



Date: February 1, 2014

Participants:

Meeting starting and ending times: 9:11 started, 8:20 ended

Meeting location or format: UC Merced

Facilitator(s):

Note taker: Lewis Luartz

Agenda Item	Notes	Action item(s)
Roll Call	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• San Diego<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (P)○ GSA (A)• Irvine<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (A)○ GSA (P)• UCLA (A)• Riverside<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (P)○ GSA (P)• Santa Barbara	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12 Present



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ AS (P) ○ GSA (A) • Merced <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ AS (P) ○ GSA (P) • Berkeley <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ AS (P) ○ GSA (P) • Davis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ GSA (P) • Santa Cruz <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ AS (P) ○ GSA (P) • San Fran <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ AS (A) ○ GSA (A) 	
Agenda Changes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Entertain motion to approve agenda. Seconded, approved. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approved
Approve Minutes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion. Seconded. No objections. Approved 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approved.
Vice Chancellor of Student Affairs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation: Welcome to everyone. • UC Merced accomplished a great deal in 9 years. • History of campus: golf course back in 2005. • Almost at 6,200 students now; aiming at 10,000 students by the year 2020; of those 10k, about 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •



1k grad students

- Many wonders such as Yosemite National Park
- Research station up in Yosemite National Park
- Also a ranger program there; students can become rangers there
- In 12 years at UC, VC believes that this is the first time we have a UC President who cares about students regardless of their status; she cares about all students
- We are still small, but growing; even though governor did not allocate money into the budget for growth, the Chancellor is doing something new
- Chancellor will master develop the campus through an external contractor to build new labs, recreation stations, dorms, and any other buildings students need.
- One project VC is working on is creating a student union; working with ASUC to identify the critical spaces needed to lobby for the Master Development Project.



<p>Reports</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Kareem: Louise, Safeena and Kareem – meeting with Apple on use of technology in education. Regents meeting last week where Gov. Brown appointed/reappointed 4 regents. Meeting with Fossil Free UC; will be pursuing a task force on this. Same with UC Caucus to focus federal funding towards UC. Calls with Fossil Free group to push that campaign. Kareem and Tanay will have a lobby day on Wednesday.• Safeena: Papercut for ED made. Interesting set of applicants. Looking for a member at-large position. Will be a long day on the 21st, so please be available if you will be going. Attended Apple meeting with Louise and Kareem. Fast agenda turnaround. Had some conference calls with Louise and Nick.• Lewis: Worked on the budget with Louise (presentation today). Google Hangout with UC President. EVOLVE California Higher Education conference with Cinthia Flores.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•
----------------	--	---



Videos for both are up online.

- Campus Reports: ASUCR: Education at Crossroads teach-in with USSA, passed the San Jose State Resolution. Looked at election codes.
- San Diego AS working on passing referenda. Passed San Jose State Resolution as well as resolution for now master plan on higher education. Working on a committee for suggestions as well, to build a plan to send out to the government. Have a lobby visit with Toni Atkins this week. Working with a professor to build a freshmen seminar similar to UCSB's, but also making it a speaker series.
- UCSF this week decided to merge the AS and GSA association. Now one big organization.
- UCSB AS: Lobby core: two events – one this Wednesday. One next week. Will be having meetings with assembly members, and representatives from Feinstein's office. Currently have meeting with Academic Chair



to pass Fossil Free UC resolution. Also plans for more IGNITE days/week of action possibly early next month. Also pressing resolution for support of a new master plan on Tuesday.

- Will be working on several resolutions this week, including a quorum with local representatives at UCSB. The class being started is called Politics of the UC. Will culminate in a mock study lobby day to get students more involved and prepared for SLC.
- UCLA: SJSU resolution passed last week; in beginning stages of talking to GSA to join UCSA. A few lobby visits. Looking forward to regents coming to campus in the coming weeks. Looking to pass resolution on campus to divest in private prisons.
- Jefferson: Welcome to campus. IGNITE event with postcards recently, and waiting on Fund the UC postcards. SJSU resolution failed to pass AS, so it will be brought up again this week.



- Berkeley AS: SJSU passed before break. Been doing restructuring. Lobby Core started DeCal (lobbying courses), with plans to teach how to lobby, about UCSA campaigns, and requiring them to go to SLC. Passed resolution about drought in CA and work with city government to look into water usage. Having a local candidate forum for politician.
- Staff Updates: Sent out yesterday. Gearing up for legislative session. Getting SLC finalized. Steering Committee meets today. Budget and governing documents in progress, last round should be in March.
- Student Regent Cinthia Flores: This week on Wednesday, met with President Napolitano to discuss distribution on \$5 million for undocumented students; have going to student services, half going through work study for undocumented students. Going to reevaluate that decision to instead provide stipend or scholarships. Issue of financial aid



piece still under consideration. Task force that will look at services, mental health, etc. with a kickoff starting as a 2-day summit. Idea is that it is going to be a way for everyone to help undocumented students succeed. Touring UC with Sadia to end soon; right now only 2 UCs left. Interesting meeting with UCOP on Fossil Free UC. End result is to establish a task force on these issues. Conversation in 2 weeks on tuition models, as tuition is going to be a big thing for president Napolitano; students have not been consulted on these models, so there will be a conference call about it. A lot of back and forth on PDST; from what she knows, no PDST increase in the coming year, but while that is good there is unfinished business from last year (student regent and regent-designate sat on task force that came up with recommendations). The actual task force has not reconvened and will not reconvene until March. However, members of the task force



have graduated. Reach out is being attempted for the task force.

Recommendation for UCSA is that Kareem or Grad/Prof committee sends a letter with recommendations/nominations for task force.

A lot of push back regarding the task force last time, so there must be a student voice associated with it. Online education seems to be a big issue lately, but as it is new and the UC seems uncertain about how it will work for the UC UCSA may want to make a recommendation upon it. It is not necessarily cost-effective, and now it seems like a good time to interject on this. Last two things: IGNITE campaign – Constitutional Amendment 5 passed the Senate; at last regents meeting a woman spoke about sexual assault – 3 regents came up to Cinthia and Sadia asking for a report to be represented at the next student meeting. President Napolitano is also keyed in on student issues and student perspectives for



an array of reasons, so it is an extraordinary time for student leaders to engage and demonstrate their power. Seeing a lot of affirmation from the students. Google Hangout was unique, so it is a good time to engage with her on issues of importance when those issues come up.

- CSF meeting: next weekend regarding campaigns. Concerning is the raising of the students services fee.
- Council of Presidents: conference call at 10 AM on issue of meetings with Napolitano, winter break changes, master plan, communications policy, creation of dropbox/google drive to access scanned historical documents.
- UCOP: three general updates. President Napolitano meetings with students and awards for outstanding student services. Google Hangouts looked great and asked the President pointed questions. The only thing to



add to President conversing with undocumented students is meeting with undocumented students regarding what UCOP needs to do to improve websites for serving undocumented student needs. Regarding President's award for outstanding student leadership – all Chancellors received a letter, and student regent received a letter inviting them to nominate students for the award. The student is honored for work across campuses and received a stipend award. Regarding LGBT task force, met here yesterday and passed recommendations regarding gender neutral restrooms. All new constructions across the UC system should have a gender-neutral restroom (at least one) at every floor. Another recommendation is data collecting on undergrad/graduate applications that collects data on gender identity. That may not be here until a year from now, but it is coming. Income calculation



	<p>recommendations as well: increase the wage of those persons making low income.</p>	
<p>Refund California Coalition</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Maurice: ACE statewide community organization, along with other community groups are part of Refund California Coalition.• In the past• History on Refund Coalition: number of orgs in 2011 started coalition that, instead of participating in conversation on taxes/cuts, decided to go on offense and bring up problem to those who are causing the crisis (the 1%) so that they are forced to make changes that benefit everybody. Purpose is to make them pay their fair share for education and ways to help families get things back on track• Part of this is raising more revenue to fund education and vital services.• Student debt is a huge thing, as is housing and consumer debt.• Taxes aimed at residential rather than non-	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•



	<p>residential people, so people need to borrow more money to go to school and get through life</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Several victories in the last couple of years: Millionaire's tax – enough activity that Governor brought them to the table and change the revenue making measure • For 2014: know of a fight around prop 13 in 2016. Incredibly important, so what should we do to build our base of influence • 3 parts: close corporate tax loopholes platform, Commercial Property Tax Reform, and an oil and gas extraction task (California one of the few states who do not have this tax). 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A lot of accomplishments between ReFund the UC and UCSA, so if deciding to sign on so that they can have more sway/strength at the table • Questions: • Tanay – oil and extraction tax may be a 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Passed 10-0-5: UCSA Board expresses intent to rejoin the coalition for the 2014 platform



proposition

- Answer: actually have thought of this; have been talking to Tom Steyer. Has not thrown down the resolution or anything yet. Want to keep momentum moving.
- Kareem: How are decisions in the coalition made?
- Answer: Model uses a modified consensus model (made as a group)
- Kareem: without UCS how many member so you have?
- Answer: at most 100, 000. Really need student support however since that is a lot of strength.
- Kareem: any attempt at attempting CSU and CCCs?
- Answer: Yes,
- Motion on the table then is to rejoin the coalition and support 2013 election
- Question: we were a part of this coalition?
- Answer: Yes; we have not have much contact since.



- Tyler: how would this work with our fund the UC campaign since it sounds similar
- Louise: complementary really?
- Toni: What does this mean in terms of physical action?
- Answer: kick off event in February.
- Maryssa: Question on CMED
- Safeena: moved CMED to fund the UC – institution relationship to Fund the UC; as form as corporate tax reform, we talk about it but never do anything about it.
- SD AS: Coalition would help disburse responsibility
- As discussed in last meeting, if we want to see Prop 13 reform become a reality, this is going to be something a large group will have to do – sometimes we need to work with other people to get what we want done
- When it comes to a vote of joining the coalition we need to think of a couple of things (two votes) – when joining them we are



giving up apportion of our autonomy to the coalition along with voting structures and conference call and consensus model lets us have a voice on what we are comfortable with

- Louise: If individual people want to sign on for this, does not mind; but for leaders the lawyer might want to look at the specific point about joining
- Safeena: as a board we would rejoin (one vote); we would vote as a board, but legally we do not rejoin until the lawyers look at it.
- Maurice: clarifying points; that is fine
- Clarification: intention vs obligation – also not a legal organization.
- Clarification: only one motion
- Motion to express our intent to rejoin the coalition.
- Amendment proposed: the board express intent to rejoin for the 2014 platform.
- Final Version: UCSA Board expresses intent to



	rejoin the coalition for the 2014 platform. Vote. 10-0-5. Motion Passes.	
AFSCME Update	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mary Virginia: traditional bargaining update the last few months.• Since last meeting, a lot of things have happened; not a lot of movement but a lot of bargaining meetings• Question on how many have followed press on contract negotiations?• What has been heard?• UC offering 1.5% wage increase while telling press it is a 3.5% wage increase• UC is demanding AFSCME workers accept wage increases – lower than what is necessary to keep up with inflation.• More important is the issue of safe staffing.• Will not grant staff assistants, radiology techs, etc., to have any safe when getting short-staffed; has not changed.• HR representative has expressed they are upset at being called out.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•



- 99% of UC service workers are eligible for some sort of state aid
- Will pass out paper later that breaks down how and why workers qualify for public assistance, but instead take on additional jobs
- 3 out of 12 people at last meeting were UC workers with 2 full-time positions
- Important to note that lowest paid custodians make less than \$15 per hour
- At union meeting it was decided that they would be holding another strike vote
- Not something they want to do; it is costly to do and no one really wants to go on strike
- February 11-13th will be the strike vote
- That week awareness will be built around campuses (likely different days on different campuses)
- Question on if board is clear on what UC is offering workers in comparison to other workers
- Question: elaboration on two-tier system



- Two-tier system involves younger workers putting more money into the pensions – a union busting technique
- Older workers refuse to do this to the younger workers
- Came to the agreement with UC that 9% of monthly income into the pension is necessary to stay on pension – almost 1/10 of monthly budget
- UC keeps claiming that being offered 3.5%, close to what was offered to nurses at 4%, but these numbers are not accurate
- Two types of wage increases: across the boards (increases in base salaries for positions) – often need increasing each year to keep up with inflation; then step increases (increases for those workers who have been there longer)
- The UC wants to count both wage increases together, from 1.5% base salary and 2% step increases, and saying 3.5%.



- Arguments can be made both ways about logic of increasing wages for certain workers, but it is disappointing that UCOP is being dishonest.
- Also once an employee maxes step increases, then only base salary increases can be made
- The UC did not do this to any other bargaining units, so it is insulting and disappointing.
- Question: how is AFSCME doing with Emergency Layoffs?
- Answer: UC does not necessarily have the right to emergency layoffs (laid off with possibility of rehire) – allows them to higher people with a lot of service experience in favor of temps
- There were 40 issues on the table, and AFSCME met them on 30 – so although UC claims bargaining was not done in good faith, that statement is untrue
- Kareem: this type of talk is important, so anyone wants to join in the Collective



	Bargaining Sessions, please apply; travel will be covered.	
Update on inviting UC President to a Board Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Started in meeting with Judy Sakaki • Logistically the best meeting is the May board meeting at UCSF. • Vanessa: great idea; maybe bring incoming EVPs into the meeting to give them tools to meet with them in the future • Toni: Will be semi-structured; categories ahead of time but questions can be asked. • Alex: yield. • Maryssa: to add SLC to the request • Kareem: may be important to invite her to board retreat and ensure that she not only meets with us, but comes back the next year • Jefferson: echoes bringing successor • Louise: would be a great idea • Kelly: same point • Louise: clarification that votes are preserved through board meeting in May. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
UCSA Delegation to	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kareem: Aja cannot attend LegCon so 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Passed without a vote.



<p>LegCon</p>	<p>looking for members</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Disha: will be going on Berkeley's behalf anyway• Toni: recommends Ivan although not necessarily a board member• Darcie: can the nominee be a non-board member?• Question: is there anyone who cannot go otherwise?• Alex: should send a non-voting member• Vanessa: SD does not have sufficient funds to go to USSA, so putting on the table that she can attend• Kareem: options are Disha and Vanessa right now• Safeena: Disha can be funded through Berkeley, and Vanessa through UCSA• Motion to send Vanessa on behalf of UCSA to USSA LegCon. No objections. Passed• Kareem: yields discussion to Aja.• Aja: USSA meeting in Arizona last month. As far	<p>Motion to send Vanessa on behalf of UCSA to USSA LegCon.</p>
---------------	--	---



	<p>as campaigns go, not a lot of movement; making a script asking for money for Trio programs and Pell grants. Everyone was getting ready for LegCon. In addition, a postcard campaign is being done. The logistics are being decided (Target? When being done?)</p>	
<p>State + UC Budget Update</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sean: No Update. All good. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<p>UCSA Budget</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion to closed session for the next 45 minutes. • Motion to leave closed session. Passed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Moved into closed session. • Moved out of closed session.
<p>Online Newsletters, Website Changes, UC Voice/Communications Platform Update</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion to do the 5 minutes of systemwide and then SLC during lunch. • Bridget: specifics on website and online newsletter • Homepage banner: scheduled to fix glitch in the text next week and playing with homepage banners • Adding of campaign pages • New Fund the UC page 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Passed: Motion to do the 5 minutes of systemwide and then SLC during lunch.



	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Get Involved page has all the info needed to get students involved• Added a Press page that is updated on a timely fashion• Photos up for staff and officers• Next plan is to add EVP email links• Donate link page will be updated to resemble website• Calendar not necessarily the best right now; looking into programming a new calendar• Last thing is the newsletter: when we get into the habit of monthly newsletters, we can work on it a bit more, but for now we have the SALSA platform version.• Updates coming on how much the website can take, as well as what we want to put on it.• Entertain motion to amend agenda to move discussion of Congress now	
Hosting Congress	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reflecting on what happened last year, people were not happy with hotel	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•



- Anyone willing to host Congress?
- Kareem and Darcie: Riverside would be willing
- Jefferson: have talked about it, but uncertain about space (and due to past)
- Louise: could explore hotel options again, or the previously unpopular idea that having Congress in the south (due to SLC in the north) at the same hotel every year. Bids are created so there is space at campuses (i.e., housing). Would like to make a decision by March.
- Alex: As far as hotel idea goes, it was ideal since it was not necessary to worry about logistics for delegates
- Louise: clarification that Congress does not count as a board meeting
- Alex: chaotic and difficult to organize; bidding should have been done back in October/November; encourage the hotel option for next year
- Kareem: By definition these conferences are difficult to host; regardless of what happens, if



	<p>Merced is up to it then let us do it, but otherwise there needs to be a bylaw with a rotating requirement (north -> south, if money available then yes, if not then no and move onto next in list); other than that, a hotel may be good. There are options out there.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Louise: If interested in bidding, start getting something together for March, but will be looking into this; in Congress coordination is compounded • Eliot: With hotel option the burden would get shift to staff and steering committee? • Louise: it is easier to do logistics if they can help run it and run it by a steering committee. • Toni: would a certain campus pay and run that or would that be a steering committee. • Louise: host campus can run committee 	
<p>Fund the UC Update and Postcard Discussion (Possible Increase)*</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prop 13 corporate campaign • Sacramento pays attention to polling • Californians are starting to care more and more about prop 13 issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Passed. Motion to table this motion to next board meeting and distribute postcards currently



	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Some people think we are out of line because we are asking for money for schools• Fund the UC campaign is important in this regard• Logistics: now until SLC (about 8 weeks or 40 days)• Previously the board had sent a number of post cards to send (about 10k)• Discussion about postcards numbers• Motion to approve the cost of 500 additional postcards (approx. \$600).• Clarifications: line item is maxed out already, so we would have to do it out of reserves.• Objection: Money already maxed out; hazardous to the next board. Also, postcards not as effective as items students can keep themselves.• Question: What is the return on investment?• Sean: It depends heavily on the office actually. They will at least catalog them.• Alex: SALSA system has an option for creating	<p>allocated.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Tabled: Motion to approve the cost of 500 additional postcards (approx. \$600).
--	---	---



an online petition we may be able to have students take

- Toni: How many IGNITE postcards have returned?
- Sean: None at the office
- Tanay: What is effective? Postcards vs petition.
- Part of campaigns is to education students about Fund the UC; these postcards are better than the IGNITE postcards too
- Toni: there are other options to effectively get our points across without running the board dry
- Kareem: postcards are grassroots tools that can get the point across well (engaging constituency is what is important). Unsure if that extra number is important, but the grassroots organization perspective is important
- Maryssa: Would blame IGNITE cards getting signed on postcards themselves and not students because provide face to face



	<p>interactions between student loaders</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Important to consider the intelligence aspect as well; provide a lot of knowledge. • Vanessa: limited abilities, we all work, postcards take time; we have IGNITE • Sean: Alternative to postcards: legislators are keen to other mediums of interaction; we should engage more in social media because those legislators are very keen at keeping up with it now. • Darcie: want to caution of comparing Fund the UC postcards and IGNITE postcards; the idea of IGNITE is harder to sell than Fund the UC • Alex: still have 10k postcards and it is important to be financially responsible • Kareem: Motion to table this motion to next board meeting and distribute postcards currently allocated. No objections. Vote. 	
Committee Breakouts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Grad / Prof Funding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Darcie: document sent out earlier in the week. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Passed: 12-0-1. Motion to



<p>Request</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Grad/Prof Campaign for the year is a professional development campaign called Jobs!• To move forward we are developing a survey across campus to levy how students feel about academic and non-academic jobs across the system.• More expensive to create a survey than originally expected.• Will be hiring a student instead of companies. In this way, we are putting money back into students' pockets.• We are requesting an additional \$3,500 to fund this project• We came to the board because we do not believe we can fund raise the rest of the money.• The initial \$1,750 was from the Spring that was not used by grad/prof. The second half of \$1,750 is taken from money grad/prof has previously not used.	<p>approve budget funding of \$3,500 for Grad/Prof Committee Project.</p>
----------------	---	---



- Question: what is student doing exactly?
- Answer: creating a survey and analyzing the data.
- Question: So student making the survey runs the entire year-long project?
- Answer: No, they make the survey and analyze.
- Question: What does statistical analysis entail?
- Answer: We are looking for trends between, against, and within campuses, demographics, etc.
- Question: Have you searched the statistics departments at campuses to see if faculty and graduate students would be willing to do this for free?
- Answer: Only 12 applicants when offered compensation; would be more difficult to do as a free.
- Motion to approve budget funding of \$3,500 for Grad/Prof Committee Project. Second. Vote. 12-0-1 Passed.



<p>Update from CMED</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Many changes since Congress.• Getting more support directly from unions.• Support from Refund coalition (earlier presentation)• Work with leaders at all levels to get legislative commitment, and collect emails• Last year for Senate bill 241 the biggest challenge was the president pro-tem who did not want to provide any support• Really big political player (Tom Steyer) believes in an oil tax and will help get Congress to pass this.• Darrel Steinberg – listed oil severance tax as something we really need• Want to get a conference committee between both houses to expedite getting the bill passed by Congress.• Something that will be important is rallying student population.• 2/21 – Deadline for introduction of bills• Here is what they want; they can provide	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•
-------------------------	---	---



resources to do signature drive, or the proposed “One Million Strong Campaign” – social media awareness building and compilation of email database.

- This matters because, beyond those signatures necessary to put something on the ballot, it is possible to take advantage of that effort to generate a strong database for future support.
- Steyer will be supporting the entire thing.
- When this bill hits the senate floor, it will likely be after the June primary
- Also create a budget trailer bill; attach it to the Governor’s budget and allow it to be passed all at once
- So how can we all engage the student body?
- Proposes supporting the One Million Strong Campaign
- Really key that we get a lot of people out there.
- Since SLC changed dates, it would be difficult



	<p>to go for the March in March</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• There will be another board meeting before it goes to governance and finance• Safeena: SLC can be our contribution instead of the March on March• Please feel free to email with any questions	
Agenda Amendment	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Entertain motion to amend agenda so that all items originally for Sunday are taken care of today.• Motion. Passed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Passed: Motion to amend agenda to take care of Sunday items.
SLC Logistics Update + Workshops to Arrange	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Run through SLC logistics• Same hotel as prior years• Main deadlines: Feb 28 to finalize delegation numbers• March 7 to reserve a hotel room• March 14 workshop deadline• Registration Fee \$155• Single and double (\$101), for three (\$111), for four (\$121)• Whoever is registered as part of a room gets free breakfast	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keeping track of names and getting breakfast • Any questions? • Workshop ideas brainstorm 	
Legislative Stances	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Picked stances that may be significant with different support priorities • Support Priority 1: SLC platform and worth its own lobby visit • Support Priority 2: Possible part of SLC; one component of lobby visits • Support Priority 3: Letter from Kareem • Can motion to approve all at once, or motion one at a time. • Postsecondary Education: Equity in Higher Education Act • Health Data Organization • Brain Research: Funding Program • University of California: Medical Education • Electronic Disbursements of Student Financial Aid • Motion to approve all Leg Committee stances. Voted. Passed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Passed 13-0-0: Motion to approve all Leg Committee stances.



<p>A Resolution to Support the Creation of a New Master Plan for Higher Education for the State of California”</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Vanessa: Considering last regents meeting where UC president, along with regents, was discussing master plan, it is a good time to show we also support creation of a new master plan.• Outdated and does not account for new CA demographic• In 1960s it was normal to go through CC system and transfer to 4 year university; present day it is normalized to achieve 4 year acceptance• Applicant pool in original master plan guaranteed top 5% of CA high school grades a spot in the UC; but the population has expanded and takes account of several issues• Thus it is essential to incorporate important issues in the new master plan• Safeena: Amendment to strike a non-UCSA relevant• Motion to approved document with amendment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Passed 11-0-2. Proposal as amended.
--	--	---



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vanessa: motion to amend again. Passed. • All those in favor of approving resolution as amended. Vote. Passes 	
<p>Discussion on Vote Website</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UCSA used to have a link to voter registration website. • Unknown if still up or can not find it, so wondering if possible to put it back up or suggestions to track website • Need to buy the website back or putting it on website. • Motion to put reinstate vote service on website. Seconded. No objections. Passed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Passed. Motion to put reinstate vote service on website.
<p>“A Resolution to Create the UC Caucus Student Committee”</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overview then resolution • Started one year ago • Idea is to create a proactive dialogue with a caucus that has a vested interest in the UC • Why? Pell Grant and Cal Grant funding. • Want to advocate for issues before too late. • Last year started outreach at Leg Con 2013 • Due to lack of availability, searched out for extensions of people who may be interested 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fails. 9-5-0. Motion to adopt resolution as amended.



in the legislature. Got Ami Bera (D-7) and Jeff Denham (R-10).

- Goal is not only to advocate for proactive dialogue on issues, but also to ensure students have a say.
- Create committee comprised of one graduate and one undergraduate, Student Regent and Student Regent Designate, and UCSA President and Board Chair.
- Amendment proposed. Seconded. No objections.
- Amendment proposed so that membership invitations are extended to the UC Student Regent and UC Student Regent-Designate. Seconded. No Objections.
- Amendment to phrase electing chair at the beginning of the academic year. Seconded. No objections.
- Kareem: Concerned we are creating an extra body for issues instead of simply adding a new agenda item or delegating to CSF.



- Maryssa: better use to already work within the structure that already exists; we would be adding more bureaucracy.
- Safeena: purpose is to focus specifically on national issues and national policy recommendations
- Purpose is to look at federal issues; caucus itself will function on its own and students will have a say in issues
- This resolution would use UCSA for structural integrity.
- Eliot: worried about last clause that makes UCCSA seem subservient to UCSA
- Motion to amend/strike last clause of the bill. Seconded. No objections. Passed.
- Kareem: seems like an extension of leg committee; conversation could get nasty quick if we do not know where everything stands; it has been rough in the past
- Ivan: might be good to table discussion and think about it; how time sensitive is this



	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Next idea was for an inaugural event, so it was somewhat time sensitive.• Motion to adopt resolution as amended. Seconded. Vote. Motion fails 9-5-0.	
Roll Call	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• San Diego<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (P)○ GSA (A)• Irvine<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (P)○ GSA (P)• UCLA (P)• Riverside<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (P)○ GSA (P)• Santa Barbara<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (P)○ GSA (A)• Merced<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (P)○ GSA (P)• Berkeley<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (P)○ GSA (P)• Davis<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ GSA (P)• Santa Cruz<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (P)○ GSA (P)• San Fran<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (A)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 15 present.



	<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ GSA (P)	
Motion to Adjourn	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Motion passed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•