Convene Board of Directors

Host Campus Welcome
Krystill: Welcome to UCSD; campus directions. Meeting tomorrow is at the Price Center.

Public Comment
2nd year at UCSB. Board Chair for CalPirg Students. Recent victories: solar energy, motor-voter act, banning plastic bags (helped passed the state-ban proposition), hunger and homelessness.
Jonathan-CalPirg Branch at UCSD, corporate money in elections, press conference on January 21st, also looking forward to working with UCSA on voter registration. Expensive textbooks campaigns, working with professors to have open textbooks classes (grant to help professors with the open textbook transition).

Roll Call and Acknowledgement of Proxies

1. UCB UG: Present
2. UCB G: Present
3. UCI: Absent (late)
4. UCI GSA: Absent (late)
5. RG: Absent
6. UCSB undergrad: Absent (late)
7. UCSCAS: Present
8. UCSCGSA: Present
9. UCMAS: Present
10. UCMGSA: Present
11. UCDAS: Present
12. UCDGSA: Present
13. UCSFP: Present
14. UCSFG: Present
15. UCSDAS: Present

Adoption of Agenda*
Approval of Minutes*

November: Student of Color Conference

Kevin: Response to ICE immigration raids, blank resolution that we can work on so that we can adopt it tomorrow under the parking lot.

Motion to adopt the Agenda by Rebecca, seconded by Betsy.
Approval of minutes: Motion to adopt minutes by Art. Seconded by Betsy.

Reports

President: 275 responses for legislative priorities survey
Board Chair: ED interviews, hoping to have one by middle of February; board evaluations please submit before tomorrow
Treasurer: Executive retreat. No significant spending for right now; but is thinking about resolution regarding spending funds when we have a surplus, especially for student programming support.
Board Vice Chair (Yanira (linked to Agenda; logistics for UCSD meeting)
Staff Report is linked to Agenda; offices were closed for two weeks
Campuses

UCSB GSA: white student union statement, libel issues
**UCSC GSA**: Chancellor’s Christmas party talked about campus issue; lengthy conversation about sexual harassment policy and graduate criticism on this; secured additional $12,000 in travel grants from Graduate Division, student regents visited campus to discuss housing. Admin concern about student increases for next year.

**UCSFP**: Meeting with UC Hastings (Law School) regarding housing admissions; only 20% of bay area students get housing so this is a huge issue. Met with administration and signed an MOU to house some UCSF students in Hastings. Financial aid

Avi: Student regents talking about housing solutions for campuses that can build anymore.

**UCSCAS**: Student participation regarding HSI designation; UCSC hosting a MLK convocation and we’re all invited.

**UCBGSA**: Working to build ad-hoc committees around housing in the bay area and diversity. Pushing for development without displacement. Million Student March was successful. Executive retreat went well.

**UCMAS**: Not much since SOCC. Talking to administration to get Multicultural Center up and running by Fall 2016.

**UCMGSA**: Supported the multicultural center work being carried out by AS. Teach-in regards to November incident, so a lot of healing, and being able to feel safe (especially TAs going back to the classroom).

**UCSDAS**: Sexual Assault mandated peer training, planning UConsent Week, voter registration, trying to get MOU to be able to outreach in dorms, lobby efforts downtown regarding housing and affordability issues, mental health lobbying.

**UCSF**: $4000 stipend for housing?? Statement in support of Muslim. Transportation efforts to and from campus to other housing neighborhoods.

**UCDGA**: Grad-faculty mentorship relationships; anonymous survey about grad students’ relationship with advisor so starting to go over data.

**UCIAS**: Met with Counseling Center and finished rubric, and planning to become more involved with hiring process, SAGE meeting, Food Submit at UCI, starting to work on scholarship for students, MOU to carry-out "dorm-storm" for voter registration, UConsent workshop, and ensuring that we focus on violent prevention and not more policing.

**UCDAS**: UConsent Water Bottles give-out, sexual violence program will be launched in Spring, Mental Health Resource Fair, hiring committee for CAPS counselors that they’re hiring but working to make it a requirement to have student sit in committee in the future.

**UCSBAS**: Million Student March really successful. December retreat. UConsent—implementing demands from the sitting and sexual policy changes. Still working on Ignite campaign (solitary confinement display). Meeting with Vice-Chancellor about satellite counselors in dorms, need to follow up.

**UCRGSA**: Running out of money for conference travel grants, so they started email campaign that chancellor is not happy about (print all the emails about students who benefitted and those who didn’t get it and give it to the chancellor). Trying to locate funds.

**UCBAS**: Completed HowAreYou rubric, hired campaign manager for the campaign; FundtheUC, pushing senate to pass endorsement for tax proposal, million student march was successful, MOU for registering voters in dorms, Sexual Assault Commission work. Solidary march with Muslim students.

**Appointed Officers**

**Linked to Agenda**

**Student Regent**: Avi-Regents finalized Agenda and the Student Advisor Proposal is on the Agenda. After 41 years we are finally taking increasing the number of students to the table. Item is going to committee on governance (6 regents) and we need a simple majority vote for it to move to the entire board for voting, so he needs for us to push for their support. He wants to have a pack house during the meeting. Two regents are ending term in January, so we will be going through an appointed of regents and UCOP wants to push so that the governor engage students in the appointed process, which he often disregards.

**Student Regent-Designate**: Marcela: Input in regards to statement of solidarity has been problematic, just being able to send emails to students has been an issue, so not all schools are sending out emails to their students. Recruitment tour starts Tuesday at UCR,
promotion videos are out, contact information is out, meeting with undergrads and graduate, etc. UC Women's Caucus, cultural center work please work with Marcela. **University of California Office of the President:** Linked to agenda.  
**California Student Aid Commission:** Linked to Agenda  
**Student Senate for California Community Colleges/California State Student Association:** Kevin—meeting in Sacramento this weekend; joint voter registration work, applying for grants together, etc. Petition regarding system chancellor search committee which doesn’t include student representative. We’ve shared their petition on social media so share with community students. Also borrowing our legislative priority survey.  
**California State Student Association:** Kevin—Asked for support for SAGE when we start doing advocacy outreach so that they can share how it helped their organizations.

**020min (11:25A)**  
**UCSA Bylaw Amendments**  
Kevin Sabo, UCSA President

Kevin: Overhaul during Berkeley July meeting. Appointed Officers recognized as non-voting members of the Board.  
Job description for Appointed Officers (pg. 14)  
Getting rid of Advisory Councils since they’re now non-voting members  
Budget changes timeline

Motion to approve by Edgar; Seconded by Betsy.

**010min (11:45A)**  
**UCSA Online Voting**  
Guillermo Rogel, UCSA Board Chair

UCSA Online Voting—Guillermo  
No procedure to do online voting per our Bylaws. Maybe staff can gather data on how online voting takes place but wants to gather information from us. What should the process look like?  
Edgar: Doesn’t like voting by email, maybe google? If someone doesn’t vote, it’s an abstention.  
Guillermo: Not an email threat, have a system  
Rebecca: Two major online vote calls: one has to do with a lot of money; not the most transparent way to decide. Not everyone feels free to ask questions; 20 applications to review for this weekend, we should honor discussing these applications in UA. When possible we should have live voting, especially when money is involved we should not have online voting. If it need an immediate response, I understand but it can’t be an on-going thing.  
Jackie: Standardizing online voting and how other people do it well, and make sure we’re collecting the vote.  
Guillermo: It could like, on Monday voting goes out and turn it by Friday, etc.  
Edgar: Emergency voting do it via google, etc.  
David: You can only have 10 people on google hangouts, so we need another means.  
Art: Only external vote, so for the rest of the board it would be nice to have a discussion. Test something out for the next online vote.  
Kevin: Have staff look at mechanisms, bylaws under extreme circumstances the ED can make decisions, the president, the executive committee, and the board. You’re getting more requests for online voting because I don’t feel comfortable making these decisions on my own so I ask for your input. Let’s figure out a way to codify online voting, because we do need to get it done sometimes.  
Sean: Staff will look at proposals of what other folks do that it is transparent and secure. Will prepare something by the next board meeting.  
Guillermo: How do we make amendments to email votes, etc.  
Bianca: Google forms should be color-coded so that it is “EVP’s only, etc.)  
Nicole: Publicize it on our website.  
Motion to extend time:  
Phil: Recording if people are voting as amended or the original, etc.  
Edgar: If an item is for online voting, we can give or two days to amend it and then we vote on it.  
Guillermo: Staff we research this and then we’ll vote on it in February.

**020min (11:55A)**  
**Expectations for New Executive Director**  
Guillermo Rogel, UCSA Board Chair

Guillermo: Doesn’t change job description but what are our expectations  
Sean: What do you want to prioritize?  
Daniel: Background in student affairs or has worked with students
Mohsin: Aside from being capable to run a non-profit, they have to understand that they have to feel comfortable following the lead of a lot of 20 year olds. Seeing the UCSA president as their boss.
David: Somebody who is able to support people, staff and students. Help staff and us grow professionally.
Rebecca: Experienced in grant writing
Krystl: what qualities and skills is staff looking for?
   Toni: professional development; someone who can teach me to do my job better
   Julian: conflict management; take charge to move the team on a project
   Patty: Organizing background so that I can grow as an organizer
   Anais: Facilitator who can facilitate a conversation with the board (even though board changes every year)
more long-term
   Sean: A boss that can provide a vision for the board, staff, not just on a day-to-day but long-term, someone who can find new sources of revenues through grants/funding from outside, someone who can take charge and give a sense of direction.
Art: Someone able to navigate the bureaucracy of UCOP and UCSA; interpersonal communication skills that we might not see in an application
Guillermo: Focused on grants
Mohsin: Someone who is trained in conflict-resolution and manage inter-personal disputes among staff, students, etc.
Bianca: Someone who understands who the UC, regents work.
David: Relationships is important, so maybe someone who already has some relationships with foundations, certain people that can get grants, etc. Someone who has had success is going to be key.
Sam: Someone who can foster strong morale
Guillermo: Flexible; time-wise
Phil: Background in education
Jacqui: Where are you doing your search?
   Sean: Widely advertised; applications has closed so now we’re in the process of setting up interviews.

060min (11:23A) Discussion and Reflection on Student of Color Conference
Guillermo Rogel, UCSA Board Chair

Zach Helder: On call.
UCSA doesn’t oversee it, but usually we pay the bills for what goes wrong (financially or instances of exclusion by some students). How can we prepare better for it? How can UCSA be included more for the future ones?
Daniel: Not everyone has access to a smart phones to download the application required to view agenda.
Berkeley: We had printed agendas.
   Daniel: But it didn’t have a list of locations, where the workshops were at.
Yanira: Graduate specific workshops
Zach: Successful conference but specific things happened that we need to reflect on. Email from EVP office that they didn’t want me to come to the conference and remove “white folks” from my delegation to include students of color. Some of these students were Jewish students, so we need to address this. Members of my delegation left early because they didn’t feel welcomed.
Rebecca: We discussed it at executive retreat. If we have rubrics and guidelines they need to be transparent. Jewish students of color have an intersectional identity and we can’t selective execute these things. We can ruin relationships that UCSA has when we exclude people.
Daniel: We practice affirmative action; I do prioritize students that are underrepresented. People don’t know how to facilitate spaces so that’s something that we need to practice so that they can handle certain situations.
Marcela: UCR has a facilitator training that we developed for when we hosted SOCC. She can share that.
Yanira: Students of color and their allies
Kristine: SWANA caucus should address whatever problems they had internally; some of the workshops should have been released earlier, but would be nice to booking extra rooms in case specific groups want to meet and there is not space on the workshop/agenda. A lot of the facilitators were from the host campus, so we should encourage more undergrad/graduate facilitators from across the UC.
Guillermo: We should institutionalize some UCSA guidelines and facilitator training. We should assign a student of color task force to look over the bidding process and drafting guidelines.
David: I love that idea. I read the description of the conference. We need to focus on what the Agenda of the work is.
Edgar: I don’t like people feeling excluded at conferences, if this is a UCSA sanctioned conference, everyone should be welcome to attend these conferences. We can’t dictate someone’s identity, we can’t exclude groups just because of the color of their skin.
Rebecca: Motion to extend time by 20 minutes. Seconded by Kristine.
Rebecca: I think that we need to be specific about certain spaces so that people don’t feel excluded. We need better training for the board, so SOCC is a good space to offer those trainings. Maybe a research component for graduate students about these areas that are being discussed at SOCC.
Momo: More emphasis about UCSA, and the work we do and how they can get involved with UCSA work.
Art: SOCC becomes a conference campus, as opposed to a statewide conference; we should look at UCSA not giving the reign to someone else. Maybe we shouldn’t fully pass on the baton to the host campus moving forward.
Bianca: The mixed-race caucus is very difficult to facilitate (they had a privilege walk?) and she didn’t go back the second time because it didn’t feel comfortable. Training is significant to all spaces, but especially in the mix-race caucus.
Avi: Disinviting leadership is dangerous, UCSA as an institution when you’re trying to build relationships with different groups, when you exclude someone, it doesn’t make any sense. When you conflate white with Jewish is wrong. I’m an immigrant, non-English speaking. That my identify of being Jewish invalidates all these other identities in certain spaces is infuriating. We need to practice inclusivity in every space, as UCSA, as student leaders, we need to make sure we’re doing this. I want to support this task-force.
Marcela: Also want to be part of the task-force and I can be the trainer for caucus spaces.
Sam: SOCC has both open spaces and closed spaces. What’s an open space, what’s a closed one? We need to help the host campus prepare for this. How can facilitators enforce closed-spaces without excluding people? We also need to learn how to facilitate discussions about feedback post conferences.
David: Is there a UCSA reading list? Can we institutionalize this? We need to understand what intersectionality is.
How often do we reflect on our identities?
Motion to extend time by 10 minutes by Rebecca: seconded by Phil.
Tim: Mandatory workshop on the history of UCSA; history of racist institutions; mandatory workshop on white allies, etc.
Krystl: Who was the decision-making body that sent that email?
Mirium: I sent the email because the steering committee decided this. We got emails from student of colors at UCLA who didn’t get in because white students took their spaces. As a steering committee this prompted us to contact the EVP office at UCLA. Students were not kicked out from any caucus space. I didn’t get any email response when I sent the email; they were more than welcome to come but not as part of the delegation? We need more interactions between UCSA and the host campus because as you can see, UCSA doesn’t have a say on these decisions.
Guillermo: It was a great conference, but UCSA does need to address issues that are being raised. Defer all questions to task force.

045min (12:22P) Recess to Lunch and Systemwide Affairs Committee

105min (01:22P) Recess to University Affairs/Government Relations/Campus Action Committees (see agendas below)

220min (03:05P) Recess to Undergraduate/Graduate/Professional Committees (see agendas below)

000min (07:00P) Adjourn to Sunday, January 10, 2016

Board of Directors
Chair: Guillermo E. Rogel Jr., chair@ucsa.org
Vice Chair: Yanira Rivas Pineda, vicechair@ucsa.org

Sunday, January 10, 2016
Meeting Location: Student Community Center - Room D
10:00-12:15P, 01:20-03:00P

000min (10:00A) Convene Board of Directors

000min (10:00A) Roll Call and Acknowledgement of Proxies

1. UCB AS: Present
2. UCB GA: Present
3. UCD AS: Present
4. UCD GSA: Present
5. UCI AS: Present
6. UCI AGS: Absent
7. UCLA USAC: Present
8. UCM AS: Absent
9. UCM GSA: Absent (late)
005min (10:15A)  Public Comment
Kristine: UCSA website and looked at the budget so they want to make the budget look more cohesive and include units and line items.

040min (10:16A)  Discussion: Joint Lobbying with UCOP and UC Board of Regents
Guillermo Rogel, UCSA Board Chair
Whether or not joint lobbying with UCOP and regents is a good idea. This year there is no tuition on the table, but we do have concerns with the enrollment increase so we need to find out if we have items we agree with. Input?
Sean: chair Lozano is interested in doing joint-advocacy for this year. Because they won’t have a meeting in Sacramento they’ll be flying out specific regents and students (if we agree) for the lobby work. We only talk about items that we agree on during our lobby work. There are a number of benefits. Will happen in May.
Krystl: What are our biggest hesitations?
Sean: As we develop our budget priorities we might disagree on some points. Legislature gives a blank check to the university, we usually ask for strings attached to the funding coming in. Legislatively, last year we wanted to talk about SCA1 and they certainly didn’t want to.
Guillermo: The image that it will give to our students that we’re lobbying this year and we didn’t last year.
Rebecca: Unlike last year where the state and the UC were at different sides of the issues, right now students are being compromised, who is the biggest issues: the UC or the state? Good idea to open negotiations, I know that funding for graduate students could be a ask.
Phil: Last year student from my campus went to lobby with the regents, so at least we can be part of it.
Guillermo:
David: What are some things that we are not willing to compromise that the regents would want us to compromise on? And if we lobby we the regents, what is the added value to that that we can provide that someone else can’t?
Rebecca: The regents are going to lobby with and without students. We will also lobby independently.
Mohsin: When we voted not to joint-lobby last year, it surprise the office of UCOP, a big part is going to be negotiating on terms we agree on. Joint-lobbying is effective. Voting today is to start negotiating.
Kevin: Our Government relations meets with state government relations weekly. We get well in advance what the items are and to negotiate. We won’t be throwing any of our principles overboard. Is whether or not we want to entertain the idea of being the capitol at the same time.
Guillermo: Everyone knows what the context is. I like Mohsin’s point that we are not committing. Let’s have a vote if we want to explore this option?
David: Question: What do we lose by lobbying with the regents?
Mohsin: Last year, state wasn’t happy. This year, they’re not very popular with our students.
Yanira: Last year we couldn’t join-lobby
Kevin: It wasn’t only about tuition increase but that UCOP and the regents didn’t inform students about tuition increase ahead of time, etc. If both of us are in the room it forces a conversation about solutions. There’s certainly a benefit, and we will still do our independent lobbying.
Nicole: In government relationships we discussed some of the items, but we don’t yet know the specifics yet, and most of which we agree so...
Rebecca: If going in with the regents means that we can get more funding for our students then we owe it to the students to best represent students in those lobby visits.
Guillermo: echoing what Kevin said, we can start the negotiating process. Motion to start the negotiation process to lobby with UCOP and regents.
  Motion by Edgar; seconded by Sam. No objections so motion passes.
David: Can they send a list of what they’ll be lobbying for?
Guillermo: Yeah.
Kevin: and Legislative Directors are going to plugged to that as well.

030min (10:41A)  Student Advocacy, Governance and Engagement (SAGE) Fee Update (linked to agenda)
Kevin Sabo, UCSA President and Anaïs LaVoie, UCSA Communications Director
Kevin: Thank you to some of you who worked with staff to present SAGE on other campuses. As a board we have stepped out our presence in campuses, so I commend the board for that. 10 associations have passed SAGE resolution, and we will be going back to other campuses to pass those resolutions. No campuses have voted against it. We will be presenting to UCSDG (first non-member association that we will be presenting to). Students have questions about UCSA autonomy, UCOP retaliating against students, and we already pay fees to UCOP so that won't be something new. For transparency we're improving the website so that we have more information for students to view important financial information, decisions, etc. Napolitano is interested in presenting SAGE with me to the regents. It's supportive of getting UCSA on a more financial footing.

Anais: Is anyone interested in joining SAGE working group? Kristine, Timothy, etc.

Kristine: Can we also get students who are interested in our campuses? Yes.

Kevin: The best way to communicate concerns about SAGE is through the working group.

Edgar: If there's an organization that votes against SAGE, it doesn't affect it, right?

Kevin: We don't know if this will be a UCOP or regent changes. As long as no more than a third of associations vote against it, we should be fine.

Rebecca: Have we heard from Legal Council?

Sean: I've sent 3 emails and they haven't answered so we need to talk to student affairs. Two attorneys assigned to it; the higher-ranking one has left for an extended period of time.

Timothy: Contingency plan: If all students want, do we have a plan to overhaul UCOP's inaction, in case they don't implement?

Kevin: If we don't have a system solution, then we do a campus-to-campus solution.

Krypsi: In your tour, did you find that a lot of campuses had to run a campus referendum for UCSA? I think that's our biggest roadblock.

Kevin: The chancellors already has the authority to eliminate referendum fees (it hasn't happened, yet). We can work with campus chancellors to eliminate the fee, or you can run another referendum to abolish it. We would coordinate running a referendum or meeting with chancellors. I am more than happy to meet with whoever you throw to me to help.

Guillermo: Let's say it gets approve, except for one association who is facing a roadblock who can't rollback? Are they still members?

Kevin: SAGE doesn't have membership so they'll be members under SAGE.

Edgar: Status of UCSB?

Mohsin: Students want to see student involvement and student vote on this?

Kevin: Wherever there are questions, this is when we go back and hold a town hall.

Edgar: My concern is more in the sense that if your senate decides to pull out of UCSA?

Mohsin: Senate can't because they would have to run a referendum (two-year process) which is highly unlikely to happen.

Kevin: This is not happening tomorrow; UCSA needs to resources in order to do its job. SAGE is going to continue to change please be open to have dialogue so that it can be a more perfect proposal.

Art: Is there a one-pager or e-version of the proposal that we can pass out?

040min (10:59) Review of UCSA Mid-Year Board Evaluation
Guillermo Rogel, UCSA Board Chair
20 minutes to do Board evaluations right now.

015min (11:28A) Update on Governor Brown's Proposed Budget (linked)
Adam Robak, UCSA Government Relations Chair & Sean Connelly, UCSA Government Relations Director
Financial Aid increases are awful. We need to urge the legislators for higher Cal Grant levels.

040min (11:41A) Revisit Items in Parking Lot
Guillermo: Talking points for enrollment plans giving housing and food security.
Betsy: 5000 students per year
Sean: Over 3 years, 5000 this year and 2500 for the other two years. 600 grads.
Anais: 2000 grades over 3 years, 700 this year and 600 over the next two years.
Kevin: State as an interest in enrolling undergraduate students, and they don't acknowledge graduate students because apparently they don't exist. Catering to parents of undergraduate students by enrolling more students. But someone has to teach them so we need graduate students. It is irresponsible about ramming more students without talking about student services (classroom spaces, housing availability, food insecurity). They're exacerbating the problem.
Guillermo: Grad, any specific asks?
David: In this, is there a commitment to diversity?
Guillermo: No.
David: If we were to accept this, can we add diversity?
Momo: We talked about this in UA, but it's important to have a board discussion. Talk about the composition of the students. How realistic is it?
Guillermo: The U.C. gave a briefing supporting affirmative action, so Napolitano did tell admissions to support AA.
Adam: they can’t have any specific language in regards to AA because of prop. 209.
Rebecca: We can’t have talking points if we don’t have a stance as a board. All the editorials came in favor of enrollment proposal, but every campus newspaper has come out against this because on the ground this is a problem. We should write editorials for the LA Times because the public is not seeing this. This is irresponsible, your students will get in but they won’t get educated. They won’t have strong mental health, no contact with professors, etc. We need to have a stance and a vote as a board.
Tim: What are we going to do? Op-eds focusing on the problem is a good idea.
Mohsin: Board needs to understand what our asks specifically are, we may have the capacity to get more funding to address housing and food insecurity.
Betsy: Napolitano has been working with campuses about housing and food insecurity?
Guillermo: The state gives $10,000 per student but with these $25 million they’re giving each student $5000 and the U.C. has to match it, and they’re doing this by cutting out-of-state financial aid.
Adam: Things are just going to get worse; we should be pushing for more UC schools. If we’re going to accept more students, we should have more space.
Sam: Good opportunity to tie this to our campaigns; mental health accessibility for example.

United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) Deportation Raids*
UCSA Administrative Assistant, Office Renovation, and Staff Benefits Plan

020min (11:55A) Campus Syria Consortium Invitation
Christopher Records, Graduate Student Government Senator - University of Southern California
50 institutions worldwide. Offer spaces for students seeking to finish their education in a safe space. 5th year of Syrian civil war. 4.2 million have fled the country. 100,000 young Syrians were enrolled in college at the start and have not been able to finish their degrees. Consortium founded in 2004? It has helped places of refuge of hundreds of scholars. Host refugee scholars and students. Screening process lasts 18-24 months. USC joined consortium in September. Undergraduate, Graduate and Faculty Senate were asked to provide housing and funding in October, currently in discussion with administration to provide housing and funding for 3-10 Syrian students.
Questions:
Guillermo: what does the implementation look like? We started this effort via resolutions passed in October and November. The Syrian Consortium is just an agreement between the sponsor institution and the IEB. Institutions take between 1-45 students. USC will take 3-10 students, provide 30-50% and the IEB will pay the remaining.
Timothy: You’re asking us to join the consortium? Most institutions provide some sort of funding? If you choose to take this on for your own institution. You can start with a resolution in your own institution.
Momo: Are you willing to come to UCR to do a presentation?
Yes
Mirium: Is there a way to get a hold of resolutions
Krstl: I forwarded to you.
You can search for Tuff resolution, it’s online. My contact information if you want to speak at my school: records@usc.edu
Mohsin: Is the plan to take it back to our campus, pass the resolution.
Yes.
Yanira: the resolution is the first step, then you would have to follow through and get the funding for the students, etc.
Krstl: Your undergrad senate didn’t pass it; can you elaborate?
Our graduate students did pass it. Our undergrads didn’t (6 of the 12 did agree with it) because of Islamophobia; it was not similar in our Faculty Senate or Graduate.
Kristine: Process for IEB
The regular student visa process with some additional accommodations for the fact that a lot of these students are in refugee camps or still in Syria. IEB has a network of contacts in refugee camps and Syria and they take a lead in connecting students to host institutions.
David: The UC is dealing with a housing crisis. Are any of the Universities guaranteeing housing? If you’re bringing students here from political turmoil, we want to make sure they have housing.
At USC we didn’t have this issue but this is something to think about in your own campuses.
Timothy: I don’t know if we pass a resolution here as UCSA or each of our campuses or if we address Islamophobia.

045min (12:11P) Recess to Lunch

000min (01:00P) Reconvene Board of Directors

Enrollment growth conversation continue:
Momo: UA pre-defined talking points. We want to see an increase in resources for these students. I think UA took care of it.
Guillermo: Forward these talking points.
Jaqui: Graduate perspective: burden of teaching is going to be on the graduate students. An injustice to our educational system to admit these students and not have the resources for them, and not get a good quality of education.
Kristine: Student-instructor ratio. It is a heavy burden, instead of trying to hire more instructors. What is that demographic going to look like? Are they going to be instructors that look like students?
Guillermo: If they're adjunct faculty, and their benefits.
Rebecca: We have talking points for UA, but we did it backwards. UA is not supposed to just come up with talking points, we come up with agendas but we need to have these conversations publicly. We need to partner with UAW to talk about class sizes, etc. We need a vote, maybe a resolution because this is an issue that can have political repercussions. Partnerships with unions, Academic Senate, letting our appointed officers, etc.
Krystl: Are we on the process on taking an official stand as to whether or not we support the 10,000 students? Our place right now is very ambiguous.
Kevin: We're in agreement that we can support if it is amended; are we going to oppose it unless it is amended. We need to decide.
Momo: Is there anybody on board who is strongly enrollment increase.
Guillermo: Is not that we don't supported is that we're concern
Yanira: Guillermo and Kevin start draft resolution and the committee each send their specific asks/demands. Momo: Make sure we have this before the regents meetings. Phil: If we know what each campus is expecting to get we can craft our specific demands.
Kevin: Start working document on google. There are concrete numbers already. Rebecca: Talk to your admin; they have the numbers and been negotiating with UCOP and have interesting things to say about this. Concur with Yanira that board/executive start resolution and then have staff craft messages, etc.
Guillermo: Any motions?
Rebecca: We can start the resolution and we can add to it.
Timothy: Online voting?
Kevin: We still have online voting; we just need to craft the kinks.
Anais: This is a great time to take a stance giving the regents meeting.
Phi: Motion to have the executive committee to draft a resolution by Friday.
Ricardo: Is the resolution saying with agree with the growth but demanding?
Guillermo: Like Kristine was saying, it is not black and white.
Rebecca: This is what the UC requires before it can implement this plan. If you want this, this is what you need.
Phi: Motions to include student needs before it can be implemented. Resolutions then turns into a statement. Motion seconded by Rebecca.
Guillermo: Please read your emails.

030min (01:36P) Action Against Islamophobia
Priscilla Ibrahim, MEMSSA Coalition Community Member
Hates crimes skyrocketing against anyone who looks middle astern. Berkeley will have a Day of Action against Islamophobia and racism because people feel unsafe. We want it to be a systemwide event. Every campus can do their own type of action.
List of demands: UC regents provide counselors to SWANA committee, condemn Islamophobia and racism on their campuses, that the U.S. accept more Syrian refugees, end to the harassment of hijabi women in public spaces, and an end to Islamophobia and racism in all shapes and forms.
We want a UCSA resolution in solidarity and to get involved in this and help coordinate this.
Sam: What's the date?
UCLA, Berkeley and UCSB are currently involved. Sometime in early March.
Tim: Is it only UC?
Our focus is on the UC but we're also reaching out to CSU's as well.
Tim: What is MEMSSA?
Middle-Eastern, Muslim, Sikh, and South Asian
Tim: Are they present in other campuses?
I'm not sure?
Kristine: Who should we contact to coordinate?
Me: prirsclaiibrahim@gmail.com or Mirium or Yazmheen.
Tim: We have an opportunity as a body of students to pass a resolution.
Rebecca: This sounds like a request from an action? What type of resources you need from us and what our CAC can do.
People power, flyers, getting people to help with that, etc. Also media connections.
Guillermo: I wouldn't feel comfortable passing a resolution without checking in with our MSA, etc.
Momo: We shouldn't wait for a response from MSA's. We can do that on our own.
Yanira: Concrete steps, provide us with contact information so that we can send students who want to get involved in the working group.
Guillermo: Can you send me the contact information and we as board can take a stance during our February meeting.

015min (01:59P) Parking Lot: ICE resolution (linked to Agenda)

Rebecca: Did President Napolitano renew the $5 million for undocumented students?
We don't know?
Also, add citations
Timothy: Is the UN citation, correct? Make sure we cited.
Edgar: Is the point about Napolitano necessary? It's a personal attack.
Bianca: Should we add to demand that no UC student and families remain untouched
Mohsin: It goes really good, but we need to recognize that the violence that this people are running away from has to do with U.S. sponsored violence in Latin America
Rebecca: we can add the creation of undocumented task force and $5 million by Napolitano and ask for renewal for the program.
Tim: history of U.S. intervention is a great point to add
Kevin: anyone can edit it
Ricardo: Would be wrong to leave Napolitano’s role in department.
Guillermo: So we balance it with her work.
Phil: Ask something specific from Napolitano
Kristina: the working group is closed;
Yanira: we can ask them to renew
David: After we pass this resolution, what are we going to do?
Daniel: Napolitano didn’t do this out of the kindness of her heart; acknowledge that undocumented students pressured UCOP to carry out those changes
Patti: They're convening their next undocumented student summit so we can ask for that
Rebecca: to show what we want is to show progress
Doesn't think it should be passed.
Momo:
Kevin: It is important to know that the board can pass the resolution and then CAC can take it up if they want to have an action.
Timothy: Lack of clarity of what UCSA does, there are resolution, there's action, and there's lobbying. Institutionalize those guidelines. Kyrstl: concrete ask, wait until we get concrete student feedback
Danielle: Is there a specific reason why we're not naming specific countries?
Momo: Move to pass this resolution with amendments and citations included. Seconded by Betsy.
Guillermo: Any objections?
Phil: We're adding undocumented student work and footnotes?
   Yes?

Roll Call:
UCBAS: Yes
BGSA:
UCIAs:
UCIGSa: Absent
UCRG: Abstain

Motion passes.

Appointed Officers: Kevin
Matthew Santos was the runner-up and he is still available for the position and the executive committee
Kevin motions to appoint Matthew Santos. Seconded by Momo. No objections!!

015min (02:25P) Reports and Ratifications of Committee Actions*

Momo: UA (regental relationships: all regents paired with an EVP) planning a mixer with them (more information at next meeting). Planning for UCOP meeting and finishing talking points for regents meeting. We will forward to the rest of the board (the talking points).

Government Relations: Start meeting with legislators, federal advocacy program ($16,000 to lobby at a federal level so in the process of planning those trips; let Adam know if you want to be part of that: probably February and March). Budget and legislative priorities: housing and food security. UCOP priorities as well.

CAC: Power-mapping activity, how do we mobilize our campaigns (what has been done and how do we amplify the message?), holding teachings on our campus, talked about GOTV and registering voters and every event that we have to increase student voice and opinion in California voting policy.

UG: Reflection on HowAreYou? Campaign, approved evaluations of different CAP centers that we made, we will set-up the rubric soon, printing op-eds about the state of our mental health services in campus newspapers, several asks for each campaign and working with each campus to have their demands and asks ready to talk about with administration. UConsent peer-to-peer education training, ran into problems with UCOP so we need to go back and negotiate with them.

Grads: Went over graduate agenda, pieces that we can send as talking points to UA, policy journal (intent to submit is up), getting stipends for the editors of the policy journal, SLC agenda for grad, policy symposium where call for workshops would be call for research presentation, Jackie being our official liaison to NAGPS.

Motion to ratify committee actions by Phil, seconded by Rebecca. No objections to motion passes.

2:34p-Kevin
Administrative position: Sean will work on work description, hiring goes to executive committee and then the board.

Oakland Office: Renovations are half-way done; will update via email.
   Toni: Office hours Fridays from 2-6m. Call us!

Motion to Adjourn by Rebecca, seconded by Mohsin. No objections!!

000min (02:36P) Adjourn to Saturday, February 10, 2015 at the University of California, Santa Barbara
Undergraduate Committee
Chair: Mohsin Mirza, ugchair@ucsa.org
Vice Chair: Sam Alavi, ugvicechair@ucsa.org
Saturday, January 10, 2016
Meeting Location: Dolores Huerta Room, Student Center
03:15P-07:00P

220min (02:55P)  Convene Undergraduate Committee

110min (02:55P)  #HowAreYou
- Positive Reflection/ Campus Check-Ins
- Recap of Fall Quarter
- Progress on Rubric
- Re-assessing SMH Strategy - Presentation from Vash
- Collecting Student Anecdotes
- Goal Setting

110min (04:30P)  UConsent
- Positive Reflections / Campus Check-Ins
- Update on Symposium Proposal
- Revisiting the goals of symposium - forming work group
- Creating Survey of Peer education programs
- Adjudication Model
- Goal Setting

000min (04:15P)  Adjourn Undergraduate Committee

Graduate/Professional Committee
Chair: Danielle Bermudez, gpchair@usca.org
Vice Chair: Sifat Reazi, gpvicechair@ucsa.org
Saturday, January 10, 2016
Meeting Location: Bamboo Room, Student Center
03:15P-05:35P

140min (03:15P)  Convene Graduate/Professional Committee

- Campus Updates
- Provost Dorr Meeting Follow Up
- Policy Journal
- SLC Legislative Priorities for Grads
- Grad Agenda Breakout
- Tasks and Timeline Building
- Parking Lot

000min (05:35P)  Adjourn Graduate/Professional Committee

University Affairs Committee
Chair: Iman Sylvain, uachair@ucsa.org
Vice Chair: Mohamed Momo Hussein, uavicechair@ucsa.org
Saturday, January 9, 2016
Meeting Location: Dolores Huerta Room, Student Center
01:30P-03:15P

000min (01:30P)  Convene University Affairs Committee

010min (01:40P)  Regents Mixer

020min (01:50P)  Update on Regent Relationships - EVP Email

060min (02:10P)  Budget and Enrollment Talking Points

000min (03:15P)  Adjourn to Board of Directors

**Government Relations Committee - Committee Agenda Link**
Chair: Adam Robak, grchair@ucsa.org
Vice Chair: Georgia Savage, grvicechair@ucsa.org
Saturday, January 9, 2016
Meeting Location: Bamboo Room, Student Center
01:30P-03:15P

000min (01:10P)  Convene Government Relations Committee

010min (01:10P)  Campus Lobby Corps Updates

005min (01:20P)  Government Relations Director Update

i.  Current Projects

ii.  Issues Update

010min (01:25P)  Federal Advocacy Program Update

005min (01:35P)  Discussion- Budget Process Overview

015min (01:40P)  Discussion- 2016-17 CA Governor's Proposed

030min (02:10P)  Discussion- UCSA Budget Priorities

015min (02:25P)  Discussion- UCSA Legislative Priorities and Updates

015min (02:40P)  Discussion- Legislative Process Overview

000min (02:55P)  Adjourn to Board of Directors

**Campus Action Committee**
Chair: David Turner, cachair@ucsa.org
Vice Chair: Dana Patterson, cavicechair@ucsa.org
Saturday, January 9, 2016
Meeting Location: TBA
01:10P-02:55P

015min (01:10P)  Convene Campus Action Committee

035min (01:10P)  Power Mapping the Campus
040min (01:45P) Dream Session for UConsent and #HowAreYou

030min (02:25P) Get Out the Vote Strategic Planning

000min (02:55P) Adjourn to Board of Directors