



Date: May 4, 2013

Participants: Absent:

Meeting starting and ending times: 9:23 AM Start; 4:57 PM End

Meeting location or format: UC San Francisco

Facilitator(s):

Note taker: Lewis Luartz

Agenda Item	Notes	Action item(s)
Roll Call	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• San Diego<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (A)○ GSA (A)• Irvine<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ GSA (P)• UCLA (A)• Riverside<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (P)○ GSA (P)• Santa Barbara<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (P)○ GSA (A)• Merced<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (P)○ GSA (P)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 10 present



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Berkeley <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ AS (P) ◦ GSA (A) • Davis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ GSA (P) • Santa Cruz <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ AS (P) ◦ GSA (P) • San Fran <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ AS (A) ◦ GSA (A) 	
Approval of agenda	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion to approve agenda • Personal privilege: get to board meetings early 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Passed
Presidents Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLC went well overall • Meetings with board members and Napolitano; supportive, especially on safety and mental health; brought up several issues and for prop 13 she would get us in touch with campaign planners to get the groundwork in • Anyone else want to say something? • Jen: She was very supportive of the initiatives and so we should get to work on that • Safeena: want to add: best part of the meeting was something related to legislation and political action; she was passionate about it and had a lot of information we didn't have • Looking at meeting again over the summer; planning to invite her to our September board meeting • Marcy is here now; she's great 	



	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Joint lobby day with the regents coming up• Spoke with people at state government relations at office of the president; good group of people coming• Any questions, comments, or concerns?	
Board Chair's Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Met with Louise twice: personnel and bylaws• Name of bylaw change and page on them• Went to the Napolitano meeting• Also personnel phone call	
Secretary Finance Officer	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Worked on the budget with Marcy• Helped prepare the minutes from the SLC meeting although I was not there• Invited to a Google Hangout with Janet Napolitano but could not make it due to a class	
Campus Reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• UCSC: thank you all for supporting our campus; contentious meeting with vice chancellor; asked for resignation; she has truncated and delayed that meeting; chief of police refusing to meet with the GSA right now• UCI Grad: First AGS symposium as campus professional development attempt; have lobby material documenting our experience for the year; happy to give those documents to anyone who may be interested• Cal AS: since SLC, had IGNITE conference; event on alternatives to prison pipeline; it was on alternatives to it, including empowerment, mentorship, making it to higher	

education; gathered high school students from the East Bay and turnout was 60 people; there's a lot of potential for this in future years and it was pretty cool, so perhaps other campuses may want to do this; over 200 calls from Berkeley

- UCSB GSA: will write a formal statement on what happened; gathered multiple police agencies and several people, including state reps, looking to make Deltopia a sanctioned event so it's safer; invited to talk to Tom Syer in Santa Barbara, and can report back if board wants; office is putting on forum on revitalization of California Master Plan; also call-in for SB 1017 went went.
- USSA: starting a campaign: YOUSSA for sharing experiences with USSA; starting tomorrow; Congress starts soon and early registration is May 1-9th; it will be at Irvine; board meeting will be in Milwaukee at the end of the month; couple of job openings in USSA right now plus internships for the summer
- UCR AS: finished elections; week of consciousness starting tomorrow; campus climate tensions are ugly right now so there will be workshops on the importance of language; will be doing a happy video with students on campus; and on week 8 will be having and IGNITE event and a movie screening on political prisoners, plus workshops on how to interact with police; Riverside will be hosting



	<p>board retreat in June 25-28; looking into getting dorms</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•	
Staff Reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Marcy: first report; introduction; coming up May 9th; thank you to Louise; fortunate to have Louise at the helm; reaching out to the board for lessons learned, thoughts, etc. moving forward; the big event in April was SLC; Paul will be discussing the culmination of the survey report later on; been learning a lot of the infrastructure and making sure UCSA works well, and passing on authorizations to her; preliminary planning on Congress, especially because of the large board turnover.• Student Regents: not present• CSF: referenda campaign – problems with referenda so we're thinking of drawing up some understandings and agreements on referenda as being developed so that they can have specific clauses in it, so they're efficient, etc., and by doing so we can give it a stamp of approval and make it a faster system; so far haven't found any issues with student services fees; want to incorporate this information on the student advisory fee committee; campus SFACs will be looking at benefits taking up a large portion of student services fees (want to know what the relationships are); elected a new chair who will be taking office on June 15 from UCI; will have transition meetings with Louise and Marcy	



	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Council of Presidents: quick updates, UC President passionate prop 13 conversations, Council of Presidents will be meeting with UC President; first of undocumented training for staff at Berkeley, asked for middle management and UCPD to attend, and it worked really well; the next meeting to be at UCI; will be launching an undocumented students systemwide support website, it will have information for current and future students; UC President will also establish a group made up of students and faculty to work on a conference in the Fall; also met with student veterans on best practice sharing; met at Riverside on Friday on best practices; Red (retention), White(campus services), and Blue (postgrad transition) program; acknowledges relationship with UCSA and UCOP would not be what it is without Louise Hendrickson; partnership with the regents is much better now, with the Student Observers to the Regents and the Regent Stars program are a testament to that	
Budget Update	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Documents in front of you; budget review through April• Budget vs Actuals and Balance Sheet• BvA: color coding may help; YTD actual (beige), Budget (blue), and Variance (green)• Campus Dues: large outstanding dues since Fall 2013; will work closely with Lewis to work out a couple things: are we sending it to the correct person, is the address	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Withdrawal of up to \$2k for insurance passed.

correct? Trying to make it as easy as possible for the accounting offices to pay the fees on time; in first big column on income, almost \$300k outstanding; would like to close that up before the year; especially with Board Retreat and Congress

- SLC: came in under-budget, which is great
- Good news: projection towards Congress that our host hotel and accommodations will likely be over-budget, so we will try to make it work; Congress in Oakland August 13-17
- On expenses, we're underspent YTD but only because SLC bills are still being reconciled
- In terms of day to day expenditure we're tracking appropriately to the year
- Accounts Receivable: mostly fees and fee revenue that has been invoiced and not received; real assets at the moment are just the bank accounts; liabilities and outstanding debts low right now; turn around payments policy well before due dates so as to not accrue interest payments
- In reviewing insurance package and risk management: we're become a 501(c)(3) charity, and it is important for officers and staff to be protected against risk (directors and officers insurance); an appropriate and usually required insurance product to have; currently out to bid



	<p>with insurance on organization; it would protect against lawsuits; would probably pay for the premium partially, not necessarily right now; these are funds not currently in budget</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • So would like to request this money for our budget. • Louise: why we don't have this yet? We thought we did according to last ED, but looking it over realized it was not in the policy. General liability and insurance will all get moved into one. • Motion to approve withdrawal of up to \$2k for insurance. Seconded. Approved. 	
<p>UCSA Website Updates and Calendar Proposal</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Glitch on website fixed • Alex: Suggestion to perhaps implement calendar with Google; will we be keeping this up to date however? • Bridget: I would be keeping this up to date • Vanessa: hesitant to let anyone edit the calendar; the board should send events; any way to incorporate the calendar with iCal or Google Calendar so people can download the events • Motion to provide \$420 maximum for website update. Seconded. Passed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide \$420 maximum for website update. Passed.
<p>Discussion on SCA 5</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How should we move forward since the new board will soon transition? • Jen: clarification: if we're fighting Prop 209 we won't win that fight; if we're fighting the voters of California, we 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Task Sean and Bridget to present information for the new board to be presented on in June. Passed

need to win them over to change anything; Affirmative Action still a large debate at universities

- Tony: clarification on how close the policies are to each other?
- Jason: confusion on what would happen if passed.
- Eric Heng: one of the questions that have been asked: have we ever been sued for Prop 209? Since no, then we haven't been pushing that enough. What can we do more of to push things forward, especially on the outreach side; been leaning on campuses more to determine what the campuses could be doing more; personal opinion is that since students have a strong voice that students should look into having a receptive conversation on this topic
- Kareem: clarification: understand that the ban occurred because of the way it was brought up
- Jason: Supreme Court case would only rally people, not necessarily block it
- Jen: still question of a voter initiative being pushed in that conflicts with another voter initiative that hasn't been repealed
- Vanessa: UCSA needs to work on its message of SCA 5 as well since the language is not necessarily accurate; we need to make clear that it will not repeal Prop 209
- Alex: need to consider not just the legislative aspect of



	<p>SCA 5, but also the judicial aspect</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safeena: definitely something to task to Sean and Bridget that perhaps breaks down specifics of SCA 5 • Alex C: Affirmative Action not just about admissions, but SCA 5 only targets admission; been trying to scan information and Supreme Court case in response to UMich not complying with voter initiative. • Kareem: two parts: we want to consider the long term, but we also want to look into an education campaign (organization now); there's an urgent need to get people serious and focusing on the coming year • Jen: should look into contacting student regent as she is a legal scholar. • Disha: can't make final decisions since we'll have a new board soon • Safeena: move to task Sean and Bridget to present information for the new board to be presented on in June. • Clarification: this will provide them with information to make an informed decision. • No objections. Passed. 	
<p>Bylaw updates</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion to approve first bylaws document as amended. Passed. • Motion to extend time by 10 minutes. Passed. • Motion to approve bylaw document as amended. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First bylaw document approved as amended. Passed. • Motion to approve bylaw document as amended. Passed.



	<p>Passed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion to extend time by 10 minutes. Passed. • Motion to approve policy document as a whole. Passed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion to approve policy document as a whole. Passed.
CMED Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Note Senate Bill 1017 • Going forward with the bill • LA Times Article: http://www.latimes.com/local/political/la-me-pc-calif-oil-tax-survives-first-senate-panel-vote-20140424,0,7266929.story#axzz30lzAvZ84 	
AFSCME	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not coming to meeting; nothing to report. 	
Voting Rights of UCSF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Associations have combined. • Will have one government GPSA • Will have two EVPs. • Combined fees will be paid. • EVPs will be voting on undergrad and grad perspectives respectively • Would be an exception in the bylaws if allowed. • Jason: If we do nothing, then they remain 1 vote? • Safeena: Yes. • Kareem: if we do this by fees, then Santa Barbara or Riverside would have a disproportionate amount of votes. • Eliot: Representation depends on the charter. • Jen: We can't look at the number of representatives as being graduate/professional or undergraduates. 	



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Darcie: there is a bias against Graduate/Professional students on the board in spite of no real reason why. Graduate/Professional students do not hate Undergraduates for any reason. • Kareem: supporting the status quo but we need to think of a long term solution for this. • Safeena: To clarify, unless there is a motion on the board, which would have to be that UCSF will have only 1 vote on the board, then the status quo will be maintained. The status quo is that UCSF has 2 votes, 1 for their professional EVP and 1 for their graduate EVP. • Kareem: that ends the conversation unless there is a motion then. Orders of the day. 	
<p>May Lobbying Update / Talking Points Review</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Book flights ASAP through UCOP; if better for you, contact Louise. • Will follow up with hotel information. 	
<p>Legislative Stances</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All four bills (AB 1538 in opposition, AB 1976 Support, AB 2160 Support, AB 2377 Support): • San Diego <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ AS (Y) ◦ GSA () • Irvine <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ GSA (Y) • UCLA () • Riverside <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ AS (Y) ◦ GSA (Y) • Santa Barbara 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Passed: AB 1538 in opposition, AB 1976 Support, AB 2160 Support, AB 2377 Support)



	<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (Y)○ GSA (I)• Merced<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (Y)○ GSA (Y)• Berkeley<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (Y)○ GSA (I)• Davis<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ GSA (Y)• Santa Cruz<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (I)○ GSA (Y)• San Fran<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ AS (I)○ GSA (Y)	
Adjourned	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adjourned at 4:57 PM	