University of California Student
Association
385 Grand Ave Suite 302 Oakland
CA 94610

Board of Directors Meeting
UC Los Angeles
February 06 and 07, 2015
Summary/Vote(s)/Action Items(s)/Dates

The University of California Student Association Board of Director’s meets every month at scheduled Universities. For the month of February, UCSA met at UC Los Angeles. If you have any questions, comments, or concerns, please do not hesitate to email me. My email is ucasasfo@ucsa.org.

2014-15 BOARD OF DIRECTORS

UCSA is a student-run, student-led organization with a Board of Directors composed of students elected or appointed by our member student governments. UCSA’s Board consists of one voting member per association, usually the External or Executive Vice President. Additionally, each voting member may appoint two non-voting board members, a Campus Organizing Director (COD) and Legislative Liaison (LL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campus Association</th>
<th>Board Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC Berkeley AS</td>
<td>Caitlin Quinn, EAVP, Environmental Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jessica Douglas, COD, Campus Action Committee Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kevin Sabo, LL, Board Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Berkeley GA</td>
<td>Iman Sylvain, EVP, Graduate/Professional Committee Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jane Pomeroy, LL, Graduate/Professional Committee Vice Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Irvine AGS</td>
<td>Timothy Ma, EVP, University Affairs Committee Vice Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jen Jones, COD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sara Lone, LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Los Angeles USAC</td>
<td>Conrad Contreras, EVP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Denea Joseph, COD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morris Sarafian, LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Merced AS</td>
<td>Jefferson Kuoch-Seng, EVP, President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aurea Bolaños Perea, COD, Campus Action Committee Vice Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phil Coba, LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Merced GSA</td>
<td>Jiba Dahal, EVP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jason Davis, LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Violet Barton, COD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Riverside AS</td>
<td>Abraham Galván, EVP, Undergraduate Committee Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breana Ross, COD, USSA Liaison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David Santillan, LL, Secretary &amp; Finance Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Riverside GSA</td>
<td>Lewis Luartz, EVP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nicole Sparks, LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC San Diego AS</td>
<td>Allyson Osorio, EVP, University Affairs Committee Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anali Valdez, COD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lyssa Green, LL, Legislative Committee Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC San Francisco GPSA</td>
<td>Betsy Martin, Co-EVP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kate Mitchell, Co-EVP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Email: ucasasfo@ucsa.org | Twitter @_UCSA Office: (510) 834-8272 | Main Office: 385 Grand Ave, Suite 302 Oakland CA 94610
Participants: Present:

UCB AS, UCB GA, UCI AGS, UCLA USAC, UCM AS, UCM GSA, UCR AS, UCR GSA, UCSB AS, UCSC SUA, UCSC GSA, UCSD AS, UCSF GSA, UCSF PROF.

Absent: none

Tardy: UCSB AS

Meeting starting and ending times: 10:00am to 08:00pm (Saturday), 10:00pm-05:00pm (Sunday)

Meeting location or format: UC Los Angeles, Tom Bradley International Hall

Facilitator(s): Kevin Sabo, Chair

Note taker: David Santillan, Secretary, and Finance Officer

Roll Call:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Undergraduate Student Association</th>
<th>Graduate Student Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC Berkeley AS</td>
<td>UC Berkeley GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Davis AS</td>
<td>UC Irvine GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Los Angeles AS</td>
<td>UC Los Angeles GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Merced AS</td>
<td>UC Merced GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Riverside AS</td>
<td>UC Riverside GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Santa Barbara</td>
<td>UC Santa Barbara GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Santa Cruz</td>
<td>UC Santa Cruz GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC San Diego</td>
<td>UC San Francisco GSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-Member Associations Spring 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UC Los Angeles GSA</th>
<th>UC Irvine AS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Email: ucsasfo@ucsa.org | Twitter @_UCSA  Office: (510) 834-8272 | Main Office: 385 Grand Ave, Suite 302 Oakland CA 94610
# Minutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Action Item(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Saturday</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00A (5min) Convene Board of Directors Roll Call:</td>
<td>• 16 members are present; a Quorum was present.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adoption of Agenda</td>
<td>• Moved and seconded to adopt Agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approval of Minutes (January 2015 meeting)</td>
<td>• Moved and seconded to approve minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:05A (40min) Reports President:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• I will be testifying at the budget subcommittee.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Board members have been contacted by governmental relations in regards to SCA 1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• In the past month, many legislative visits, met with Speaker Atkins’s policy advisor to discuss SCA 1, their plan, introducing us to people, asking for UC funding, etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Made an interim decision to support Senator Lara’s Senate Constitutional Amendment, requesting board ratification.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair of the Board: Kevin Sabo:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Thank you to all those of you who submitted the CalPIRG application</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lobby Visits at the Capital around SCA 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hiring committee reviewing candidates for communications director, hope to have one hired soon.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Requesting more timely submission of meeting agenda items to meet posting deadline of agenda online, otherwise not aligned with our charter.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Thanks to UCLA for hosting’s this month’s board meeting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary and Finance Officer: David Santillan:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• We ended 2015 in a good standing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• In Revenue, projected two grants to come in 2014 now to be received in 2015. It did not affect our cash position that much. We had almost 100% dues paid in 2014.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sending invoices for winter quarter. Keep an eye out for those.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Our cash net income was almost 79k at the close of year. Accrual, that accounts for payables at 49k.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Balance sheet: actual balances, it is not tied to budget. With the approval of the board last month, we have established a reserve fund. Those funds are now in a money market account, designated as the board-designated fund, different from the checking account. To consider investing the reserve fund, would require establishing an investment committee, that would meet, develop best practices, invest in SRI, social responsible investments that limits our choices of investing, if anyone is interested in this, please speak to Marcy.

Accounts receivable as of Feb 3: grant from UCOP for vote activities that will fall in 2015 instead of 2014. There are two campus invoices outstanding. CalPIRG and ASFME have assured ED that they will pay this month.

Cash Flow: Jan: best projection of how we are going to do in 2015.

We over performed in 2014, because we received late dues from 2013; the academic year does not match with the fiscal year.

Congress registration did not meet its goal for the year; SLC did achieve its goal.

High notes for expenses: Board expenses; overspend in hiring committee travel for ED hire. Congress expense cost 9k more than projected, underperformed in revenue. SLC did the opposite, high in revenue.

Underspent in:
- scanning project (delayed)
- staff vacancies
- other office expenses
- employee benefits,

In summary, we ended 2014 ahead of budget.

Good position for 2015; throughout the months, the cash flow document will show how we are tracking against our budget, on a month-to-month basis. This document will begin to be populated over the course of 2015, the best prediction when the checks will be written and, everything in blue is what is projected, green is what is budgeted, and yellow is the variance.

Questions:
- Allyson: What is cost us a lot to go back to an even academic fiscal cycle?
- Marcy: We would have to file with the IRS. Cost would be minimal. I will explore this further and report in March.
- Guillermo: how much do we have to invest?
- Marcy: The new investment committee would have to discuss this. We could invest a part of this, a designated percentage of it, but not all of it would be my recommendation.

Committees:
- Undergraduate Chair: no report.
- Graduate Prof.
- I went as STAR to the regents meeting and spoke to the Governor, to have him understand what this tuition increase means for graduate students. He responded with, "... you’re fine. We’re funded through congressional budgets?" He said that he needed to learn more about grad funding and to talk to my staffer. Iman spoke with the staffer about funding for graduate professional and funding structure. Staffer engaged in a realistic significant input. Follow up in space.

CAC:
- No report

University Affairs:
- Allyson: working with Fund the UC

Personnel:
Hiring of communication director.

**Liaisons:**

**USSA – Breana Ross reporting:**

- Making a conscious effort to coalition build with Statewide Student Associations and education advocacy groups to accomplish our goals.
- Supporting Legislation:
  - (Student Loan Refinancing Act (H.R. 3047)
  - Diploma and Accreditation Integrity Protection Act
- Opposed to:
  - Financial Aid Transparency & Simplification (FAST) Act 2015 (S. 108)
  - Contains several negative impacts for students - FAFSA elimination
- USSA announces the creation of our National Lobby Corps.
- University of Oregon Successfully passed USSA Membership
- Reminder of USSA’s Grassroots Organizing Weekend (GROW) - comprehensive three-day training for student organizers.
- Webinar on higher education reform within the next month.
- Campaign Toolkits for State of Emergency, Carry That Weight & Fund Our Future available.
- USSA President Maxwell Love attended a student loan-servicing summit hosted by the Department of Education.
- Countable (Countable.us) and USSA have created a strategic partnership (website/app to track bills, vote on bills, and send vote to Rep/Senator)
- USSA discussing as a board how we will move forward (endorsing or supporting) in regards to Obama’s proposal
- Statewide and Community College Collaboration
  - One on Ones with EVPs in Regards to USSA Membership
  - Discussing UCSA Asks on next board call (mid-year evaluation)
  - Leg Con: DC from March 26-30th for our annual Legislative Convention at Gallaudet University. We will feature speakers including legislators and policy experts. Regular Registration: 1/6- 2/11/Late Registration: 2/12-23.
- Statewide Student Association Summit
- **AFSCME Internship:** 10 Week summer field placement to participate in a union organizing campaign in one of several locations across the US
  - Application Deadline: 2/28; contact Maria Ochoa at MOchoa@afscme.org

**Labor Liaison – Rebecca Ora:**

- no report

**Environmental Liaison – Caitlin Quinn:**

- Met with GP Director to explore how we can move forward in supporting SCA1, fossil fuel divestment. Discussed how to amplify the position.

**Staff:** (email report)

**Student Regent - Avi Oved:**

**UC Student Regent Recruitment**

- Been visiting UC campuses for the UC Student Regent Recruitment Tour. Meeting with designated URM groups as part of a more targeted recruitment effort. Also meeting with grad/undergrad leadership, chancellors, vice chancellors of student affairs, as well as hosting an open forum. Gotten very high response rates and students interest in applying; hopeful for a large applicant pool this year.

**Food Security – Global Food Initiative**

- Through GFI, working to build out the system wide food security model through Subcommittee on Operationalizing Food Security. Wrapped up the first ever California Higher Education Food Summit hosted at UCSB - great success! We also submitted Phase II Implementation Plan.
proposals to the GFI leadership with roadmap for goals. Gold standard is to establish Nutritional Security Centers on each campus that will help eradicate hunger and heal malnutrition - using combined emergency relief and policy perspective. We just got news that we had $820,000 approved! I Sadia will be heading to Santa Cruz to begin the next stage of the strategizing processes for how to best use these funds to aid each of our campuses food security efforts.

- **Middle Class Scholarship**
  - Meeting with admins and chancellors to introduce the idea of establishing institutional middle class scholarships at all UC campuses (other than UCSF). Great interest. Building on UCB/UCD model for smaller campuses.

- **UC Lobbying/Advocacy Efforts**
  - Disappointed by information requested of State Government Relations Office; need more research and data analyses to explore the best way to develop a student advocacy plan in conjunction with the student efforts already on the ground. Met with faculty from the UC Berkeley Goldman School of Public Policy; enlisting their efforts to add to our advocacy efforts. The end goal is to have UC’s efforts complement the efforts of students who have been working on the ground for months. Released statement in opposition to SCA1, with reasoning for opposing it. Sent to UCSA before release; will answer questions on position.

- **Student Representation Across UC**
  - Conducting preliminary research as to what is a feasible alternative (within our terms) to a constitutional amendment, adding another voting student regent. We are building a proposal that we will present to UCSA and student governments across UC:
    - (1) Creating a Student Advisor modeled after the Staff Advisor position to a student seat to the Board of Regents with access to information and decision makers that student regents have, but without voting rights. Position would complement the perspective of the student regents, reflecting all viewpoints.
    - (2) Reviving the Committee on Student Life and Alumni Affairs. Reinstate an 11th standing committee of the Board of Regents. Creates a platform to address and draw attention to the true student experience. Board of Regents talks numbers, profits, revenues, and policy -- but we need a platform to talk about student issues that can develop to policy etc. This will be that platform to do so, ensuring that we have more student voices directly engaging with the Board.

- **Questions:**
  - Abraham: how did you reach to your understanding on SCA 1? It would have been ideal had more outreach been made to campus leadership. I want to get your perspective on what you did to get student input from other students and regents.
  - Response
    - Sadia and I did not agree with the intent behind it. We forwarded our statement to UCSA to get feedback. In terms of SCA1, hesitate to fully endorse this. We don’t understand how giving the legislature power over the UC would beneficial in the end.
  - Time extended.
  - Conrad:
    - OK that in a way you’re against SCA 1 especially because you’re doing the regent campus tours, what I head at UCLA was statements against
February, UC Los Angeles

SCA 1, it made it seem that my office and the regents were not on the same page.

Council of Student Fees:
- Chair not present to report.
- Update during presentation tomorrow.

Council of Presidents:
- No report

University of California Office of the President – Eric Heng:
- Travel process: students now able to submit travel reimbursements electronically. Still sign the document, take a picture of receipts, and send. UCOP will email receipt of response back and updates through process.
- Marcy and I are having conversations around the reimbursement prices
- UConsent campaign: the UCOP office is working on its task force, to bring more students onto the task force, now has about 50 students on it.
- Updating the sexual violence policy to be consistent with the recently released federal regulations.
- Food security: UCOP granted funding for that.

Questions:
Abraham:
- We adopted a resolution that said we would like to see CalPIRG run their own referendum, where is UCOP at on this?

Response:
- Several ongoing conversations on campuses and with UCOP; policy is not set up for a student organization to have a fee go to it directly.

Guillermo:
- $820,000 project at UCSC, what is the anticipated goal there?

Response:
- Sadia and I were a part of the food summit on food security best practices on every campus. Each campus is different where they are at; the biggest point to take away is that there is a lot of work going on in this area.

Iman:
- Has UCOP given any idea or thought on how democratically include student in the decision making process.

Response:
- speaking in student affairs; always a subject brought up

Avi:
- Working with UCOP’s state governmental relations was not the best, meeting with UCLA governmental affairs better route, in terms in getting student feedback in regards to SCA1, and we thought it was a strategic proposition to support. We did try to send out an email to CSA board to get senses of what students are saying.

Time has expired on this item,
California State Student Association/Student Senate for the California Community College:
Respond to email Kevin sent out, include it here--------
Recess for 5 minutes; come back at 11:00am.

| 10:45A  | Internship Proposal: tabled to March meeting |
| 11:00A  | Organizational Partner Presentation - CURB |
|         | Discussed rise in prison spending/spike in 80s due to three strikes law, mandatory sentencing, diverting spending from education. |
|         | Highlighted overcrowding resulting in AB 109 prison realignment to reduce that |
population, and further legislation through Prop 47.

- Brown and the 2015 Budget
  - **Good:** Prop. 47? 1,900-5,300 prisoners eligible for reduced sentence
  - **Bad:** $15.6 million for 13 re-entry hubs at existing prisons; $12.6 million for pilot re-entry programs.
  - **Ugly:** More expansion . . . Corrections Budget is now $12.676 billion +1.7% - Overall Budget. +1.4%

- Summarized State/County Budget Process
- Time to Strategize/Call for Action
  - You can work through the Budget, Legislation, or the Local Process
  - Join the Coalition for Support
- As a coalition member, we would have access to grassroots resources, emailing list of 30,000 people.
- Described UC Campus Involvement
- How Can UCSA Take Action
  - Letter-Writing Campaigns
  - Phone Calls & Call-In Days
  - Organize Lobbying Days
  - Share our information on social media
  - Sign up to receive CURB updates
  - Tap Into CURB Resources
- Be Creative
  - Use Social Media (pictograms, twitter chats, hash tags)
  - Coordinate local, state, school actions
  - Coordinate a press conference
  - Get professors involved
  - Community forums
  - Use of Art or Music
  - Write an Opinion Piece or Letter-to-the-Editor
- Goal to get that money going to corrections back to Education
- Contact/Website: www.curbprisonspending.org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11:30A</td>
<td>Recess to Lunch and Student Lobby Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30P</td>
<td>Reconvene Board of Directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30P (60min)</td>
<td><strong>Privatization, Tuition Increases, and the UC Budget</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presenter: Todd Lu, Student Collective Against Labor Exploitation (SCALE) and Free University Coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Student Collective Against Labor Exploitation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Voted on:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. We demand an independent task force to review the UC Budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. We demand the UC Budget task force make recommendations for reducing administrative bloat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. We demand an immediate stop to tuition hikes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>Motion</strong> to adopt a plan of escalation by student activists and student governments to pressure UC officials from raising tuition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <strong>Motion</strong> to respect the tactics and approaches taken among UC student activists, organizations, and student government leaders in our fight to prevent tuition hikes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <strong>Motion</strong> to create a student-led, independent commission to conduct research related to the UC and to review the UC budget.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Breana:
- I think whether we are going to run it or not depends on how this will work with our Fund the UC campaign.

Conrad:
- We should talk about these motions and ratify them, start the conversation of accountability of the UC, there are students that are passionate about this happening, although there is a task force with Napolitano and brown, a student commission would be better

Lewis:
- In your search for data, did you happen to find anything on campus specific earmarks?
Response:
- I have not done any research on specific earmarks. The UC system is sitting on several billion dollars.

Yanira:
- For those familiar with Prop 47, are the details out in regards to the budget?
Response:
- Long fight to get those numbers.

Denea:
- I want to know some of the legislation to look into
Response:
- Spot bills, minor parole, milestone credits; UCSA can select what to support.

Allyson:
- What groups have you talked to? Do external offices take on this commission?
Response:
- Best for student activists to empower themselves rather than to create more groups. Have EVP member’s contact groups.

Denea:
- I would like to see some type of motion.
Response:
- Second motion, students should empower themselves.
  - “2. Motion to respect the tactics and approaches taken among UC student activists, organizations, and student government leaders in our fight to prevent tuition hikes.”

Akshaya:
- Should explore third proposal. Worked with professors, get your insight to see what measures each campuses can take to protect this academic integrity.
- Response: there are many professors on each campus interested in this. Students can interact with prof. to keep the focus.

Motion to extend time by Sara: passes.

Allyson:
- Move to move this discussion during Fund the UC discussion.
- Any objections to kill the motion currently on the table
Seeing none, motion dies.

Time has expired on the item, motion to extend time by 5 min (Iman) motion passes.

Rebecca:
- We are hesitating to come up with a plan of action, because we ourselves have not come up with a plan of action.

Iman:
- Motions 1 and 2, I caution against a full endorsement. We do not have the ability to talk about the tactics. I wonder what you mean about respect. I am comfortable with number 3.
Response:
- What I mean by plan of escalation; should not wait for all the details. Sometimes things change because of disruptive behavior.

Motion to extend time by 10 min, moved and seconded to extend time, 8-3 the motion carries.

Abraham:
- Is the floor open for motions?

Kevin: yes

Allyson:
- Motion to cap the motions on Betsy, motion passes.

Guillermo:
- I am just worried on how far that commission is willing to go and provide that information.
- Move that we incorporate this into the Fund the UC discussion, motion passes.

Betsy:
- What specific tactics have you taken?

Response:
- Non-disruptive rallies and a more disruptive rally from UCLA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>01:30P (10min)</th>
<th>Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Fight for $15:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presenters: Lupe Palma, SEIU coordinator in LA, Edgar Gonzalez, fast food worker.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Announcing April 15th national day of action for $15 minimum wage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o This movement started several years ago. Workers went on strike, have no union, but are acting as if they do. The demand is to obtain a minimum wage of $15. Workers have been fighting for the past 2 years. The last strike, December 4th, over 400 workers across the nation go on one-day strikes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>01:40P (50min)</th>
<th>Fund the UC Update and Strategy Chart:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presenters: Breana, Roger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campaign Goals:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Pell Grant Reform</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Urge Governor Brown to seek long-term, sustainable revenue sources through support of Proposition 13 reform. Close the commercial property tax loophole</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Presenters developed a Strategy Chart

Motion to extend time by 15 min, motion carries

Discussion:

Abe:
- Had hoped to do Fund the UC in the UG space; noted not enough time to address as a group.

Allyson:
- Suggested committee to propose idea/plan of action to the board as a whole.

Sara:
- A smaller committee can do this a lot better than a larger committee

Toni:
- They can meet on the phone before Board Meetings

Guillermo:
- What do you think this committee needs?

Lewis:
- Let the committee appoint its chair, form that point, tell the committee that any resources you need to ask us (the board)

Toni:
- I would also like to suggest that you assign a staff member to this committee.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02:30P</td>
<td>Recess to Undergraduate/Graduate and Professional Committees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06:30P</td>
<td>Recess to University Affairs/Legislative/Campus Action Committees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:00P</td>
<td>Reconvene Board of Directors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Committee debriefings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAC:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- An action around UCB Conference on Sexual Assault.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Press release, detailing our concerns with language.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Detailing how we would like the conversations to move forward, haven’t determined the language of the letter, planning on sending it out to administration, talked about having students present for a portion of the conf. to see quotes from admin that were offensive.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IGNITE:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- develop it a little further later this week, email will be sent out for call</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEG: be done tomorrow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- SCA1 passed through Leg committee unanimously</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University Affairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Meeting with Napolitano on the 23rd. UA will receive an agenda.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Thinking about the game plan to see how they are going to work with council of presidents to work with UCOP.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Worked on messaging for divestment agenda item.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undergrad:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- IGNITE, voted to join coalition with CURB, and voted to do an infographic: have a discussion at our next meeting how to institutionalize it, incorporate more on the budget in terms of prison spending for this quarter.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Big end goal for this quarter, get a 1.7% increase allocation from department of corrections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- UCONSENT: petition to get mandatory training, finalizing language through subcommittee.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grad Prof:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Set priorities for both campaigns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- JOBS: report on policy changes to make this cultural shift</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Want to have representation in every hiring committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any objections to ratifying committee actions, approved.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjourn to tomorrow morning at 8:17am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:00P</td>
<td>Reports and Ratification of Committee Actions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:30P</td>
<td>Adjourn to Sunday, February 8, 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>Convene Board of Directors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00A</td>
<td>- Meeting convening at 10:11am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(00min)</td>
<td>- Merced GSA absent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Quorum is established</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 15 members present voting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Threshold of 11 for resolution votes; 9 for non-resolution motions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00A</td>
<td>Public Comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(90min)</td>
<td>Speaker Alla Abbuadas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I am speaking to you today as a Palestinian student. This is not a time and place for me to tell you what my people are going through. This is however, a perfect time and place to listen to all of us. UCLA graduate students voted and passed it. Nine campuses already presented this resolution and only six of those nine campuses passed the resolution.

Motion to retain the order of public comment as it is, motioned by Denea, seconded by Abraham.

Objection: Caitlin: If it’s feasible, I don’t see why not.

Vote: motion fails

Speaker Ori:
- Born and raised in Israel, proud member of the UC Berkeley Jewish community. I am here today at another divestment vote. You are putting a wedge between two communities. We are here arguing. Are we not here to discuss foreign policy? This body should speak for the students of the UC. How many times are we going to have this debate? We should be coming together and talk about the issue. Have a positive dialogue. This needs to end. UCSA I am asking all of you to put your work and time into issues that will affect students.

Speaker Allyson Boch:
- Speaking on behalf of diversity requirement. I think that you all need to think about that requirement. It affects our understanding, our thoughts, and this past fall UCLA passed the diversity requirement. It was supposed to have been implemented this fall, but wasn’t due to bureaucratic reasons. Get people to pass this petition and sign in it.

Speaker Amal Ali:
- In 2010, UCB UG passed the divestment resolution in the days passing the resolution; the regents said they would respect that resolution because there wasn’t enough support/evidence.
- In July of 2013, Napolitano was appointed as UCP of the UC System despite student protest. The regents do not care for what we, as students have to say. It’s important now that this body that represents us all, and carries our interest to the regents.

Speaker Ashley:
- I am a recent transfer student at UCLA. I want to say thank you to you all. Gathered 10,000 petitions for our solar campaign. We are working to lobby in Sacramento next month, working towards a more sustainable UC.

Speaker Marcelle Obeid:
- UC Davis became the sixth UC to pass the divestment resolution. By overcoming opponents, we can achieve this victory. This issue is not about religion. It is about human rights. Six of nine UC campuses have already taken a stance against the injustice of my people. I hope today, you take the right side of history and vote yes on this resolution.

Speaker Nikki:
- My school has not passed divestment. 2 weeks ago, I was out; someone came up to me and asked me if I am wearing the Star of David. How would you feel if I took it from you and spit on it? Do not be followers of these one-sided methods. Be proactive in your approach. Put communities together as leaders who represent these schools.

Speaker US students from UC San Diego
- Palestinian. In 2013, the associated students voted to support divestment. We call on UCSA to recognize our stance on this issue. These companies aid in the unlawful tactics in foreign land. These actions represent only the unlawful actions of the people of Israel. Urge the regents to engage in a reasonable matter of business.
Speaker UCLA student body president:
- My first question to you all is what the hell are we doing here? UCSA mission on accessibility quality does not have anything to do with this resolution. UCSA board of directors you can stop rolling your eyes because I’m going to use the “a” word. Anti-Semitism. There is nothing wrong with criticizing Jewish policy. This resolution is wrong. UCSA you do not represent us.

Recess at 10:36am
Resume at 10:45am

Speaker Katherine UCSC:
- Last spring the student body attests their support on divestment. UCSC supports this resolution on divestment.

Speaker Mike Hirschman, UCLA GSA President:
- I think what I see, is why that a community that has been proactive on human rights felt threatened. We look at a world from what we read, but we don’t see it being discussed in these forums. I do not see it being fundamental unfair. When it is the only one getting attention. Why are we dividing a community when it’s something that is not in our mission statement, we get very worried?

Speaker Jacob:
- President at ICLA for Jewish voice
- We thank UCSA for this opportunity. We find it appalling when the persecution of Jewish people is viewed right by others. Voting for divestment doesn’t mean that you are choosing a side. Send a message to regents.

Speaker Selina:
- The majority of UC students have called for and voted to support the divestment resolution. UCI UCLA UCSD UCSC has supported this resolution. Do not ignore the mass voice of students across the UC system. Respect the decision made by all those across the UC system. Produces structural inequality. Please answer our call to divest.

Speaker Jeremy:
- UCSB has not passed the resolution. The student committee on racial equality, la Raza, hermanos unidos, black student union, Indian student association, Persian student association, grad student of color, is represented of an extreme wealth of support for this resolution. I will commit my life to this cause.

Speaker Omar:
- When an issue is important, it sometimes needs to be reiterated. I am not here to make that argument which has already been made. As a UC worker, this affects me; I am here today to remind you that when so many students from different backgrounds come together for a cause, you must respect their voices.

Speaker Momo UCR:
- The UCSA represent every student in the UC system! I am not talking to you as an African American man who has been oppressed; I am here to speak to you as a human being on an issue that cannot be pushed away. The students of the UC that have already passed the resolution on divestment, are relying on all you all now to pass this resolution the goal of this resolution is to not defeat the Jewish state. Education is key, stand on the right side of history, yes on equality.

Speaker David McCleary:
- UCB graduate student
- I am a proud Jewish student. I have been subject to very hateful language. Been called an ISIS supporter. I have been in pro-Palestinian politics. The ideas that I have heard expressed from most people don’t resonate my personal beliefs. There are students now in Gaza who wouldn’t have been able to
participate in exchange programs. We are not here signaling the government of Israel. The US government doesn’t call that. It is supported by my tax dollars. I am not ok with that. I am standing here in support of this.

Speaker Joshua (UCSD):
• Against
• Many injustices for the Palestinian people. Israel is being wrongfully blamed.

Speaker Maggie Sager UCB:
• There were many late nights of drafting resolutions, meeting with Palestinian groups on campus; I will never be able to forget the electricity in the air, waiting to hear the result. The resolution had many supporters from organizations. Divestment passed. The resolution you are considering today is the work of over 13 years. As of this year, seven of the nine UC have passed divestment resolution.

Speaker Negeen:
• Cultural shift going on now. Justice will always be divisive. If you are in solidarity with the status quo, you will always make people angry. You take away power and give it to people who don’t have power. Make the right choice. Divestment is not an issue to be divisive, it has a purpose.

Speaker Jerry Briar UCSD:
• How do we choose to value the death of an innocent? How is it more innocent in one country compared to another? Here in the room should we choose to divest or not.

Speaker Priscilla UCB:
• I want to make this very clear. BDS is not about anti-Semitism it’s about humanitarian rights. If Israel wants to call itself a democracy, than they should be held to those ways. Thousands of Palestinians were massacred, including children. Israel has killed thousands. Yet the US continues to support them with 3 billion dollars. It says that Arab lives don’t matter. We are supporting dictatorship and saying we Arab lives do not count.

Speaker Sean Pery UCSD):
• I come before you representing the pro-Israel students on my campus. When BDS rolled around, I had no idea what it meant. I walked into the student government room in disbelief. One by one, I heard many dehumanizing comments. Community members came in and shouted that Palestine wasn’t a country. I wanted to transfer from this school. Divestment failed that year but passed the next. Beautiful things emerged this year.

Speaker Angelica Beccecea UCLA:
• It wasn’t and hasn’t always been student power that has brought change to the UC. Don’t forget that. Something no one talks about is that intimidation Palestinians go through on campus.

Speaker Antonio UCLA:
• I AM ASHAMED TO BE A BRUIN. To pretend this is about occupation is a lie. To hold Jews on a different standard shows the historical view of hatred on our people. This is about pure hatred towards the Jewish people.

Speaker Areni UCLA:
• We recently introduce a resolution into our student council, how long are we going to wait for us to recognize what is going on. We need to do something about this.

Speaker Joel:
• What I want to tell you is that when I walked up here, there were Muslims sitting at a table, I walked up to them and in this context of BDS, I felt that they were on a different side than I was. You can be pro BDS or against BDS, all I see is that it is dividing the students. UCSA should not support anything that turns
students away from each other.

Speaker Perla Maya:
- UCSD, I stand here as someone who supports equality. Passing this resolution will not support the equality of our students. I hope what I don’t see today is for a group of powerful students to make a decision that truly represents the students of the UC system. This resolution might create a symbolic stance on a UC level. In reality we need to be united, as other forces are trying to separate us a part.

Speaker Sofia:
- I am UCSB alum, UCR graduate student.
- This is the moment if UCSA stands with oppression or against it. I am Pro BDS because it is a human rights justice. Every single campus that has had a vote, voted yes. You are here not for your personal political agenda. You are here to represent your students.

Student speaker:
- Have many negative side issues right now. I know we are all mad at the regents. It can affect our research. I feel like we are being used as a political chess game.

Speaker Chloe UCSC:
- This bill, I have seen it turn my campus apart. I lost a friend because of this bill. Despite any politics, I am here standing to say something because its confusing students, bringing up issues that student should stand up to, that are more relevant to us all.

Speaker Erin Katell:
- BDS is brought up as human rights. This resolution doesn’t have anything to do about human rights. It is bad to vote and pass on this resolution. We are all people. If we care about people means that the BDS movement has already affected them because a factory is being closed. How are they going to make ends meet? If you care about Palestinians, you wouldn’t pass this bill.

Speaker Sara UCLA:
- I want to remind you of all the student organization that support divestment. I want to say that you are here to represent your student body. It is clear where those student body’s stand.

Speaker Ashley:
- I just want to say that I’ve been at UCLA for 2 years. Every year we are fighting to get people to represent us. It’s always been pro BDS and against bds. A student was sexually assaulted last week. Let’s focus on the students. I just want this to be done with.

Public comment section is concluded.

Iman motions to extend time to allow last speaker to speak, seconded by Guillermo.

Last speaker:
- On Dec 4, 2014, voted pro BDS, graduate student body. Vote to divest, this is a remarkable voice that is represented by the graduate student body; take that into account when voting. The mobilization of the graduate level has been remarkable. Speaking of human rights, to end the apartheid.

Recess for 6 minutes. 11:44
**California*Socially Irresponsible UC Investments in Governments**

Reconvene from recess at 11:51am

Motion to pass both resolution by Harley, seconded by Conrad

Discussion:

Rebecca:
- Thank everyone who came to speak,

Abraham:
- I think it’s a great idea to address some of the issues that have come up, for example, one of the big things that I heard was that we should focus in on more university issues; this issue does affect students. We spent 4 hours organizing around our campaigns and UCONCSENT. I invite you to come to more meetings and see that we are doing other work. It is an inappropriate argument to make. Why aren’t we addressing other human right violations, I welcome you to put forth a resolution. I think it can become problematic if we vote on a blanket resolution. I want students to know that we are focusing on all students. You willfully ignore that there is another resolution to discuss and not just one.

Guillermo:
- I want to thank everyone who came out. When we walked in here prior to public comments, I saw a lot of worry and concern. That tough decision can be mediated with this other resolution. It encompasses all the issues that we want to tackle. This is only the beginning of a long fight.

Yanira:
- Thank you to all the students who got up on a Sunday morning. It is a difficult decision for us to make. We are thinking on all the issues that you brought up. This issue was brought to the UCSA board, and we have to respond to our students. It’s part of our public education, democracy, the need for this debate. We are listening to our students who brought it forward.

Timothy:
- Point out that the solidarity within this organization, CSU, CCC, UC, is great. The threat of boycotting is not constructive. How effective will this be if this passes, keep in mind pass or not, when we walk out of here, the regents have all the cards, they are the ones we should be combatting if we want to retain all its power.

Iman:
- Coming into this space, the decision was already made. 80% of the students represent this view. This might sound harsh, but I want those in the audience to check their privilege, ability to mobilize with t-shirts etc. last month Breana and I introduced a bill, this month we are discussing a bill about international students, why can’t we pass that unanimously. I urge some of the supporters against.

Caitlin:
- I also wanted to say that I think even a symbolic stance on increasing tuition is going to destroy students homes. The idea that students have the right to demand where the money is being spent. This absolutely does tie into the work we are doing around tuition.

Conrad:
- I support passing both of the resolutions, not because UCLA passed this resolution this year. I am exhausted as a queer student of color, having people in power saying they don’t have time to discuss issues when it.

Morris
- Thank you, Rebecca, for putting together your resolution. I think it’s great for these to be passed together. Armenian students have started a resolution to...
divest from Turkey. No members in my community were reached out to for this. I feel like we have to reach out to the communities. I feel that the communities themselves should come up with the issues. We are not in any way trying to signal out anyone.

Sara:
- I haven’t been on board for a long time but I checked our mission statement. We are divided on this issue. It worries me of the divide that will occur. Whatever we decide on that we are align with the UCSA statement. Going forward as a board, we should make sure we vote on unity.

Motion to extend time to exhaust the speaker’s lists and capping the list. 8-3, motion carries.

Denea:
- I couldn’t help but see the for side kind of parallel, the story of black people that we are told this issue is divisive. I think it’s very important when people talk about having to go to the regents after this. We have a history of student activism. This is a major issue that needs to be dealt with now, if not, when? We are only a certain number of individuals. I also caution that while I do respect both resolutions that we do not have a blanket solution. There is plenty of intersectionality. It’s not going to end here. Conversations will continue to occur outside of this space. Let’s take a stance. It’s important we stand for something or fall for nothing.

Betsy:
- I am representing UCSF graduate student population. When I brought the resolution to my students, they said, what companies we could specifically point to in order to divest. We need to be able to take one step forward by being the most united in order to reinvest this money.

Harley:
- In the fight of the student activism, it moves on to the regents.

Rebecca:
- This is kind of awkward for me because people are agreeing with me; I’m not use to that. I wanted to address some of the things Iman said. A lot from what I heard is what I see two groups of people who seldom occupy the same space. Being on this board as a representative, we speak in codes, I come from a Jewish background. Students from Justice for Palestine have done a great job in organizing for their cause. I drafted this resolution because we are ignoring a legacy of social justice of Jewish Americans. Student groups are being excluded from that. One of our community agreements has to do with impact and not intention. If we have students saying we feel isolated. What I am trying to do is for us to walk out feeling the same, on the same page. The winner thing is not good. If one side wins, we all lose. The regents, the president, are not going to touch this if it doesn’t affect a large student population. We all have to win a little by losing a little. I want to specially mention, to thank the board and audience for their work.

Conrad:
- I have 3 points to make
- When we look at UCSA mission statement, I don’t understand that this is a way for accessibility and affordability. There are people on our campuses that are going through, accessibility, we’ve done this before, we have divested from fossil fuel, we’ve done the statement, this is going to sound harsh, but this is a statement in response UCLA’s President, we’ve done a lot of work around IGNITE, UCONSENT. I have not seen any contribution from his part.
- I want to acknowledge and honor everyone’s oppression in this room. We all contribute to oppression. Recognize the oppression and suppression of our students.

Iman:
- When this bill was introduced at the Student of Color Conference, it was to identify companies, not governments.

Motion pending on the floor to pass both resolutions.
Motion to divide the resolutions:
Time has expired. Motion to extend time by 5 min by Louise and seconded by Allyson; vote 5-6 motion fails
Motion to extend time by 10 min by Phil, motion dies
Motion to divide the resolutions for separate votes: 4-5 motion
Roll call vote: 11-1-3
Motion to pass both resolution passes

Motion to recess for 10 minutes, motion passes recess at 12:44pm

Second round of voting on the passage of both resolutions, as there was confusion on whether the board was voting on process or the resolutions:
Roll call vote: 9-1-5
Yes - UC Berkeley AS
Yes - UC Berkeley GA
Yes – UC Davis AS
Abstain - UC Irvine AGS
Yes - UC Los Angeles USAC
Abstain - UC Merced AS
Abstain - UC Merced GSA
Yes - UC Riverside AS
Abstain - UC Riverside GSA
Yes - UC San Diego AS
No - UC San Francisco GPSA
Yes - UC Santa Barbara AS
Abstain - UC Santa Barbara GSA
Yes - UC Santa Cruz SUA
Yes - UC Santa Cruz GSA

12:45P Organizational Partner Update AFSCME
- Invitation to start a conversation on:
  - Contracting work out at SF and UC Davis.
  - Waste and abuse that is driving tuition to increase.
- Sacramento Lobby day March 5th: will bus a lot of folks to lobby Sacramento and Gov. Brown about funding the UC.
- March 18th regents meeting to show Gov. and regents that they can’t pit us against one another. Keep the heat on the regents.
- Questions:
  o Guillermo: how many students should go?
  o Response we should work on it together, our political director is working on something, to get as many folks out there including the members on AFSCME political committee.
  o Do we need to formally motion to commit?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:35P</td>
<td>Resolution in Support of the College Diversity Requirement for the University of</td>
<td>Conrad: I move to pass a resolution in support of the college diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>California, Los Angeles*</td>
<td>requirement for the University of California Los Angeles. With a total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30min)</td>
<td></td>
<td>of 659 votes - 332 votes in favor, 303 opposed and 24 blank - the UCLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>College of Letters and Science faculty approved the proposal for a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>diversity requirement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Iman: maybe something along the fact that the faculty is 75% white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>males.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resolution passes 16-0-0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:15P</td>
<td>Council on Student Fees Proposal for Joint Advocacy with UCSA</td>
<td>Presenter: Marcy Straw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Systemwide collaborative body on the student fees on the campuses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Official voice for all students in regards to the student service fee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- CSF has approved support of UCSA’s advocacy position regarding the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tuition increase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:25P</td>
<td>Recess to Lunch and Hiring Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recess to lunch 10 min, early, be back at 2:15pm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:15P</td>
<td>Reconvene Board of Directors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:25P</td>
<td>Brown-Napolitano Debate on UC Finances and Higher Education at UCD – Harley:</td>
<td>Invited Gov. Brown and UC President Napolitano to an open forum so that</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>they can articulate their vision to the people. Asking other associations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to send out emails to both offices and admin urging them to attend.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Our design is that a student representative, faculty, and staff will</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>facilitate this. Proposed date April 1st, also May 1st, and April 15th.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The goal is that through the student facilitation we can advance the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>questions being asked. I would like to have someone go toe to toe with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>another student. We are framing this as a discussion. The media will</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>show this as a win or lose. We are taking questions from students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>outside to advance the student narrative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allyson:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- I don’t see why we would endorse this when other offices have events as well.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I’m just confused if UCSA were to support this and then this becoming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a UCSA thing?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Response:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- These are two key individuals. There is no legislation attached to this.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sara:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- My question is whatever student representation, moderator, or whatever you do</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>should also consider graduate representation. It would promote a better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>message.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Response:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- This conversation will happen with the staff of both offices. That will</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>distinguish this between debate and discussion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Point of clarification:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- You want this to be a UCSA thing?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Response:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- We want your support. By sending letters and calls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iman:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Can we be explicit about UCSA endorsing this on flyers?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Timothy:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Media view this as a winner or loser, the idea of this is to pin them down.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Take into account that these veterans may spin this. This is an</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>opportunity for us to advance our campaigns, demonstrating that these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>are both losing visions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allyson:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- How likely is it for them to come? Have we spoken to UCSA staff about this?
  - Response: we consulted with many government relations offices.

Sara:
- Because of our votes of no confidence, does UCSA hurt or hinder?
- Should we be doing the same thing, calling our legislators to support this?

Time expired, motion to extend time by 5 minutes, passes.

Harley:
- Absolutely call legislators to support this. Have your chancellor in support of this statement.

Have invitations been sent out?
- Response:
  - Gov. Brown slow mail, have not responded, with Napolitano invitation was just sent last week. Repeated messaging is what is going to give us a response.

Phil:
- Is this exclusive to Gov. Brown or will you take proxies.
- Response
  - All or nothing.

02:50P  Resolution Expressing No Confidence in the Governor of California*
  Motion to pass the resolution as amended, passes as amended 14-0-0

03:20P  Legislative Positions
  SCA 1 (Lara)
  - Harley motions to suspend the by laws
    - Vote: passes
  - Motion to go into closed session to discuss
    - Passes
  - Closes session 3:22PM
  - Vote 8-2-5

03:45P  2014 Vote Report
  Presenters: Julian Martinez and Paty Arroyos, UCSA Staff

04:00P  Student Lobby Conference Updates
  Presenters: Marcy Straw and Sean Connelly, UCSA Staff
  Update on progress of Steering Committee actions; reminder to firm up delegation estimates

4:05P  Closed session

04:58P  Adjourn to Saturday, March 7th, 2015 at the University of California, Santa Barbara
  Adjourn at 4:59pm.