

Date: April 4, 2014

Participants:

Meeting starting and ending times: 7:00 PM Start; 12:10 AM End

Meeting location or format: Sacramento

Facilitator(s):

Note taker: Vanessa Garcia

Agenda Item	Notes	Action item(s)
7:00 PM — Call to Order:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safeena: MV Watson won't be making the meeting • Erik Green wrote a resolution in regards to the UAW Strike in UCSC • Moves to amend agenda from AFSCME Update to consideration of the resolution • Need to approve legislation stances, the agenda is amended to include a discussion at end of meeting • Move SWA to after the meeting – motion, seconded 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of agenda – motion, approved • Approval of minutes – motion, approved
7:15 PM — Reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kareem: Update yesterday from Erik Green on SC UAW strike <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Interim decisions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Change personnel policy so that the health insurance for staff can be changed to a payout instead of being distributed as insurance 	

- Took stance on SB 1210 – Loan system for DREAMers
 - Board Retreat Dates: June 25-28
 - Meeting with Steve Juarez from Gov. Relations in UCOP to talk about May Joint Lobby
- Safeena: Final interviews for ED, made a hire – her name is Marcy
 - Marcy is starting on April 9th
- Louise:
 - New ED hired, it may be good for Louise to stay through May Regents Meeting to ease transition process
- Paul:
 - Grad/Prof Survey has been released or will be releasing next week on all campuses
- CSF:
 - Task force creation to look at CSF bylaws and create summer meeting
- Campuses:
 - USAC: Past Wednesday, action at UCLA
 - SCA 5 pulled from Assembly floor on Finals week
 - Blocked BruinWalk to signify block to education
 - Planning for this upcoming Friday to have meeting with Community Colleges – going to downtown LA, having visits and an action
 - Cal:
 - Safeena will forward info on behalf of actions for oil extraction tax
 - Berkeley will be reaching out to their community colleges
 - Collecting Fund the UC and IGNITE postcards
 - Photo drive where students held a whiteboard telling why people want to

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fund the UC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ April 19 – IGNITE conference, 100 high school students from bay area ○ SB: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Master Plan forum on May 6th ○ SC: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ IGNITE Gallery Exhibit ▪ School-to-prison pipeline exhibit, interactive ▪ Informational workshops on Unions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unions disrupting classes, educating on the issues and how it affects students ▪ Drowning in debt event – what else can you be investing in? ○ Merced: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Fund the UC postcards ▪ Passed resolutions on Prop 13, Fund the UC 	
<p>7:40 PM – Budget Update</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget Update: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Accountant has been sick, may be getting invoices in hard copy form ○ Not all campuses have paid, but many received invoices this week so it's understandable • Balance Sheet: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ We have not fully transitioned between two bank accounts, payroll was online, transition has taken longer (price has been taken down so that is beneficial) ○ Will be a bump when registration fees from SLC come into UCSA and when we pay the Red Lion 	
<p>7:50 PM – UCOP Planning and Budget</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patrick Lenz: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Governor's Budget Priorities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ UC wanted one-time funds to University, 5% isn't enough to cover mandatory costs of UC ▪ Wanted a healthy budget reserve, K-12 and CC deferrals <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Economic recovery bonds: during 	

Schwarzenegger

- Water issues
- CSU got debt restructuring this year, UC saw it last year
- LAO's Review
 - Revenue assumptions are relatively conservative
 - Income tax is increasing dramatically
 - Almost an additional billion dollars just with February report, does not account for April tax date
- UC budget
 - Only 6.8 Billion is the core budget directed towards instruction
 - Balance between portion coming from General Fund and another portion coming through state
 - 5% general fund and no revenue on tuition side this year
 - 2.48% increase overall
 - Revenue assumptions – three different areas
 - Mandatory costs – collective bargaining (\$168.1m), high-priority costs (\$165.0m) – additional enrollment growth, and academic quality (\$50m)
 - Need another \$125m to address retirement costs, health benefit costs, enrollment growth
 - Could put \$300m +/- one-time funds back into UC budget instead of just filling current deficit
 - These are the institutions and services that get cut first, deferred maintenance
 - Challenge has been for state funding to complement UC funding

- State wants UC to take more transfers and more California residents
 - Community colleges – about 2% enrollment growth, supposed to get 3% enrollment growth next year
 - These students will soon be entering CSU and UC
 - Unless state provides funding, we cannot serve these students
- Where do the state's priority lie?
 - Corrections
 - K-12 Education (Prop 98)
 - UC has only had an 11% increase in funding by the state since 1990-1991, that's less than 1% per year
- Working Smarter Initiative
 - Reducing the cost of instruction, but it's important to not jeopardize the quality of the UC at the same time
 - Even if our ability is to move students through in a more timely fashion, can only take in a few more students if UC is still not hiring more faculty
 - Each student is different... they have different circumstances
- WE ARE NOT RAISING TUITION IN 2014-2015.
 - UC needs to create a tuition and financial aid policy that is predictable
- UCSA campaigns and UCOP support

- Corrections budget is a black hole. UC has an expectation to achieve extraordinary things, but corrections are still prioritized over higher education.
- Prop 13 Reform: Lenz is in favor of it
- Oil Severance Tax: Support bill if amended, additional administrative structure to allocate revenue. (Never going to happen). Why set up another bureaucratic structure?
 - Means in which it is distributed doesn't make sense
 - CSU has a funding guarantee under Prop 98, yet they're included
 - UC is the only system without a dedicated revenue source from the state
 - We're competing against corrections and health and human services
- Maryssa: What do you mean by reinvestment in academic quality?
 - Increasing growth of faculty-to-student ratio
 - On average, it's 26:1
 - UC got to a point where they were losing more faculty than they could retain
 - Graduate student support, expand graduate programs
 - Bridge faculty and staff compensation to make competitive with other institutions
- Tony: Tuition in the future...?
 - Does not believe that no tuition increase is sustainable
 - No tuition increase hurts neediest students (1/3 return to aid)
 - Families making less than 80k have costs that are rising
 - Governor does not raise tuition until after Prop 30 is exhausted
 - 1996 –Tuition Policy for state (sunsetting)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Trying to maintain the state's commitment to stop dramatic tuition increases ○ Guillermo: Cost of instruction, asked UC to evaluate average cost <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Trying to find common norms between all campuses to access value to a cost to education ▪ One of the biggest challenges is evaluating how to weigh faculty's time, lab time <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lenz doesn't understand why they need to do this ▪ Get about \$10k from state per student <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower cost for lower div, higher cost for higher div ▪ Because we're serving 7500 more students than the state is funding, it lowers the number down to \$6800 per student 	
8:35 PM - Breakout for Tuition Brainstorm		
8:55 PM - UCSA Resolution to Decrease Tuition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resolution to Decrease Tuition: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Kareem: Moves to pass, seconded by Tanay 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11-0-0 resolution passes
9:05 PM: Congress Options*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bridget: Congress Options <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Looked at Greater Bay Area and Northern California <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 3 Main options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oakland: lowest food and banquet processing, needed to get conference space in Oakland • San Jose: very pricey venue • Santa Clara: Food pricing is really expensive but room rates are comparable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oakland – confirmed!

<p>9:10 PM: Confirm May Lobby Numbers by Association*</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bridget: May Lobby Day Numbers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Cal: 3 (UG) maybe more ○ Merced: 4 (UG) 1 (G) ○ USAC: 6 (UG) ○ UCSB: 3 	
<p>9:15 PM: Resolution Regarding Students Arrested at UCSC*</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resolution Regarding Students Arrested at UCSC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 22 students arrested, mostly undergrads and supporters ○ Berkeley – riot police on campus ○ Alex T: Part of UAW ○ Ivan: all 22 people have been released, may be facing 3-4 counts, important to recognize that the counts were not accurately assessed <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ UCSC chose to escalate situation, partisanship are in the messaging in what is being created ○ Darcie: for TAs to work 20 hours, ○ Eliot: Should amend the document in regards to the filming clause, it is not illegal to tape them. Did they have a permit? ○ Alex C: Were the protesters asked multiple times to leave? ○ Eliot: Sounds like the problem is with the law, and not the action – the police did what they are supposed to do ○ Tony: Administration says that students breaking the law, students say they're practicing free speech ○ Darcie: Laws as written, if applied on campus, is not always a good thing. Sometimes University needs to be willing to have things going on that may be construed as illegal but are supposed to be allowed for free speech ○ Eliot: Moves to strike "do whatever possible" for movement in clause ○ Eliot: "Lawful" "legal" in document – are we using semantics to claim that it is lawful? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vote on letter to Napolitano, et al.: 10-0-2 • Vote on Resolution: 10-0-1

<p>9:45 PM: SLC Conference and Action Updates</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLC and Action Updates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Tombstones: Here lies.... _____ ... in debt ○ Das Williams, De Leon will be speaking ○ We were listed in Capitol Morning Report 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<p>9:50 PM: UC Davis Mental Health Resources</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UC Davis Mental Health Resources <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Student-run, student-led mental health resource program ○ The HOUSE – recognized by graduate and professional schools in CA ○ Presenter is the general office manager of the program ○ One of the largest obstacles is the stigma around mental health <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CAPS – need to have a serious problem, not for everyday mental wellness ○ Invested in education of students on how to be mentally well ○ On UC Davis, they have a merging of student health and mental health resources – means that there is confusion and bureaucracy, they can receive support in urgent care <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All peer programs have been reallocated underneath this ○ HEP – Health Education and Promotion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Cooking classes, safe sex, Love Lab, Nap Map, Nutrition Services ▪ Smoking cessation, sexual relationships ▪ Wellness ambassadors, therapy fluffies ▪ The House: Peer advocates now (previously peer counselors) ○ What we do? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Mind body-wellness gym ▪ Biofeedback ▪ SAD lamp ▪ Free yoga classes ▪ One-on-one sessions ▪ Since January, had 200 students using the services 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Education, dorm presentations, topics on stress, time management ▪ Each Aggie Matters Campaign (Each Mind Matters) ○ Training <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Lasts an entire quarter – training manual used reflects training received in graduate and professional schools ▪ Basic counseling skills (introductory group) ▪ Self-exploration and awareness (issues group) ○ Structure <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Student run and coordinated, unpaid interns ▪ Outreach, social, training ▪ Started in 1970 (used to be 24 hours/day) ○ Liability <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Consent forms, similar to CAPS – what you tell me is confidential unless you say certain things that are a cause for concern ▪ Boundaries do not reach too far 	
<p>10:10 PM: UCSA Resolution to Expand Mental Health Resources*</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resolution on Mental Health 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion to pass, second – passed
<p>10:20 PM: Governing Docs Updates*</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Governing documents <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Amendment to these documents reflects a change to policy in the health insurance for staff – it can be changed to a payout instead of being distributed as insurance ○ Motion to pass, second – passed • MOU between UCSA and CSF <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Motion to pass, second – passed • MOU between UCSA and Council of Presidents <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Clarification of roles between UCSA and CP ○ Darcie: CP needs to communicate with UCSA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health policy passed • MOU between UCSA and CSF passed • MOU between UCSA and Council of Presidents

	<p>when they're going to focus on certain issues that are within the realm of the UCSA board</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Ivan: Need more consultation between CP and UCSA on agenda items before meetings with the Office of the President ○ Vanessa: Concerned about how rigid this agreement is; appreciate the transparency but also do not want to create more bureaucracy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Change to planned agenda items ○ Motion to approve, seconded 	
<p>10:40 PM: UCSA UC Caucus Resolution*</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resolution on California Public Higher Ed Caucus 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion, passed
<p>10:50 PM: Review of Recommended Meeting Structure Changes</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommended bylaw changes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Safeena: If you have ideas on new mission statement, work through them and make alternatives ○ Darcie: more committee time for each campaign ○ Safeena: summary of what the groups presented 	
<p>12:10 AM: Adjourn</p>		