringing to a vote. Affordable housing remarks. Budget response trip, want to highlight everyone who helped, big success. Amazed that this was our first one and hit the ground running. Met with over 30 offices, did briefing and training for those new. Lots of good feedback under SAPEP and others. SLC/Hill Day- did steering committees, in years past it was the GR Chair and at points the president. I wanted to extend the opportunities, Vincent will lead SLC and others will lead Hill Day. Gave remarks at Council of Student Fees. UCPGC and council leaders in supporting housing. Coordinated panel at UCLA. Continued weekly calls with GR committee and GR, etc. In past two days, had good call with Salih. Years past we have not made the time that we should for RJN/others. Thank you.

CAC Chair
Not here

Rojina: Move to move ASUCLA, and Green New Deal up.
Aidan: Second
Josh: Can we move SAT/ACT, etc to after the guest speaker items
Rojina: For sure.

11:10 AM (20 min) Staff Reports:

Executive Director
Anais: Hi. [report linked]

Policy Director
Ashraf: Hi [report linked]
Johana: I would love to work with you for this upcoming regents meeting
Ashraf: I look forward to that
Dashay: I was also going to say, can we make an action item for folks to start organizing now for March Board meeting. Sending out resources now is within the three month timeframe.
Varsha: Would recommend that those who are far away redirect their budget to send people. These are voted on not always solely based on advocacy, I don't want folks to feel that we failed as an organization if we do not succeed. We should aim to do the best we can but mentally prepare
Johana: Want UCI and UCLA to really prepare and collaborate
Ashraf: Just FYI, y'all do not to be there for the entire time, just public comment and a few items after that.
Please do your best to just be there
Varsha: Please include me on that and we can share some tips.

Government Relations Director
[see attached]

Statewide Organizing Director
[see tomorrow]

11:30 AM (15 min) Drop SAT/ACT Update

Rojina Bozorgnia
Rojina: So I don't have anything to say, I just want to know where we are at, I wanted more a question
Johana: Been working with academic affairs commissioner on campus, not familiar on other campuses, but this person recommends a lot of the students to be on different entities, and this has been a big issue. It has sort of died down, but with this article, it might bring it back up. Heard that the info on the article was not supposed to come out yet, but it seems very split, even among faculty at UCLA. Still feels disorganized.
Dashay: Did reach out, got no response.
Johana: I can ask as they presented to our student government. Whatever the task force recommends, that is what regents/ucop will take into account
Varsha: Lot of regents who do want to get rid of it. Connect with chair, see if how it should be bridged. Clear that he didn't want it to be a requirement. Will reach out also to Regent Oakley, becomes complicated for those within the space, but there is also an institutional counterweight.

Rojina: Looking at last year's documents. List of campuses who had/had not passed resolutions on this, don't know where everyone is at, but if you haven't passed the resos, maybe pass them and send them to your campus academic senate, or resend it

Johana: Hard to sit here and talk to academic senate, so if you learn about how the students are feeling, it gives better insight about what is going on and who is saying what. Helpful to get idea of campus climate on the issue

Rojina: Move to pass reso on this, or if you already have, send it to the academic senate

Dashay: Trying to remember, I can share our reso that was passed if I find it. Can I make that an action item for myself- to share that reso.

11:45 PM (25 min) Updates on CalGrant Reform and Student Aid Programs

Caroline Siegel Singh

Caroline: CSAC is the state agency that administers state financial aid so we want to make higher ed accessible through programs like Cal Grant which most students receive, amongst other grants and programs

[SLIDES]

Daevionne: Send ppl in work group?

Caroline: I can send that to Anais

Daevionne: recommend large group of students as to what to flag?

Caroline: You don't need too many

Varsha: Fix financial aid lobby day is right before so make sure students are there

12:10 PM (20 min) UCSB 2019-2020 Dues Waiver

Emma Schuster OR Konnor McMillen

Dashay: Is Emma here?

Anais: Might have gone to UCGPC?

Dashay: Can you do you item first Tiger?

Tiger: yeah of course

Dashay: Nevermind, she's here

Emma: So sorry, there's a UCGPC meeting upstairs. Hi, UCSB EVP for GSA. Fee reduction to 10% of our dues in November meeting. Was previously asking for 2018-19, now I'm asking for 2019-20 year. We do not have much money, and UCGPC has been asking the same amount as UCSA. Appreciate being a part of both, last UCSA meeting was the same time that our campus was hosting the UCGPC meeting. Coming to request that fee shrinkage for our dues. Been in discussion with our AS about potential about AS paying dues for us, for various reasons that won't work. Not appropriate to use undergrad fees to pay grad fees. Thought about other ways, but every work around has been a little sketchy. Don't want to do that financially. Considering that ASUCSB over pays, we are hoping that that can be taken into account.

Daevionne: A couple different things- we couldn't just write out a check to GSA because it is undergrad fees.

Thought about hosting a workshop for undergrads to get into grad students, so they could pay that fee in some way, as undergrads are benefitting. Did seem a little under the table so not what we really wanted to do.

Emma: Door is not closed to some amount, still open to organizing something like that, but no one is very comfortable with it. Possible though for the future. Appreciate your support.

Tiger: Question- talked earlier in the year. Do you have plan in how to get to a better financial standing so you don't need to ask for help later?

Emma: Great question, right now, GSA has no pro staff, trying to pay for one, in addition to receiving funds from student affairs. Then many officer positions will be null, duties restructured so more money freed up.

Tiger: To clarify, you are planning on running referendum to get student fees to get exec director position and that would free up money

Emma: Correct

Tiger: Can you increase it enough to free up more budget

Emma: Possible, see it as harder ask, would take more work educating grad students about the importance of us staying but probably smaller number

Daevionne: Move to reduce UCSB's GSA dues to 10%

Rojina: Second

No objections seen

12:30 PM (25 min) Presentation by Associated Students UCLA

Pouria Abbassi and Sandi Gillespie

Aidan: Want to give quick intro. Had conversations about outsourcing versus insourcing work. They are really excited to share insights to the rest of the board.

Pouria: Good afternoon. Glad to be here, thank you. Here to advance what it can to look at overall needs/concerns of students. Try to use our platform to do so. [slides]

Rojina: Regarding fair labor practices, etc. how do you combat corporations such as Pand Express, etc. and if they don't practice fair labor practices

Pouria: Supply Chain Management of third parties. Fair wages, work conditions etc. are needed in the contracts when these vendors come in. For instance, fair trade coffee, all of our coffee is. It's beyond merchandising, it's more. As contracts come up, put those measures in.

Rojina: You also said you have a very low student fee.

Sandi: It's \$22.

Rojina: How did y'all transition into becoming a non profit? Did you start as student fees?

Pouria: It was never high, but then we formed a sister organization responsible for all non-academic resources.

Michael: *could not hear*

Pouria: Capital investments so we are focused on diverting much of the funding we have today to our students. We want to be employer of choice so provide high wages and good work environments

Josh: Effort to incorporate local/small businesses?

Pouria: Honestly everything is run by students. We run three models, one is that we run our own food services, also franchisee model but we are the franchise, and then based on needs and wants of students, we then allow third party. Must be sensitive to ensuring that we have a balanced basis.

Sandi: Also a lot of local business such as optometry and other services

Pouria: Want to expand beyond UCLA campus and advance initiatives for LA as a whole.

Josh: Profit neutral?

Pouria: Yes

Dashay: There's about a minute and a half, any motions?

[no other questions seen]

Dashay: I can reach out to y'all personally. I did hear though that through dining aspect, some of produce is from local farms, is that correct?

Sandi: Yes

Dashay: Okay, just another thing I'll probably follow up on.

12:55 PM (15 min) Presentation on UC Green New Deal

Laurel Halvorson, Sarah, Tess

Sarah: UC Berkeley campus, presenting work for campus green new deal. [slides]. Started in September, had a lot of energy and excitement, channeled it into this deal as a way to bring our working demands from the strike, as well as demands from other campaigns into one comprehensive framework. The same day, UCSD also had a mobilization and from that also grew a green new deal, specifically around decarbonization and some other uc-wide projects.

Tess: Differences between the two was the UCSD's was a little more localized, whereas ours has a lot of uc-wide demands.

Laurel: Since this is based on federal policy, want to bring in stakeholders. Want to make sure there is a just transition for all. Demonstrates urgent and needed wide scale change. Not only are we students, we are workers and community members who are all stakeholders of this institution. Want to realize that we are important, we have a say, and can be a true leader. Want to protect, uplift, and recognize work that is already being done.

Sarah: Want to make framework that gets behind root ideas.

Tess: All are behind climate action, but want to let people know that the community members have a right for this justice.

Sarah: Coming out of that, this is actually the list of demands [see list]

Tess: Been working with coalitions and orgs, educating people, partnering with UCSD

Laurel: images from strikes, gaining a lot of community and energy around this. Next is why we're here. We are hoping for this to be a cohesive, collaborative campaign. Want system connections. We want to break down the framework into more specific campaigns that maybe UCSA could adopt or endorse. With such a big idea, we need funding, so asking legislators for support. Each campus connects with legislators to let them know, ask for support. Want people to know that this is happening.

Sarah: Big goals, in beginning stages of filling the base, getting the campaign off the ground. We have this one connection with San Diego, but we would really benefit from connections UC-wide

Laurel: Here are some of the organizations that we work with, and if y'all have connections with, it'd be great to connect with at your own campus.

Sarah: Building these connections would be so helpful as a collective of stakeholders

Laurel: With what we've just said, please feel free to reach out, especially with connections.

Dashay: Thank y'all for your patience. Questions?

Josh: Action item to task the environmental sustainability officer to reach out to EVPs to make connections with the movement

Wendy: What's the motion? [clarified] second.

1:10 PM (15 min) Draft Budget for 2020-21

Anais and Tiger

Tiger: Hi. [slides]. Massive thank you to Anais.

motion to amend by davionne to move mauna kea update and gender bias summit
kimberly seconded

12:50 PM (15 min) Gender Bias Summit

Daevionne Beasley

Daevionne: April 10-11 at UCSB, EVPs please look into which groups and SASO equivalents on your campus to send students. ASUCSB will be sending applications, so just put it on your staff's radar to make sure people are able to attend. Everyone know's what the summit is about right?

MAUNA KEA UPDATE

Daevionne Beasley

Daevionne: Cal has a very strong Mauna Kea protector so UCSB will be trying to get one together as well. Human rights week is this week so we'll be tabling to advertise for the supply drive, will keep y'all posted on who we brought, trying to bring a student from Uni of Hawaii to speak and to to organize the supply drive with us, will let you know how it goes and help you organize one on your campus if you want. There will be more action at the Regents meeting about Mauna Kea, we'll be taking people there, reach out if you want to take

students for that action. Will talk to Johana & Dashay about organizing for students to do Mauna Kea actions at regents.

1:25 PM (45 min) Lunch

2:10 PM (5 min) Roll Call

2:15 PM (20 min) [Disability Services Resource Shortage and Budget Ask](#)

David Miller Shevelev via Zoom

David: Working group convened for disabilities. Data that struggle to keep up w/demands for disability services. Here b/c disability services understaffed. Not addressing it adequately. [slides] Looking at population of those affected by disabilities. Taken from UC undergraduate survey. Accessibility is equity and access. Few people with disabilities graduate within eight years. Increased number of folks going to the Disability centers, but no reciprocal growth in staffers. Cost of living and specialized skill sets hinder being able to hire adequate staffers, and little resources to recruit. 400 to 1 staff at UCSC, recommended is 150 to 1. This is an issue at other UC campuses as well. Week long wait times to get accommodations, difficulty in responding to emergency cases. Understaffed offices means just meeting law requirements is what people are motivated to get to. Few opportunities to get better. Huge resource shortages from wait times, failure in programming/long term projects. Dead end projects because no capacity despite vital need.

Josh: number is \$3,840,000 in report, but is that systemwide or UCSC specific?

David: Systemwide- involves more complex conversation about the number of students, exactly what services each center provides, and the pre-existing resources

Josh: Propose adding this to the GR agenda

Aidan: Yes definitely tomorrow

David: Thank you so much, feel free to reach out, full report linked in agenda

2:35 PM (15 min) Protecting trans students statewide

Jenna Gotte

Jenna: Hi. Just conversation. Talked to trans students on UCI campus, noticing that there is issue in underrepresentation. Few things I think UCSA could do. Don't know if there has been historical support from this group. Trying to bring another campaign specifically for trans and nonbinary student issues. Currently, big issue with financial aid and legal documents, federal law does not allow these markers, but other uc specific things might. Resulted in some people leaving because it messes with financial aid. Ask for lobbying that federal law to acknowledge trans/nb folx. Only three places that I know of allows that, that is CA, OR, and D.C. A lot of docs with dead gender, or changing gender in system will reflect in registrar but not in other documents, such as insurance. Gage on board opinion of bringing this campaign in

Daevionne: Staff? Are all campaigns decided at UCSOS

Anais: Current rules state that this would have to be under appropriate umbrella, which would be ACQUIRE. Not saying don't create another one, but the best way is to propose at UCSOS under ACQUIRE umbrella, thus under that campaign. That would therefore be the priority moving forward.

Jenna: Is that the only way?

Anais: The rules could possibly be changed, but you'd have to bring a proposal

Jenna: I don't think the current campaign would finish by this year.

Anais: Every year it changes, so new priorities.

Dashay: Want to make sure we're advocating for what students want, and UCSOS is a larger space that allows that. Still open to change but

Anais: Another way, and that is to author a resolution with action items. Can't make campaign through that, but you wouldn't have to change rules. Resolutions last forever

Aidan: Larger question of campaign structure, not necessarily conducive to taking the larger scope that we always want to. Also have platform of different causes and initiatives

Anais: That is not currently in the platform, you edit the platform via resolution. On my way out, but after 5 years, I'd be careful about editing the process again, this is the best it's been so far. Limited to 4 because in the past, we'd take on issues that affected ten communities, and nothing got done.

Vincent: Thank you for bringing this up. Lot of ways we can be proactive in working on this now, instead of setting up for next board. Move to task GR with this on the next call, maybe have agenda item as to how LDs can engage representatives to recognize this issue and gender identity.

Jenna: Want to explain a specific student issue. This student is a trans athlete at santa cruz on the volleyball team, experienced bullying from team and coach. In order to complain, they speak to athletic director, but that was her coach. Then talked to the school and that was an issue as well. Then left santa cruz and went to UCI. still experiencing similar issues. Working with athletic director, NCAA, etc. Seems to be systematic problem for me. Best way to go is resolution, and then proposing it to be a campaign. Is anyone wanting to help?

Anais: Anyone want to help? I can assist, but I am not a board member.

Dashay: I can, Anais, I would definitely need your help

?: This reso would ask UCSA board for trans initiative to become a full campaign?

Anais: Whatever you want it to do, you can have a "therefore be it resolved that" ____.

Jenna: Also found problems with UCOP's statistics on this. Wanted help researching those?

Anais: Parshan or Ashraf maybe

Raina: Mentioned some thing about federal documents and other documents, as well as with insurance forms, can you say more about that?

Jenna: So an example is that within their FAFSA, they had put their preferred gender and name, since they were born in a different state, the federal government had them as their deadname and gender. That messed with the allocations of the financial aid, and they ended up not receiving it at the time.

Dashay: I know Jenna has been working a lot to get in contact with those on the exact policies, so within that, we can include info on it

Vincent: Maybe we can ask UCOP where to go for guidance on that?

Jenna: Won't be here tomorrow

Vincent: Okay I can ask him

2:50 PM (65 min) Campaign Committee

Anais Lieu

Note: Campaign Committee is a committee of the whole, all members vote.

- Campaigns Chair Announcements, Adam Hatefi
- Report, Racial Justice Now Chair Kyndall Dowell
- Report, ACQUIRE Chair Asia Ou
- Report, Fund the UC Chair Daevionne Beasley
- Report, UCweVOTE Chair Rojina Borzorgnia

- [Planning and Work Session](#)

Salih: Want to do quick review/recap from last meeting and action items. Then split up and sit in proximity to folks in tactic committee. First this. Old business [reads action items]

Aidan: motion to add action item by Feb 29 (SAPEP)

Daevionne: Second

Salih: Could get tighter by coordination across campuses and throughout all of UCSA. Could be a little more strategic and honor capacity and commitment. Be thoughtful around how much we can do. When we set deadlines and goals, we build a campaign based on what we think can get done. If we can't get it done, then we aren't being realistic. Be more intentional and strategic.

Dashay: Can I task myself to start scheduling more exec calls. I'll start doing those to have more check ins and work on progress.

Salih: Note about flexibility, but as things change in real time, communication systems not strong enough to respond effectively. Proposals: good to have campaign/committee chairs to touch base once per week. Propose weekly, because it's the only way to ensure things are getting done. Propose adding check in for committee and campaign check ins (together). Want to anchor each action item at BOD meetings. Developed matrix that is available to view by campaign chairs.

Aidan: How are we wanting to check in?

Salih: Could even be texting, whatever works for y'all to check who needs help, how to adjust, etc.

Aidan: Having time in exec calls to share would be appreciated.

Salih: On week to week basis it allows us to see the goal/action item each relevant committee has weekly. Committed to making sure its developed each week. Maybe on Prop 13, don't just put op-ed in school newspaper, but also put it in smaller local papers. They're all outlined here, updated frequently, get social media materials done. Finally, campus specific deliverables. Don't have great system of tracking status on all campuses. This is a tracker, so each specific position will be able to reflect each specific campus. What is the accountability measure?

Dashay: For us, just a three strike policy. Also quarterly reviews.

Anais: Reminder, just two more board meetings, one is SLC, and the other is one day in May. Hold yourselves accountable, you have to self-initiate. UCSA can't make anyone do the work

Wendy: I can do a CAC call, I can't do anything that's enforceable. I need EVPs to take a step forward and have authority over progress. I can commit to sending reports about the progress, but EVPs have to hold people accountable.

Aidan: Don't want to be too prescriptive. Have pretty good success rate. Like reports

Dashay: We all can hold each other accountable within the spaces in committee.

Vincent: Recommend priority list because we know what works on our own campus. Build on what's going on at our own campus. Know your own capacity and your priorities.

Wendy: I think this sheet will be great for accountability so thank you to Salih. If EVPs can commit to checking this regularly, there will be a pattern.

Dashay: I do think we need to schedule more exec calls.

Wendy: Not all EVPs are on exec board

Dashay: No like the same way we have CAC, it's just another way to disseminate info.

Kyndall: Who's in exec

Dashay: Varsha, myself, Tiger, Lauren, Adam, Liz, Aidan, and Wendy.

Salih: Short on time. Would like to send this link to the board and place in agenda. Just give it a look during committee. Added a few action items for Prop 13, ask that the days up to elections be dedicated to the campaign. Also added some goals on how many folks it would be feasible to target. Need feedback from RJN chair and Aidan on what strategic needs to happen for SAPEP and 209.

Aidan: Specific from GR, plan for SAPEP is getting it into the UC budget. Reached that, not put in the governor's budget, so trying to have this in governor's May revise. Want this in the discussion in assembly and senate. Hope by pressuring those two, we can get the governor. Last week, went up to capital, talked to many, got some commitments for assembly/senate budget. Late Feb and early March asking folks to sign up and put up in the budget. Then target chairs of committees with organizing and legislative tactics. Then turn out student. Then after pressure, then will likely be in a better position to get this in the May revise.

Salih: Did you want to add anything Kyndall?

Kyndall: No all good

Salig: In what specific ways can other committees help?

Aidan: Still very much GR tactics, once that letter gets out, then from CAC, a lot of phone banking and letter writing. Turn out students for hearings, public comment. Etc. From UA angle, I would defer to Liz. Seems like university already supports so it seems to be done.

Varsha: From EVPs, will want testimonials that members can hear. Long term support that must be built. We are a very capacity limited organization, it might not reflect laziness. Pushing systemwide fee hard because it breaks my heart when people and allies come and we can't help as much as we can, our advocacy is increasing. If you don't have much time, have a lot of jobs, pick one or two or three things that are very important, that's a lot better than nothing.

Ashraf: For SLC, if you can guarantee to get just a few who have been affected or benefitted from SAPEP program, that would be great and can provide powerful testimony.

Kyndall: WHO's in charge of that?

Dashay: LD

Varsha: Logistics is EVPs, selection is LD

Aidan: Will say that selection was never directly told to be us, that can be us. Everyone is at a different stage. Whoever is in charge, please do that

Kyndall: How many people go?

Salih: Around 350

Varsha: Depends on the campus, some areas have more or fewer legislators

Anais: Sent out full campus list for max numbers

Salih: Possible action item- folks recruiting please do specific outreach to SIP (HS recruitment specifically for folks of color)

Dashay: We have 2 and a half minutes

Salih: Good. Tap back in today and add updates. Recommendation that we do our best to execute it

Aidan: move to task whoever it is who's doing apps for SLC to prioritize bringing those affected by SIP and SAPEP, as well as beneficiaries of \$20mil, or involved with mental health work

Lauren: Second

No objections seen.

Kyndall: Are things being book for Black Lobby Day?

Salih: I have a report/update scheduled for tomorrow. Not necessarily, but folks have received or will receive tomorrow the info.

Dashay: in the folders shared on the google drive, do those have class rap blurbs?

Wendy: They don't. I emailed UA, trying to get blurb for Prop 13. Whoever is sending them to me, i'll get them in ASAP.

Dashay: Can I task UA/GR/CAC, and campaigns to create blurbs for class raps and social media campaigns

Salih: Already flagged GR for that.

Wendy: So who's on that?

Salih: Built it out as GR for the script, and CAC that's executing it.

Aidan: We're government relations, I don't know we're the best for class, I'm okay if CAC does this

Wendy: Well wouldn't this work well for campaigns?

Dashay: Then to amend, have GR collab with campaign chairs to figure out wording on blurbs, because y'all can probably help with logistics, and campaigns have the background info

Aidan: Willing to help, don't see utility in it

Wendy: Aidan, I think what he means is just like the numbers/why this is going

Aidan: This is a Prop 13 thing?

Wendy: All of them

Dashay: Salih said he can work on that.

Wendy: I'll disperse that once that happens

Lauren: Motion to adjourn

Wendy: Second

No objections seen

Adjourn when concluded

Sunday Agenda (times are approximate):

9:00 AM (60 min) Executive Committee (may meet in closed session)

10:00 AM (5 min) Convene Full Board; Roll Call

10:05 AM (15 min) Public Comment

Dashay: How are y'all doing? Next 5 minutes, welcome members of public. Anyone wishing to speak?
None seen.

Staff Report (5 min)

Salih: Black Lobby Day, coming soon, happy to say over 50 applicants, doing about a month earlier than last year. Challenge to get applications. Recruitment, agenda, legislative items identified. LD's, attached to update, you can see logistical details. First, applications. 2 campuses with no applications: UCSC, UCD. If you can tap in and do what you can. Good amount from Berkeley, San Diego, and Riverside, shout out to them. Other

campuses, you all have told me when I asked you, you have a slightly larger leeway for when you can book, if you're in Norcal, please do best to get applicant counts up. I have reached out to BSUs, if you can support, it'd be helpful. If you need contact info to book flights, etc. it's on attached spreadsheet. Each campus has tab to pull up to see who has signed up/confirm attendance. We had general apps, did initial outreach to confirm they are still able to go, did DOB, etc. Next, 2 day program, recommend folks arriving at Sacramento at airport by 12noon, we'll start around 1. Monday, plan to book overnight stay, near capital. Tuesday, plan to depart no earlier than 5pm. Food provisions- UCSA has modest budget, we'll provide dinner Monday, breakfast and lunch Tuesday. May be able to provide snack. Monday at AFSCME office at O street. Then UC Center at Sac on Tuesday. Leg priorities is SAPEP ask, and Prop 209. Prop 209 will not be hard ask b/c no bill, but soft ask to track where people stand. Agenda wise, Monday is folks on legislative training/panel, Tuesday is slight refresher, then lobbying. Cool because last year we did a mixer with some elected officials and staffers. Questions? Dashay: Depending on release of Prop 209 bill, would that gear conversations if it's passed before then? Salih: It won't be released before then. Please make sure you tap in and work on logistics. UCSD External Office organizing training, shout out to Cameron. Developed materials for bootcamp this week. Staff side, did weekly campaign meetings to support advocacy work. OD deliverable, had great meeting with UC foster youth directors, specifically around ACQUIRE ask. Support via data from leave behinds. Will also provide students that can help. SOCC 2019 debrief, CAC call, action alert. Ongoing projects- alliance with ABMoC, this week will put out Prop 13 handbook for fillable templates, organizing director handbook done before next meeting, working with Aidan regarding SLC action, trainings coming up at Berkeley for pro staff. Questions? None seen.

10:35 AM (30 min) A brief History of Prop 209

Salih

Salih: Importance of Prop 209, and some context. Controversial issue, want to give some context and language to help answer questions, etc. Prop 209 passed 1996, and said could not consider race, gender, sexuality, as a part of admissions etc. [slides]. Important because going to UC has impact on ability to increase social mobility to affect social situation. Real living wages are impacted with issues in diversity at schools. Schools still segregated, impacts access to universities, wages, etc. Important to contextualize. Impacts of Prop 209 not limited to education. Also impacted the state's ability/willingness/capacity to engage in equitable hiring processes and distribution of contracts. Affirmative action policy does not just affect schools, also companies, etc. Black businesses, female run businesses able to get government contracts prior to Prop 209. Legal challenges to these policies. 1978, UCD altered what AA meant. UC had quota system at the time. Case at UCD went to supreme court, and then it was said that quotas are illegal, so cannot be practiced. It is not a quota system. Argument from supreme court- quotas go against equal opportunity, despite equal opportunity coming in for people of color. Even after quotas eliminated, AA policy had meaningful impact. Repeal of Prop 209 had largest impact at top tier UC. UCB 7% Black, 15% Chicax/Latinx. Increased by at least 12% system wide, more than 60% increase at UCB/UCLA at the time. AA policies initially repealed by regents, one year later, Prop 209. That is to say, UC is major player in the success of policy. Majority of CA voted yes. Immediate 50% decrease of various POC groups at UC stop tier schools. Wages declined about 3%/year. Not just about undergrad admissions. Diversity levels worse at grad/post programs, and faculty. Less than 3% tenured system wide are African or Black. Diversity is a factor in selecting a campus. Lot of students of color have bad experiences.

11:05 AM (25 min) University of California Budget

David Alcocer

David: Hi. [slides]

Josh: How asks are broken down across the campuses- how would SAPEP and \$20 get distributed?

David: Depends on what the goal is the with the dollars. Align resources with need. Ex. Undocu or Dream, look at numbers at each campus and go proportionally. SAPEP is 20 different programs, look at programs most aligned with 2030 goals such as time to degree, etc. Depends on the pot. Baseline level and give out remainder based on extra information.

Rojina: Student input with surveys?

David: Not my area of expertise, UCUES surveys/grad surveys, George are you familiar?

George: There is advocacy from students and others in the UC to determine questions, don't know a lot

David: Don't know the answer

Dashay: Previous meeting presentation discussed disproportionate levels of reliance on state funds, from an economic stance, I understand the concern. But seeing that the burdens do essentially fall back on students, what could the UC do that we can support with towards securing more permanent funding towards this?

David: Couple things. UCM/UCR talked about reliance on state funds. When there is increase in tuition/fees, those are campuses that serve generally higher level of students from lower income backgrounds. Take into account demographics at each campus and financial need. Send a lot more money per student based on that. Regarding how to get more state funds, nothing does more with legislators as talking to students. But there is little institutional knowledge because students are only here around 4 years. Personal anecdotes/experiences help so much, so speaking with faculty also helps. Steady decline in the number of students who say they know faculty members well enough to get letters of rec. Personalize your experiences, find the right people to carry that message.

Dashay: Is there some way that

David: Start with division head for academic senate. Heard people who are very effective in speaking on certain issues. Feedback is that academic senate has their own ways of representing their views.

Rojina: Regarding immigration/legal services, is that sufficient?

David: This would add money on top of what is already there, but I do not think it is sufficient. Started to have conversations regarding how \$20mil could be allocated. Clearly case that undocumented students and their challenges should have majority of \$20mil. Any kind of support, but we need more

Dashay: That is time. Motions?

Josh: Extend by 5.

Rojina: Second

Josh: With UCOP making verbal commitment with orgs such as AFT or others, in anticipation of mandatory spending, we should see that. Is that included? Is the budget backing these agreements

David: Dollars are built into that. Reflects various increases that are already established.

Chris: Mentioned with Prop 13, we get \$2bil, about \$150/campus, what is the accountability/access to see where that money is being spent?

David: Still little in the work, getting better and better list from each location. \$150mil doesn't always go that far. At UCI, seismic improvements at various buildings.

Chris: Where is that accessible to see?

David: Still under development, can talk to UC

Dashay: If we could get verbal commitment from you to see those? We would definitely use those in our lobby asks.

David: I can go back and talk to the folks on that

Aidan: Should this pass, how does accountability in allocation work, where is their oversight mechanisms for students to play a role?

David: Little out of my area of expertise, can't speak in detail, all projects require pretty extensive documentation, should be some way at the campus level to see what's being done

Ashraf: On slide that shows bars of distribution, assumption of increase on 5% on each item?

David: Just conceptually.

Ashraf: In both tuition proposals, there is 3% tuition increase, with that we'd need 7.5% increase from state? Set level of 7%, more impactful lobbying effort?

David: This has been a little more generous than prior, they are hesitant to speak on long term commitments as a result of questionable future. Hard to look at specific programs, but yes 7% would be fantastic. Lessen impact and need for tuition increase.

Dashay: That is our time, thank you.

11:55 AM (15 min) History of Prop 13

Salih

Salih: me and Daevionne just want y'all to keep in time with the matrix on Prop 13, if folks can approach this the way you approach AS elections, we'll be following up on weekly basis with status updates.

12:10 PM (15 min) Discussion on AB 970 Language

Dashay Richmond

This bill is what we were usigin to hold Regents accountable when it comes to letting us know in time for tuition hikes. Napolitano was p open to it, similar to the system wide fee proposal, she wants us to have a draft of how the language will look. We have a draft. One of the main things we wanted to focus on is mobilizing students about the proposals. Also wanna make sure that a multi-year plan for tuition increases is included in the new language.

12:25 PM (25 min) Support of CALPIRG Resolution

Daevionne Beasley

Daevionne: Hello, EVP from UCSB.

Sithara: Hi, Junior at UCLA, chair of UCLA chapter

Jennifer: Chapter chair at UCSB

Hundre: VC at UCSB chapter

Katherine: UCLA VC and treasurer on statewide campaign

Prab: UCLA third year, secretary at chapter

Sarah: UCSB coordinator

Christina: 3rd year, UCSB

Megan: Full time staff

Sithara: Kick it off then. Had presentation, little confusing. Reached out to many people to explain it better, built up a little more support. Want to give more info, keep you in loop, hoping for y'all to vote on reso today.

Regardless of that, this info is pretty helpful. [slides] Model to pair students/staff together. Pro organizer at each chapter, hire pro staff in D.C. and Sac. Operating on pledge system. Pooling resources to amass student organizing power. Long history of working together. As long as 10-15% of students agree to pledge, we can keep operating. Want to go back to original funding system, want more resources, political members, etc. Want to channel energy into different projects and free up more time to advocate.

Daevionne: Student fees implemented for advocacy issues such as civil rights movement, used by funds to bring us to Irvine today. Best interest to allow students to use their fees as they see fit.

Sithara: Current UC policy prevents student groups from seeking support from student body democratically in that way. Time could be better spent. Student groups used to be able to use the student body to run votes. In 90s, Reagan appointed regents who were politically opposed to these issues changed the policies. Met with many people, interacted with those in charge, said they wouldn't make decision without support from student leaders. Met with Hailey Weddle, and UCGPC president, both pointed us here. Want more official statement of support. Asking to support this campaign/change in UC policy. If we want to introduce this change, we need it now, as the next time we'd be able to be here is May. Link to resolution. Want to go over questions we got on the reso.

Chris: Mentioned safeguards

Sithara: In existing UC policy, various safeguards such as percent thresholds, going through student government and Chancellor, only registered student org, etc.

Aidan: Thank you for in depth presentation. Question on your outreach to other student orgs. We partner with Council on Student Fees. Has the Council on Student Fees taken a position?

Rojina: Motion to extend 5 min

?: Second

Sithara: They spoke on it, had some questions, neutral on reso.

Aidan: Did they put together a letter, worried about taking a position

Johana: Council on Student Fees sent letter, agree to oppose, do you want me to read out? Council agreed that changing policy leads to ___ [reads letter] Sent in agenda given that Lenin wasn't here. Doesn't say that they were neutral

Sithara: in person, communicated to me that they were neutral in the resolution, opposed to a few of the policy aspects. After hearing the presentation, they would be discussing it further.

Johana: You can read the letter and explanation, point out to board that this is clear, talked to Lenin and my biggest question, is they are the experts on student fees. How do you expect us to make a similar judgement when they are not even clear on how this would impact them. They say they oppose some of these policies. How do you expect us to make this judgement call

Dashay: Can you do the hand emoji in the slack for the timestamp

Sithara: To answer your question, they said because they weren't able to attend the presentation, they would be further discussing this. They would be re-discussing the aspects they are opposed to. We are asking you not to vote on the actual policy, but on the concept that allows students to run student fees. First step is to show that students support the idea in its concept

Kimberly: After last meeting, went back to UCSB and got the general feeling. Wrote a resolution and had in depth discussion. Want to relay the sentiments discussed. No one spoke for it. No support in the group. Comments include that it was going around student government, for students who don't watch their fees. Other comments include how orgs can keep student back pay, supersede AS, not my own thoughts/comments but if we did support this, we would be doing a disservice to our students as we represent them. Wanted to let y'all know.

Sithara: This wouldn't take away AS authority, because they are still required to go through the student government

Jennier: Once we actually sat down and spoke to people, they tend to support it. They were talking about it without us being there

Tiger: Parliamentary point to Anais, statement that CSF is the official voice of the UC on student fees. Can we vote to support this without breaking the MOU

Anais: Won't take position on campus based fees, we would be in violation with CSF if we did

Josh: Intention is to move this into a fee conversation.

Sithara: If reso is supportive, we could write a new policy.

Josh: This is similar enough to fee conversation that I would be uncomfortable taking a stance. Know that at Cal, some of our largest populations are fundamentally partisan. Where do you view safeguards with things coming to play such as student government regulation

Dashay: I will allow Josh and Cameron to speak but time is up, after I will close stack

Sithara: We think that any group that wants to reach out to the student body, should be able to. Don't have stance on which groups are allowed to reach the body. Any allowed should be able to use this. Practically, most groups wouldn't use this, as it is a lot of work to utilize this avenue.

Jennifer: This isn't student service fee, it's student group. Because of UCSA feedback, we did reach out and will be meeting with them, but didn't realize we had to

Cameron: Two comments; CalPIRG was there at UCSD, had opportunity to speak. For the last operative clause, it says UCSA would support changing the entire policy, I think it would need to specify. Would you try to come back if referendum failed?

Sithara: UCSD didn't know that the comment was happening, weren't aware of occurrence. We can definitely change reso to specify. Last thing was if we continued to try to run referendum in event of failure- it depends, we do just want more students to be able to vote. Don't know that it would be the very next year.

Dashay: Want to make a statement; also with Varsha, being the two folks most reached out to through the board, at end of day, I support board decision, but with my own personal opinion, I do support the idea of changing the policy, I personally think it's important to give students the choice to do this. They are one of our coalition partners, if we had to go out every day and pledge, we wouldn't get anything done. In the same way

that we get our funds to us, it would allow them to further their work with us at a greater capacity. Harder with AS for students to opt out. It is a lot easier with CalPIRG to say 'I don't use this service, I opt out'. Beneficial if messaging makes it clear that folks can operate. That is our time though, suggest for y'all to have more in-depth conversation to get the nitty gritty details. Thank you for presenting, last comments?

Sithara: We're good~

Dashay: Thank you!

Aidan: Motion to move into closed session

Lauren: Second

Varsha: Motion to move out of closed session

Lauren: Second

Dashay: In open session

Johana: I motion to vote on the CalPIRG resolution

Aidan: Second

Daevionne: In speaking to people in CalPIRG, want to wait to create better resolution. They might want to reform and then get back

Dashay: Now go into vote over whether we should vote

Aidan: Add to make that roll call

Dashay: That automatically passes

Cal: Abstain

Davis: Not here

Irvine: No

UCLA: Yes

UCLA Grad: not here

UCM: Not here

Riverside: No

SD: Yes

SB: No

SB Grad: not here

SC: No

Dashay: No's have it, no vote.

Aidan: Motion for working lunch to go into committee break out

Vincent: Second

No objections seen

Dashay: We will extend lunch by ten minutes to allow folks to get the food and go to their meeting rooms.

1:05 PM (30 min) Lunch

**1:35 PM (75 min) Committee Breakouts: Campus Action, Government Relations,
University Affairs**

2:50 PM (10 min) Ratification of Committee Action Items

Lauren: Motion to ratify

Tiger: Second

No objections

3:00 PM (5 min) Action Item Check-In

Lauren: Motion to adjourn

Varsha: Second

Adjourn when concluded

(After adjournment) Campaign Leadership Check-in:

All campaign chairs, committee chairs, and staff

Session I

Position	Present?	Position	Present?	Position	Present?
UC Berkeley EVP	p	UC Merced EVP	a	UC Santa Cruz EVP	p
UC Berkeley LD	p	UC Merced LD	a	UC Santa Cruz LD	p
UC Berkeley OD	p	UC Merced OD	a	UC Santa Cruz OD	a
UC Davis EVP	a	UC Riverside EVP	px	Academic Affairs Officer	p
UC Davis LD	a	UC Riverside LD	px	Alumni Relations Officer	p
UC Davis OD	a	UC Riverside OD	a	Basic Needs Officer	a
UC Irvine EVP	p	UC San Diego EVP	p	Campus Climate Officer	a
UC Irvine LD	a	UC San Diego LD	p	Environmental Sustainability Officer	a
UC Irvine OD	a	UC San Diego OD	a	Financial Aid Advocacy Officer	p
UCLA Undergrad EVP	p	UC Santa Barbara Undergrad EVP	p	International Students Affairs Officer	a
UCLA Undergrad LD	p	UC Santa Barbara Undergrad LD	a	Labor Relations Officer	p
UCLA Undergrad OD	p	UC Santa Barbara Undergrad OD	a	Underrepresented Students Officer	a
UCLA Grad EVP	a	UC Santa Barbara Grad EVP	a	Transfer Student Affairs Officer	a
UCLA Grad LD	a	UC Santa Barbara Grad LD	a	CFO	a
UCLA Grad OD	a	UC Santa Barbara Grad OD	a		

Session II

Position	Present?	Position	Present?	Position	Present?
UC Berkeley EVP	p	UC Merced EVP	a	UC Santa Cruz EVP	p

UC Berkeley LD	p	UC Merced LD	a	UC Santa Cruz LD	p
UC Berkeley OD	p	UC Merced OD	a	UC Santa Cruz OD	a
UC Davis EVP	a	UC Riverside EVP	px	Academic Affairs Officer	p
UC Davis LD	a	UC Riverside LD	p	Alumni Relations Officer	p
UC Davis OD	a	UC Riverside OD	px	Basic Needs Officer	a
UC Irvine EVP	p	UC San Diego EVP	p	Campus Climate Officer	a
UC Irvine LD	p	UC San Diego LD	p	Environmental Sustainability Officer	a
UC Irvine OD	p	UC San Diego OD	a	Financial Aid Advocacy Officer	p
UCLA Undergrad EVP	a	UC Santa Barbara Undergrad EVP	p	International Students Affairs Officer	a
UCLA Undergrad LD	a	UC Santa Barbara Undergrad LD	a	Labor Relations Officer	p
UCLA Undergrad OD	a	UC Santa Barbara Undergrad OD	a	Underrepresented Students Officer	a
UCLA Grad EVP	a	UC Santa Barbara Grad EVP	a	Transfer Student Affairs Officer	a
UCLA Grad LD	a	UC Santa Barbara Grad LD	a	CFO	p
UCLA Grad OD	a	UC Santa Barbara Grad OD	a		

Session III

Position	Present?	Position	Present?	Position	Present?
UC Berkeley EVP	p	UC Merced EVP	a	UC Santa Cruz EVP	p
UC Berkeley LD	p	UC Merced LD	a	UC Santa Cruz LD	p
UC Berkeley OD	a	UC Merced OD	a	UC Santa Cruz OD	a
UC Davis EVP	a	UC Riverside EVP	px	Academic Affairs Officer	p
UC Davis LD	a	UC Riverside LD	px	Alumni Relations Officer	p
UC Davis OD	a	UC Riverside OD	a	Basic Needs Officer	a
UC Irvine EVP	p	UC San Diego EVP	p	Campus Climate Officer	a
UC Irvine LD	px	UC San Diego LD	p	Environmental Sustainability Officer	a

UC Irvine OD	p	UC San Diego OD	p	Financial Aid Advocacy Officer	p
UCLA Undergrad EVP	a	UC Santa Barbara Undergrad EVP	px	International Students Affairs Officer	p
UCLA Undergrad LD	a	UC Santa Barbara Undergrad LD	px	Labor Relations Officer	p
UCLA Undergrad OD	a	UC Santa Barbara Undergrad OD	px	Underrepresented Students Officer	a
UCLA Grad EVP	a	UC Santa Barbara Grad EVP	a	Transfer Student Affairs Officer	a
UCLA Grad LD	a	UC Santa Barbara Grad LD	a	CFO	p
UCLA Grad OD	a	UC Santa Barbara Grad OD	a		