Meeting starting and ending times: 10:25pm to 11:30pm (Saturday), 10:25pm-02:25pm (Sunday)

Meeting location or format: UC Santa Cruz

Facilitator(s): Kevin Sabo, Chair

Note taker: David Santillan, SFO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roll Call:</th>
<th>Undergraduate Student Association</th>
<th>Graduate Student Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC Santa Cruz AS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>UC Santa Cruz GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Berkeley AS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>UC Berkeley GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Merced AS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>UC Merced GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Los Angeles AS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>UC Irvine GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Santa Barbara AS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>UC Santa Barbara GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Riverside AS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>UC Riverside GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC San Diego AS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>UC San Francisco Prof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC San Francisco GS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Action Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roll call Adoption of Agenda Approval of Minutes</td>
<td>Roll Call taken. A quorum was present.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The meeting Agenda was adopted unanimously.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The November 2014 Minutes were approved unanimously.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Action Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guest Speaker</td>
<td>Welcome to the District: Q&amp;A with Santa Cruz Assemblymember Mark Stone.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports</td>
<td>We sent in a letter to UCOP regarding our decisions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brief recap of Exec committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan (UCSF) did resign from his position</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In regards to the dues resolution we have on the table: UCLA grad students are interested in joining as well.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goals for committees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Quick discussion for UC reimbursements
- Napolitano meetings, legislature meetings have been around joint advocacy, these next few months will be legislative intensive

**Chair**

- UCLA grad students are interested in rejoining. I have amended the resolution to include UCLA grads.
- UCSA presently only represents 70 percent of all students. In terms of other chair responsibilities, I appointed a personnel committee. We have been doing evaluations of staff.
- Also appointed Allyson, Guillermo, and Jane to the Communications Director hiring committee.
- Held exec retreat 12/20/14
- Re: divestment resolution - great public interest moved to Feb meeting. Postponed it so that we have a longer period to solicit feedback from our campuses. Some of the campuses have not yet taken up the resolution.
- Give additional time to board members, to present and put forth resolution.

**Secretary and Finance Report**

- Tracked closely both budget and projections for 2014 revenue and expense. It positions us well going into the New Year; books have been cleaned up.
- We will see a good ratio of revenue to expense; the balance sheet is a snapshot of what we actually have.

**Committee Reports:**

- UG: had a call with Paty and Julian. Committee calls took place during Nov.
- Leg: Lyssa: Brown Budget, bill overview
- CAC: Aurea - focus on fund the UC and make something for Black history month. Also, do something for UConsent.
- Univ. Affairs: Allyson: had meetings with regents and UCOP, Jefferson gave overall update.
- GradProf: Iman - our Jobs report is finalized and ready to be shared. This committee space hashed out our campaigns.

**Environmental Liaison**

- No report

**USSA Liaison**

- Legislative/ Action Updates
  - Pell Grant Funding Petition Circulation
  - Rapid Response Updates for the 2015 Fiscal Year
  - December Legislative Update

- LegCon
  - Regular Registration will be open until Feb. 4.
  - Will take suggestions for topics at at LegCon to conference committee.

- Statewide Student Power Summit will be March 26th

- Registration will open in January.

- Campaign Updates
  - SGA Resolutions
  - Campaign Petitions
  - Campaign ARCS

- State of Emergency
  - Ongoing Teach-ins
    - UC Riverside
    - UC Santa Cruz
    - UC Santa Barbara
Ending Sexual Violence
- Lobbying efforts for Campus Accountability and Safety Act (CAS), Office for Civil Rights (OCR) Letter

Fund Our Future
- Teach-ins, toolkits and literature
- Potential Fund the UC collaboration

Millions March LA
- The USSA Board of Directors represented. Made contact with the primary organizers and we will be working together to coalition build across the state. Working with this organization would be a great addition to the IGNITE.

Memoria y Resistencia Converge on the White House
- January 6, USSA staff attended a protest focused on the disappearance of 43 students from the teachers college in Ayotzinapa, Mexico. The protest corresponded with Mexico’s President Enrique Peña Nieto’s meeting with President Obama at the White House.
- GROW (GrassRoots Organizing Weekend) Train the Trainers coming up January 22-26. It is a comprehensive three-day training for student organizers.

Current Projects

Live Safe
- Currently being used by institutions across 18 states; provides effective technology-based methods to share information about sexual assault, mental health, and campus violence — preventing incidents before they occur.
- Ability to send texts and video anonymously through the app to report or prevent crimes from occurring
- Idea: This directly connects with UCSA’s UConsent Campaign and it’s on US campaign that is being run on many campuses.

President Obama’s Free Community College Proposal
- There will be opportunities to be in contact with the Obama administration within the coming weeks.

Collaboration with UCSA and Student Debt Crisis
- Student Debt Crisis is an org dedicated to fundamentally reforming the way in which higher education is paid for in America. Working with Natalia Abrams ED, former UC alum; excited to work with UCSA to combat tuition hikes and move Fund the UC campaign forward.

West Coast Staff Report:
https://docs.google.com/a/usstudents.org/document/d/16ZMwt-TGyaFZoxYUj3q5jkdhd8yUqjxPzJ9Nu2FyCo/edit

Student Regents Reports

Sadia:
Hi Everyone,
Happy New Year! I hope everyone’s New Years are off to a great start. Avi and I will be kicking off our very recruitment tour this Monday at UCSB. I’ve attached each of the campus dates for you all and have been working with staff at each of the campuses to organize an entire day to meet with student groups and host open forums to talk about the application process. I hope your offices will help in advertising the dates and the open forums. It is my priority to meet specifically with students of color and students that typically take their name out of the hat. We are hoping to get our application numbers up higher than last year’s.
During MLK Weekend, we will be hosting the first California Higher Education
Food Summit at UCSB, inviting students, staff, and admin from UCs, CSUs, and community colleges. We're working in conjunction with the GFI subcommittee on Operationalizing Food Security to envision a system wide plan and then begin hammering out the details.

As you all know, the Governor's budget just came out with very little funding for UC and not enough to cover the tuition increase. I'm traveling for a big chunk of this week but I'll be in touch with Jefferson and Allison to figure out what best next steps should be for student organizing.

That's pretty much it for now, but please let us knows if there is anything else we can do. Thanks!

Avi:
- We are launching UCLA Circle of 6 this week. The app is live and available for free.
- I’m conducting preliminary research for student representation proposal. fleshing out the different options we have, and once we get the foundation for it, we will present it to UCSCA and student governments in order to collaborate.
- Student Regent visits:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Campus</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mon 1/12</td>
<td>UC Santa Barbara</td>
<td>Miles Ashlock</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Miles.ashlock@sa.ucsb.edu">Miles.ashlock@sa.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed 1/14</td>
<td>UC Irvine</td>
<td>Marie Paulo</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mpaulo@uci.edu">mpaulo@uci.edu</a></td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tues 2/10</td>
<td>UC Riverside</td>
<td>Susan Ortega</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Susan.allenortega@ucr.edu">Susan.allenortega@ucr.edu</a></td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon 1/26</td>
<td>UC Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Lucy Rojas</td>
<td><a href="mailto:larojas@ucsc.edu">larojas@ucsc.edu</a></td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed 1/28</td>
<td>UCSD</td>
<td>Hayley Weddle</td>
<td><a href="mailto:hweddle@ucsd.edu">hweddle@ucsd.edu</a></td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon 1/2</td>
<td>UCSF</td>
<td>Jennifer Rosko</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Jennifer.rosko@ucsf.edu">Jennifer.rosko@ucsf.edu</a></td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tues 2/3</td>
<td>UC Berkeley</td>
<td>Kelsey Finn/Nikou</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Kelsey.finn@berkeley.edu">Kelsey.finn@berkeley.edu</a></td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed 2/4</td>
<td>UCLA</td>
<td>Orlando Luna</td>
<td><a href="mailto:oluna@saonet.ucla.edu">oluna@saonet.ucla.edu</a></td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon 2/9</td>
<td>Davis</td>
<td>Julie Mustard</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jamustard@ucdavis.edu">jamustard@ucdavis.edu</a></td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed 2/11</td>
<td>UC Merced</td>
<td>Lisa Perry</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lperry@ucmerced.edu">lperry@ucmerced.edu</a></td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resolution Suspending Article IV, Section F of the UCSA Charter: Temporarily Waiving Membership Dues for the Associated Students of the University of California, Davis and the University of California, Los

Kevin: when I ran for chair, UCSA represents 70% percent of UC students. Been active in speaking with EVP from non-member campuses about what we can do to get them to join UCSCA.

The promise is that for the member associations that are inactive, we can grant them status.

How much are we willing to spend to get these students back at the table? UC Davis is down to rejoin, they left back in 2006. UCLA Grads are also interested in re-joining.

Comments:
Adam: In our by-laws, it states that they can join and pay when they can.
Harley, UC Davis student director of advocacy and representation: Over the past politics at UC Davis. Have been having positive experiences with UCSCA. Because we left so long ago, the budget has been restructured. It’s a big
| Angeles Graduate Student Association* | change to make, in adding a line item for UC Davis UCSA Dues. Moshin: what was the reason for leaving in 2006? Harley: a lot of people at the time questioned the effectiveness of UCSA as the Davis campus is so close to the Capitol for lobbying. Guillermo: is your position appointed? Kevin: resolution states that it waives dues for this year. It expires if you do not commit to pay, then you are no longer members. Sean: legislative advocacy support of this, from my side of it, it’s complicated when you have two associations lobbying on the same items. Abe: speak on you not being elected, it would be up to each association, it’s not for us to say how members get here. It would probably be better if your position was elected, gives you more legitimacy to come to the board meetings and vote. Iman: I’m curious about the structure of your student government and relationship with your GA. Harley: our associations are very distinct. Structure is very straightforward. 12-member senate with ex officio members. We have a judiciary. Relationship with GS is not strong. No objections to extending time by 5 mins. Time is extended by 5 min. Motion to pass the resolution |
| Reclaim California Higher Education Campaign | We are trying to reestablish the 1960 plan of higher education: free and state supported. Affordability was decimated. The UC tuition has gone up 59% since the 2009 academic year. If Janet Napolitano gets her agenda for tuition increase, price to attend college will rise astronomically. It continues to climb and it’s unacceptable.
It’s difficult for students to afford the cost of college. The UC is looking to out of state students for revenue. Almost 10% of our students are international. We are trying to get community orgs and faculty staff throughout all 3 systems to say the same thing. More and more orgs coming together to erode the trend of increasing costs.
Napolitano, has gone big with the 5% increase fee, many colleges are implementing a successful rate fee to help cover the cost of college. Asking UCSA to partner with us on March 10th in lobbying efforts. Rebecca; specific legislation and where the money should come from? Yanira: reading this you say that you call on regents to lower fees if state contributes more money? Amy: we are actually the opposite, we’re saying when they give us the money, and we need to see the cost of fees go down. |
| Courage Campaign: Students with Courage | Presenters: Tim Molina, Eddie Kurtz, and Aja Campbell. • Have been involved in almost every progressive campaign in CA.  o Have worked on Prop 30.  o Worked on oil severance tax last summer.  o This fall worked on Prop 47; roll back the war on drugs. • What we do, who we are: online backbone of progressive moment. • We are here because very year it seems that there is a fight over tuition, we need to expand the conversation. • CA is upside down, from what the UC system once was. • Ronald Reagan cut state funding for higher education, used students for his own political agenda. Called national guard to crush student protesters • Where are we today? Student debt avg reaching nearly $30,000. |

Resolution carries unanimously

Next meeting Jan 22nd at ASFCME 3299. Send an officer/student to attend partner on March 10th in lobbying efforts.
- Students are having a hard time paying back the loans.
- We build momentum and stop tuition hikes.
- Unite the Student movement with the progressive movement in CA.
- Here to ask if UCSA is in to work with them for a free, accessible UC.

Guillermo: UCSA was founded on these principles. What will you bring to the table?

Tim: the thing is that we want to help out, support you all.

Aurea: I really do love the idea of this. What is the best strategy to organize around this? Most of ours students want to get involved.

Allyson: A lot of the things mentioned are the overarching goal of UCSA, aside from having a volunteer on the committee, how does your campaign look in regards to what is going on now?

Eddie: whatever UCSA is doing let us know. We’ll blast it all over the internet. There has never been good communication between UCSA and us. We want to help amplify that message.

| Fund The UC and Tuition Update | Jefferson: Jan and Feb will be legislative intensive. Regents want to lobby with us. Today we ratified that we won’t be. Napolitano asked executive committee what support we need from UCOP for our lobbying efforts. We were able to see our potential talking points. UCOP is the keeper of the data. President Napolitano said she would send out a letter on behalf of our students to all of their supporters. Allyson: the reason why I want us to talk about fund the UC, is so when it comes to organizing or legislative matters I want us all to have a structure when going to UG, Grad committees. Seann: this is the chart we used to help everyone understand what is going on in Sacramento. Assembly Plan: This plan does not have a bill. Speaker Atkins has not put together the actual language. They are planning to bring it to budget language rather than legislative. Something that we are more likely to align ourselves with. Senate Plan: Is a bill - SB 15: both legislative plans avoid, buy down the tuition increase. The Assembly plan places an enrollment cap at 14-15 levels. Atkins plan, accelerated plan on the middle class scholarship. Senator De Leon’s plan calls for the repeal of the middle class scholarship. Jefferson: have leg committee move with our legislative ideals, CAC around organizing and UA as well. Organize through legislative committee, maintain our presence at the capital, lobbying efforts, people to testify and write letters, we have ideas of what we want. Seann: as Fund the UC takes shape, this is a good year to build on the campaign itself. Abe: we should consider joining a coalition with Courage Campaign. This is a huge opportunity for a visible organization to collaborate with us beyond Fund the UC. Caitlin: With Courage Campaign, when we go lobby with them, we are taken more seriously than just going as students alone. Melvin: Coalition building would be great. Guillermo: the level of commitment should be regulated and confined. It’s great to sign on to it. Marcy: keep in mind when you join a coalition, ask what are you trying to get out of it, what additional channels do you gain? |
### Debrief

**UA:** recapped a lot of UC messaging. Messaging priorities for Napolitano to take back the stability plan, Gov. Brown to fund the UC. Went into a lot of Fund the UC info to bring back to the board.  
**CAC:** 19th through 23rd be consent week  
IGNITE teach in  
Feb: UConsent week of the 8th photo campaign/Introduce the app.  
Grad Prof: we had a conversation on how to build coalitions and how to make a decision about joining them! Went through a presentation of the jobs report. Thought about priorities for the other campaign GRADE.  
Leg: the bills that have been introduced are not at a point to be presented to the board. SCA 1 call next Tuesday waiting for the board to vote on.  
UG: talked about UConsent, wanted to make sure that we stuck with our previous plan. Identify campus partners, resolutions, and resources. Develop BWP by next board meeting.  
Campus check ins  
2 hr. long sexual assault training. Approved a motion to support a task force recommendation of the in person training on each campus. That it going to be discussed in the UConsent subcommittee meeting.  
E also approved a motion to explore a possible California united for a responsible budget for IGNITE.  
We also had a motion to do affirmative action educational relation to IGNITE, motion failed, still a possibility that we can explore in the future.  
We also want to incorporate mass deportation to incorporate into IGNITE, motion did pass for this to go to the IGNITE subcommittee for further discussion. Talked about potential rebranding for IGNITE. The subcommittee will also be discussing this if they will pursue these changes or not. Spent the rest of the time developing a general timeline for the quarter. We will be holding some actions in Jan: a teach-in last week of Jan. Also at the regents meeting, we want to make sure we have tactics for recommendation during commentary. In Feb, we want to have a consent education event, the week before Valentines day.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion to ratify action of committee.</th>
<th>Motion carries unanimously</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Sunday | Began at 10:25 |

### Student Lobby Conference - Bridget

New location Holiday Inn: great venue, more updated, providing more resources. $99 a night.  
Sean: a lot closer to the capital, food  
Member delegate fee $155; will cover most meals  
Delegation numbers are finalized in March  
2 options for booking hotel: calling and telling them you are booking on behalf of UCSA; online will open soon.  
Note on your registration forms, the note is just to get the ball rolling to get an idea of how many people are going to be attending. Let staff know how many people you are switching out so staff can make necessary changes for supplies.  
Sean: last year we had a couple issues. SLC allows only a finite number of legislator visits that will be booked. We want all students to get into a visit or two. We want to ensure that everyone coming maximizes their weekend. Last year we had some overlap where campuses have already booked  

Refer to Bridget’s email for deadlines and other important info
meetings. Staff and members have a limited time. We want to be as organized as possible. SLC committee will pick the themes, guest speakers, supplies, etc.
What has been do far for SLC, budget has been created. Sean will be working with everyone to set the ideal delegation size for every campus.
Allyson: How soon can you pay for your delegation?
Marcy: asked board to forward her board reimbursement issues. We are hoping to have a better dialogue around the process by how you submit reimbursements.
Rebecca: some of the issues I have been having have to do about transparency because we are using actual mail. Another issue that has to do with policy is around flying or driving. Some people choose not to drive.

| UC SHIP update | Kevin: there have been many issues with board member travel reimbursements from UCOP backlog.
Marcy: asked board to forward her board reimbursement issues. We are hoping to have a better dialogue around the process by how you submit reimbursements.
Rebecca: some of the issues I have been having have to do about transparency because we are using actual mail. Another issue that has to do with policy is around flying or driving. Some people choose not to drive. |
|----------------|---|
| - Kate Weatherford Darling  Katherine.Darling@ucsf.edu  
- Student Health in the UC System has seen changes in last 5 yrs.  
- No longer have a large deficit that resulted in some changes to who was enrolled in the program. There was a reorganization of the board benefiting students. For example, is now a chair of the student caucus in addition to representatives from all campuses on the board.  
- How can we work with student governments across all campuses and UCSA?  
- Right now, about 56,000 students covered by plan. Each campus votes as a campus, and the student representative is responsible for casting the vote for their respective campuses.  
- Student advisory committee sends one UG and one Grad student to the board.  
- Create common waiver criteria across all campuses  
  - All students need to have some type of health insurance.  
  - There are several benchmarks to make sure that the insurance the students have benefits them.  
- In 2009, it was mandated that all students have insurance. It did not define who students are.  
- Every year campuses alter the designs of their plans.  
- Shopping for a pharmacy benefits manager  
  - Help administer pharmacy benefits and help get discounts on certain drugs  
- All campuses are asking UCSHIP for quotes on premiums.  
- All campuses are considering rejoining UC Ship.  
Rebecca: last year many campuses pulled out of SHIP, which campuses left and how has this affected UC Ship?  
Response: Seven campuses are in SHIP. The more students are enrolled the cheaper it is going to be.  
- If a campus is considering entering SHIP, the deadline is March 1st.  
  Leaving Ship, deadline is April 15.  
Harley: what is your target for advocacy?  
  - Convince the Department of Health and Human Services to convince the state that it is cost effective to provide students with better premium plans than medical.  
- Send out list of reps to the board. |
HEREAS, On November 5th, 2014, University of California President Janet Napolitano announced to the leadership of the University of California Student Association and the Council of Student Body Presidents her intent to submit a “long-term stability plan” to the Regents for adoption at their November meeting scheduled just two weeks later;

WHEREAS, President Napolitano’s plan increases tuition and fees up to five percent annually over the next five years, depending on the level of support provided by the Governor and Legislature, potentially raising mandatory system tuition and fees nearly 28%, or up to $15,560 by 2019;

WHEREAS, Tuition and fee levels for any given academic year beginning in the fall would not be determined until the state budget is adopted in June, undermining the claim that the plan provides stability for families and putting student leadership in a perpetual crisis mode of seeking increasing funding from the state to avoid increases;

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill (AB) 970 was passed in 2012, creating Section 66028.3, subsection (b) of the California Education Code requiring that the University of California consult the University of California Student Association at least forty days prior to the adoption of any increase to mandatory system tuition and fees and provide at minimum:

A justification for the fee increase proposal, setting forth the facts supporting the fee increase;

A statement specifying the purposes for which revenue derived from a fee
increase will be used;
A description of the efforts to mitigate the impact of the fee increase on needy students;
The potential impact to students, including, but not limited to, the changes to the minimum workload burden for all students, if applicable, institutional financial aid awards, and the average student loan debt for undergraduates; and
Alternative proposals that can be considered in lieu of the proposed net student fee revenue proposal;

WHEREAS, The University of California is only required to comply with AB 970 to the extent to which the University enacts the provisions of the law, failing to do so in the two years since its passage;

WHEREAS, Students recognize the strain placed on the University of California as a result of drastically diminished funding from the State of California despite the university’s daunting challenges of addressing the wall of pension debt for university employees, maintenance for aging infrastructure and growth of new campuses, and commitment under the Master Plan for Higher Education to accept the top one-eighth of graduating high school seniors and transfers from the California Community Colleges;

WHEREAS, Students recognize the need for the Governor of California to more aggressively invest in the University of California by increasing the annual apportionment provided by the state, including allocating far above the paltry 4.5% of total revenues generated from the provisions of Proposition 30;

WHEREAS, The plan relegated students to the status of political pawns to be used in a power play between the university and the state in what The Los Angeles Times referred to as a “hostage situation,” despite our status as the largest contributor of revenue to the budget of the University of California;

WHEREAS, On November 18th, 2014, students from throughout the University of California system including undergraduates, graduates, faculty, and workers rallied and protested against the tuition hike policy stated above;

WHEREAS, On November 19th, 2014, students from throughout the University of California system traveled to the San Francisco campus before sunrise to protest with other UC students against the tuition hike policy stated above;

WHEREAS, The Regents, ignoring the concerns of over ten-thousand signatories of a petition circulated by the University of California Student Association, overwhelmingly voted to adopt the plan 14-7, with the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Speaker of the Assembly, Superintendent of Public Instruction and Regents Pérez, Oakley, and Saifuddin voting against adoption; and,

WHEREAS, The Regents of the University of California should listen and be accountable to the concerns and demands of students who are directly affected by their decisions.
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Directors of the University of California Student Association expresses no confidence in the Regents and the President of the University of California and their ability to effectively govern the University of California;

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Directors of the University of California Student Association condemns the Regents and the President of the University of California for adopting a tuition plan that seeks to increase tuition by five percent annually over the next five years beginning in the 2015-2016 academic year;

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Directors of the University of California Student Association condemns the Regents and the President of the University of California for dismissing the protests of students and statements from the University of California Student Association in their decisions including but not limited to appointments and policy changes;

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Directors of the University of California Student Association rebukes the Regents and President of the University of California for failing to implement the provisions of AB 970 passed in 2012 that called for consultation of students prior to the increase of any system tuition or fees; and

THEREFORE BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, That the Board of Directors of the University of California Student Association maintains no confidence in the Regents and President of the University of California until such time that the following demands are satisfied:
The repeal of the “long-term stability plan” adopted by the Regents at their November meeting;
Full implementation of the statutory provisions of AB 970;
The creation of a task force with representation of student, faculty, represented staff, administration, alumni system leadership and the California Department of Finance charged with investigating the budget of the University of California and submitting a report of its findings, including opportunities for realizing savings and resolving inefficiencies.

Comments: UCR, UCB, UCLA, UCD, have all passed this resolution

Rebecca: at my last GSA update, some of my colleagues asked me what do we do know? There is this resolution of no confidence that others have passed. We’ve been talking about this dance we have been doing. I am hesitant to throw the UC under the bus because we need a lot of the same stuff. People have admitted that they don’t expect anything to be done with the passage of this resolution. What does the passage of this resolution do? The UC has already tarnished its image.

Louise: this resolution will be voted on next week. There is an overwhelming amount of support for this resolution. Campus climate disfavors the regents. What I do like about this resolution is that we actually have an official ask.

Conrad: I’ve had this conversation with my student government. What this resolution does, as UCSA represents all students, is reflect how students feel about he regents and Napolitano.
I think that at the same time we are taking on the approach in asking Gov. Brown for more money. While we are asking for more money, we are critical of the UC system.
Jefferson: what was passed in Nov was a plan to increase not a tuition increase. They haven’t increased anything. If anything they would need to have it implemented 40 days before the June meeting.
Allyson: I don’t want this for show. At the end of the day, it’s going to be that UCSA passed this resolution. We are always going to find technicalities. When we go to UCOP there is always going to be counter argument.
I don think that most of the students in CA know what the next steps are.
Moshin: I feel like expressing a vote of no confidence will put pressure on them to give us more backing that UCSA doesn’t have faith in their leadership. I feel like it’s about the spirit of what they are doing.
Caitlin: on our campus, we added a whereas clause stating that the state of California isn’t ok.
Kate: I feel concerned about demand 3 in regards to the task force.
Kevin: this relates to the Gov. committee with him and Napolitano. Students should be on this committee as well.
Morris: lack of power that we all have as students in this system. If there are other issues that we want to say to the state, then write a resolution about that. I have no issue in voting no confidence for UCOP the state and Gov.
how can we say we have some confidence in UCOP and the state.
Caitlin moves to strike 5th resolve, Phil seconds, Iman opposes. Open for debate.

- Iman: I think this is a question of good faith. Even if it were 40 days about potential fee increases. There is no history that they would come to us for help. There is no effort from UCOP or regents to follow guidelines. Jefferson has said that they have not yet failed students. They made a policy decision, when they charged the state with a battle. We could get technical if anything has changed.
- Marcy: fall meeting of dead status of MOU, President Napolitano did ask students to bring forward a new proposal regarding 970.
- Allyson: I was the student that brought this up at the Napolitano meeting. She was open and willing to look into MOU and create so working type thing that will follow this.
- Louise: even if they did not violate AB 970, the first part of it is true, it is the second part that we can change to say that we should change polices.

No objections to add Full implementation of the statutory provisions of AB 970, including but not limited to a memorandum of understanding between the University of California Student Association and the University of California Office of the President;
Louise moves to strike
WHEREAS, The University of California is only required to comply with AB 970 to the extent to which the University enacts the provisions of the law, failing to do so in the two years since its passage;
Motion passes.
Phil moves to strike 4th therefore be it resolved, seconded by Caitlin. The motion passes.

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Directors of the University of California Student Association rebukes the Regents and President of the University of California for failing to implement the provisions of AB 970 passed in 2012 that called for consultation

Resolution passes as amended 11-1-3
If you say *aye*, voting on resolution as amended, voting *nay*, disapprove amendments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution in Solidarity with National Campaigns to End State-Sanctioned Violence Against Black Bodies*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolution Sponsor(s): Iman Sylvain (UCB GA) Breana Ross (UCR AS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHEREAS, Every twenty-eight hours a Black person is killed by law enforcement, security guard, or vigilante;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHEREAS, Grand juries have failed to indict Ferguson, MO Police Officer Darren Wilson for the murder of 18-year old unarmed Michael Brown, or NYPD Officer Daniel Pantaleo who used an illegal chokehold maneuver to suffocate and kill Eric Garner;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHEREAS, People all across the nation have taken to the streets to protest state-sanctioned violence against Black bodies;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHEREAS, The University of California Student Association historically has developed a culture of organizing through the support of Civil Rights and Free Speech Movements;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHEREAS, University of California student activist in Black Student Unions and various other campus organizations are active in BlackLivesMatter protests and demonstrations in solidarity;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHEREAS, Demands of the Ferguson Action organization highlight many of the goals of the IGNITE campaign, such as the end to the school to prison pipeline, the end of the prison industrial complex, and freedom from mass incarceration;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHEREAS, One of the primary goals for the IGNITE Campaign for the current year is to engage students in taking a stand against police brutality; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHEREAS, Ferguson Action also demands quality education for all, which echo’s the mission of the UCSA for accessible, affordable, and quality public universities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Directors of the University of California Student Association stands in solidarity with Black activist networks calling for the end of state-sanctioned violence against black bodies, such as Ferguson Action and BlackLivesMatter;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Directors of the University of California Student Association supports the actions of Black student leaders on our member campuses by providing aid in logistics, event planning, and communications (within budgetary means);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Directors of the University of California Student Association direct its President and University Affairs Chair publish a statement to the press expressing our solidarity with student activists, BlackLivesMatter, and Ferguson Action; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEREFORE BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED That the Board of Directors of the University of California Student Association plans coordinated actions across</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
our member campuses in solidarity with this national movement.

Questions/Comments:
- Iman: actions have already been planned. Photo campaigns, media campaigns, this resolution is an official stance that UC students are a part of the movement. Stand in solidarity.
- Allyson: I fully support this but I want to get an idea of what financial support would look like?
- Iman: I don’t have a number value for contribution. I don’t think we should sponsor a conference.
- Iman: final clause is the most important aspect here. Actions don’t have to be expensive or revolve around money.
- Kevin: procedurally we can assign task to committees. If we do want to tie this to the UG committee we should discuss how this will be incorporated into IGNITE
- Allyson moves to amend 2nd to last resolved clause to THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Directors of the University of California Student Association direct its President and University Affairs Chair publish a statement to the press expressing our solidarity with student activists, BlackLivesMatter, and Ferguson Action, etc.

Iman: what does it mean to be a black student at a UC and the types of violence that Students of color face.
Aurea: How do allies approach a teach-in? Who should be in charge of that?
Breana: reach out to multi cultural center program or African student programs.
Kevin: we need to identify the goals that determine the actions, and our allies.
Sara: which actions did you find to be successful on your campus?
Breana: Die-ins were effective. Connecting with what Iman said, tuition hikes pushing students of color out of the education system.
Yanira: are you asking each campus to hold an action or asking UCSA to act on this issue?
Iman: a coordinated action is more powerful and meaningful.
Guillermo: in terms of how we are going to act on this, we should spear head this, but support the actions.
Moshin: I feel like the purpose of this resolution is to support movements and collaborate with them to provide them with resources.
Iman: Yes, we are not at the forefront of this movement, but we stand in solidarity with them. I think that UCSA should have a statement I support of this cause trickled down by the EVP’s.
Allyson: make a suggestion that the IGNITE subcommittee should look into it.

United States Student Association 2015 Legislative Conference and Chapter Proposal
Presenters: Breana Ross, Aja Campbell

Leg Con:
- Students from across the nation take over the Capitol to lobby on important federal legislation that directly affects students
- Breana reminded the board of LegCon registration and deadlines.

Campus Chapter Proposal
- calls for the establishment of USSA chapters on campuses that are engaged with USSA. This proposal does not seek to change USSA’s core membership model of dues-paying membership by campus and statewide student associations.

By the next board meeting, have an action planned to present.
• Rather, seeks to institutionalize USSA on each campus and provide structure to the ways that students engage in USSA outside of conference and Board spaces.

| Adjourn          | Rebecca moves to adjourn at 2:25pm; seconded by Phil | Meeting adjourned 2:25 PM |