Saturday, September 7th 2013

Started 9:13 AM -- Call to Order: Roll Call

Call undergrad to grad (10 minimum)

- San Diego
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Present)
- Irvine
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Present)
- UCLA (Present)
- Riverside
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Present)
- Santa Barbara
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Present)
- Merced
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Present)
- Berkeley
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Present)
- Davis
  - GSA (Present)
- Santa Cruz
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Absent)
- San Fran
  - AS (Absent)
  - GSA (Present)

- Updated Agenda Sent
- Student Regents need to leave early today
- Initially discussion of Napolitano later; SR need to leave by 2; question of leaving it up and switching with lunch?
- Motion to move Napolitano conversation to 12:45-1:14, pushing lunch back until then
- 14-0-1 – Agenda Amended
Motion to approve agenda – seconded
11-0-1 Motion passes
Minutes from Last meeting – Approval
Move to approve minutes
14-0-1 motion carries

9:17 AM -- Reports
President: followed up with fossil for UC campaign
- Hold off for campaign
- Conference calls
- Finalized AB 970
- Attended UCSA Alumni Mixer
- TICAS to reduce OP-ED on bill for governor

Board Chair:
- Catching up, reading, transition
- Projects – report PDF explaining most used parts and basics of Robert’s Rules, Bylaws, Charter
- Hiring – Leg position opened up

Finance Officer/Secretary:
- Budget updates/organization
- Process for transparency

Campuses
- None

Staff Reports:
- Louise new Interim Executive Director
- Communications Director Bridgette introduced
- Julian sick – Amanda leaving
- Short staffed at the moment

Student Regents:
- Cinthia (Regent)
- Sadia (Regent-Designate)
  - C – president elect first day October 1st; will not be at September Regents meeting due to elect status
    - want to meet with Napolitano ASAP
    - Interest in campus tour – schedule unknown
    - Suggestions for discussion: format of meeting with Napolitano, questions to ask
    - Suggest her impact on student leaders
      - Wide perspective on students as a whole and not just student leaders
    - Working on IGNITE
    - Spoke with Peter Taylor – where money is, where it is coming form, mentioned/confirmed 10 million sitting in mortgage account
      - Original intent of money to help faculty mortgages
- Money has not been used so it will go back to General Funds (Unallocated)
- Person with direct oversight will be UC President Napolitano
- UC Diversity Pipeline within Prop 209
- Work with Jonathan Stein on Financial Aid – Yudof presented letter using some opinions in consideration; UC will continue using 10 year repayment period
  - Currently under premise that students pay within 10 years; considering 15 years, but backlash due to increased interest and inability to move forward
  - Based on income, family income, EFC, so university will be looking at it more comprehensively
  - Under premise that students work 15 hrs/week; grad loan repayment will not exceed expected percentage
  - Working towards implementing scholarships
  - Will NOT be expected 33% return to aid; problem: tuition increases guaranteed at a percentage to financial aid
    - University now trying to raise more private money
      - Good: no hardline on 33%; can fluctuate more or less than 33% if exceeding loan generation expectations
- Will be participating in PROMISE campaign platform
- Question brought up: logistics of 33% allocation to financial aid
- C – will be getting more information on logistics
- S – nothing to add

Clara – council of student fees
Summer transitional meeting same as board retreat, understand responsibilities
3 proposed campaigns
- report on student fee enforcement on campus – hoping to wrap up this year
- standardize the way report back happens; CSF has it hard due to non-standardized reports
- UCOP tax campaign – how student affairs units are being taxed – first meeting October

Council of Presidents: Deejay
- Retreat October 19th weekend
- UC PATH – concern on impact in the way elected officials paid in stipends
  - Foreseeing student Gov. on hourly basis, but doesn’t work out
- Thursday webinar – presidents feeling different about UC PATH; considering how to bring to campus to advertise or not advertise; no support or opposition just yet
• Call between Council of Presidents, Kareem, and Lewis on how to coordinate; occurred due to a call with Jarleena/UCOP; will be meeting as often as the past with UCOP
• Trying to get dates from UCOP/Chancellor’s office at each campus for campus tours before tour so planning can occur for campus visits
  o Encouraged to communicate with Chancellor’s office for dates
  o Pushing collectively to meet with Napolitano
  o Eric Heng from UCOP on the way

9:39 AM – Elections
Logistics – restrooms, water bottle areas, compostable items
Motion to recess for 5 minutes. Seconded, No Objections, Passed.
  • No Minutes collected.

9:46 AM – Elections Continued
  • No Minutes collected.

11:33 AM – IGNITE Resolution
  • Motion to move IGNITE Resolution until after Undergraduate Committee Breakout. Seconded. Clarified. Passed.

11:36 AM – UCOP Presentation on the Promise Platform* Jason Simon
  • Executive Director of Marketing Communications at UCOP
  • Purpose to raise dollars for undergrads
  • Overview:
    o Starts 9/18-10/31
    o Funds raised for undergraduates, need-based, California students
    o Pilot program: uncertain how much will be raised
      ▪ Entertainment Industry Fund (EIF) – celebrity and entertainment donations
      ▪ Promise videos
      ▪ Promise teaser site
      ▪ Target: getting 50-100 people at each campus before launching
        • Early social influence matters
        • Announcement on September 18
        • Individual campus plans

12:12 PM – Discussion on Promise Campaign platform.
  • UCSA should keep stand of state contributing to higher education.
  • Master plan should not necessarily be counted upon at this point.
  • The Promise campaign plan is not necessarily a good way to focus UCSA time.
  • Although a public university, this is one way to supplement the lack of funds.
This is an opportunity to lobby for additional funds. Symbolic move if we go with this Promise campaign platform plan. If we are a lobbying group as equal to other groups in Sacramento, then it should not have a UCSA label on it. Should be careful of the framing of the platform; if we did this we would have to frame it as a side project. Good to be critical, but having UCSA fully support this is the messaging behind this UCOP participation desired is building buzz, as targets are alumni and campus community; idea is not to have students raise a lot of money but build support for it – little fundraising by students. What are the benefits of putting UCSA logo? Distinction between UCSA support and UCSA involvement/promise. Bringing in strings of money is significant. Motion to recess until 12:45. No objections. Passed.

12:26-12:45 PM – Recess

12:47 PM -- Discussion Regarding Janet Napolitano
- Motion for speaker’s list. Seconded. Objected. Failed.
- Lack of speaker’s list feels disrespectful.
- Yielded to speaker. Undocumented students feel vote of no confidence due to massive deportations of family members and friends.
  - Influences feelings during school time and possibly performance.
  - Student voice issue as well; what does this say about our student voice/opinion? Where are we in terms of opposing Janet Napolitano?
- Motion fails to limit time to 1 minute per person.
- Napolitano as a military government employee; police brutality has shut down protests and gatherings; last Regents meeting example of this. History of the NSA, dangerous tactics that influence students negatively regardless of documentation status.
- Point of clarification: discussion until 1:30 PM.
- Demands for safety of undocumented students being sent to Napolitano regardless of UCSA sponsorship.
- Cinthia Flores: sanctuary campus idea – UC Police department take direct orders from Chancellors and subsequently from President, so idea is prevent UC police from being able to turn in those arrested to government for deportation/immigration enforcement.
- 9 demands sent out to board; request to go over each before discussion.
  - Authors looking over demands before continued discussion.
- Discussion on Napolitano coming to Students of Color Conference as she represents students.
- Amendments are to change the 2 day detainment wherein students could be deported; ICE cannot deport them.
- Focus on resolutions, not on feelings.
Looking at LGBTQ point; needs to be more focus on this point
- Deportation of LGBTQ students can possibly put those students in risk of death due to un-acceptance of these groups in countries
- Point of contention: less conjecture, more resolutions

- What groups are really affected? The language is not concise, clear, or in some cases accurate.
- We should be careful with what language we use in statements for/against Janet Napolitano
- Motion to extend time by 10 minutes. Seconded. Motion passes.
- Motion to remove “WHEREAS, the UC Regents appointment of Janet Napolitano jeopardizes queer, undocumented, womyn, and students of color academic freedom and safety,” Objection. Discussion opens.
- Motion to amend amendment of removal of “WHEREAS, the UC Regents appointment of Janet Napolitano jeopardizes queer, undocumented, womyn, and students of color academic freedom and safety,”
- Vote, motion fails.
- Point made that removing the amendment removes these groups from consideration.
- Motion to question. Passes.
- Vote on amendment. Motion fails.
- Modified Amendment
- Motion to extend time by 10 minutes. Seconded, passed.
- Motion for Tanaye’s amendment to the clause.
- Motion to table amendment.
- Point of clarification – what motions are on the table, and what each vote represents
  - 1 Proposed Amendment
  - 2 Tabling amendment
  - 3 Demand 6 and go over responses
- Motion to call into question amendment. Seconded. Voted, passed.
- Vote to accept amendment “WHEREAS, students from the queer, undocumented, womyn, and students of color communities have raised questions regarding Janet Napolitano’s approach to academic freedom and safety given her past history,”
- Vote, motion carries, amendment adopted.
- Motion on floor: postpone item until next board meeting. Objection. Opens discussion.
- Many items time sensitive.
- Motion to extend time by 3 minutes.
- Motion to amend table discussion until tomorrow. Objection due to full agenda Discussion.
- Motion to extend time 5 minutes until 2 pm.
- Eric Heng: uncertain what the first week will look like for Napolitano, but UCOP will receive demands.
- Recommendation to co-signing by individual members and then UCSA
• Voting to sign.
• Clarification that document will be sent regardless.
• Document is time sensitive.
• Clarification is to endorse 9 demands.
• Four points now
• Sign on Document with Resolutions.
• Sign on Resolutions only.
• Sign on to letter.
• Demand 6.
• Motion to recess for 2 minutes to clarify demands. Second, Pass.
• Recess until 2:09 pm.

2:09 pm -- Clarification:
• Vote on 9 demands only.
• Vote of Demand 6.
• Read letter today and vote on signing letter by tomorrow.
• All votes done by tomorrow/this board meeting.
• Motion to vote on time sensitive motions. Seconded. Will be voted on after tabling.
• Vote to postpone until next board meeting. Fails.
• Next motion to split up 9 demands and vote on time sensitive demands today.
• Clarification: 1, 2, 3 are time sensitive. So voting will be split will between 1-3, 4-9.
• Clarification: how do authors feel about voting on split?
• Confusing on why split is being proposed; reasoning is time limitations.
• Restatement: motion is to split 1-3 and 4-9. Vote, motion fails.
• Motion on floor is to table 9 demands until October meeting. Roll call vote.
• San Diego
  o AS (Yes)
  o GSA (Yes)
• Irvine
  o AS (No)
  o GSA (Yes)
• UCLA (Yes)
• Riverside
  o AS (Yes)
  o GSA (Yes)
• Santa Barbara
  o AS (Yes)
  o GSA (Yes)
• Merced
  o AS (Yes)
  o GSA (No)
• Berkeley
• Davis
  o GSA (No)
• Santa Cruz
  o AS (Yes)
  o GSA (Absent)
• San Fran
  o AS (Absent)
  o GSA (Yes)
• Motion Passes
• Motion on floor demand 6:
  o 6. Although we recognize her previous support for DACA (temporary “relief”) and the Federal Dream Act (which did not pass), we demand that the UCSA board openly take a stance against her appointment and acknowledge the pain she has caused family and friends of the undocumented UC students and community.
• Motion fails.
• Point of clarification: voting on Demand 6.
• Called orders of the day at 2:30 pm.
• Continuing to next item.

2:34 PM -- Fund Our Future Campaign History & Update

Kelly Osajima

• Powerpoint – prop 13 reform
  o Quick review – 1975 levels of property tax for homeowners.
    ▪ This includes corporations (ex. Disneyland), but includes others such as Chevron.
• Commercial property loophole is a main reason why California has divested from higher education.
• Passage of prop 13 has led to budget cuts in higher education.
• Prop 13 reform campaign
  o Reforming will bring 6 billion dollars to CA Higher Education.
  o Large support for prop 13 reforms.
  o Voter base has seen negative impact of Prop 13, so large base of support
  o Goals
    ▪ 1. Educate students
    ▪ 2. Educate on demands
    ▪ 3. Work to build coalition on this issue.
• Able to get UCSA into coalitions of labor unions, educators, and nonprofits last year
• Prop 30 was a short-term solution; Prop 13 is the long-term goal.
• UCSA will not be working on this issue alone.
• EVOLVE got CA Dem party to support Prop 13 reform.
2:50 PM -- Undergraduate and Graduate Committee Breakouts and Campaign Planning
  - UG Committee will meet in Anna Head Alumnae Hall
  - Grad/ Prof Committee will meet in Anthony Hall

5:50 PM -- Leg/ UA/ CAC Breakouts
  Leg Committee will meet in Anthony Hall
  CAC Committee will meet in Anna Head Conference Room
  UA Committee will meet in Anna Head Alumnae Hall

7:20 PM – Discussion on ACR 76

7:35 PM -- Announcements

12:45 PM -- Systemwide Affairs Committee
8:24 PM -- Call to Order (10 minimum)

- San Diego
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Absent)
- Irvine
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Absent)
- UCLA (Present)
- Riverside
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Present)
- Santa Barbara
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Present)
- Merced
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Present)
- Berkeley
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Present)
- Davis
  - Grad (Present)
- Santa Cruz
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Absent)
- San Fran
  - AS (Absent)
  - GSA (Present)

Motion to Amend Agenda (move AFSCME 3299 with Feedback from Congress).
Seconded, passed

8:32 AM -- A Resolution in Support of California Sunshine in Campaigns Act*
Maryssa Hall

Supporting Documents: Sunshine in Campaigns Act

- More spent on campaign finance, the more educated voters are.
- Spending money on one politician is often seen as corruption.
- Controversial but even if they were more stringent, they would still be looked at negatively because they involve 'big money'
- Supporting this after looking at more research is crucial; member looked into this problem because money buys access
- Money buys access but not votes; it keeps natural, existing allies
- Transparency is important and non-disclosure is bad; should be penalized
- If no more discussion, moving into vote.
- Motion for voting on resolution. Motion carries, resolution passed.

8:40 AM -- UCSA Budget Update (Safeena/Kareem taking minutes)
Feedback on transparency:
- Budget drafts are confidential until they are voted on by the Board
- How beneficial is transparency, but also, how does transparency hurt us?
- People don’t really look at the budget.
- Level of detail should be based on legal standard; Drafts should be (and can be) as detailed as the board wants.
- Deadline of September 30th for suggestions on what board members want to see in the budget during the next board meeting. These suggestions can be for as much detail as the board wants, pending availability of information.

What do people want to see?
- Why is there such a disparity in the budget for the conferences—especially between SOCC and the other conferences, when SOCC is so large comparable to the other conferences?
- Lewis: Why doesn’t UCSA give more money to conferences? Where can we find more money?
- Lack of staffing on the whole
- Can we see the new budget by next month?
- Can we get past data of how much SOCC has cost?
- Julian’s raise
- UCSA should play a larger investment role
- SEND Lewis budget policy recommendations by September 30th to see them for the next BOD meeting.
- IGNITE money; agenda item next month, talk to IGNITE folks about a proposed budget and how to spend that money
- Rent—how does that work?
- Line by line budget to come from IGNITE

9:34 AM – Discussion on UC Voice
Kyle Heiskala
- Bringing the campuses here, and bringing the board to the campuses
- What is the best way for UC students to communicate and share ideas?
- Is it possible to communicate better?
- Are there ways that we can improve how we organize ourselves?
- Larger question: What is our purpose as students?
- “Improve the world”
- How? Communication, collaboration, cooperation
- How do we organize students today?
  - Facebook
What can we use to better communicate, collaborate, and achieve our goals?

We use these tools already, but there should be better ways to do this:

- grassroots?
  - Time, energy, resources
  - Problem? We don’t have unlimited resources; we need to maximize what we have

How? The Internet! But with all the noise online, how can we do this?

Idea: UC Voice

- Ability to upload issues onto the website and phrase as questions for additional feedback
- Any issues important to students can be uploaded

This page would be different from the website itself as it is specific to issues

At UCSD, there is already a verification system in place that has worked; this would be implemented into UC Voice.

Students can log in, view questions, check votes, etc.

Results can be recorded and charts developed based on opinions.

Large and difficult communication barrier between campuses and within state; purpose here is to shrink those variables with this website.

Questions: Does UCSA want to do this?

UCSA has a contract and the Communications Director, plus the website is in Wordpress, so implementing UC Voice is possible

Two ways around login/IT issues; campus lending IT support, or using campus specific tags (email addresses) for login purposes.

Additional means of logging in are possible via EVPs

Important point is giving this option to students.

10:00 AM -- Hiring Update, ED Hire Timeline, Personnel Policy Update

Safeena Mecklai and Louise Hendrickson

- Offer will be made by Tuesday.
- At least 20 applications so far
- September 10th for review of applications
- Hoping to have that person in by October, although if we are not comfortable with the pool we can extend it.

ED/Personnel Policy Updates:

- Severely outdated and incorrect information in job descriptions, salaries, and other issues

Series of personnel policy change recommendations sent out by Katie

To hire for new jobs, job descriptions must be updated or created

To do:
  - Update personnel policy within next month (with feedback)
  - Approve in October
• Open for one month.
• Open hiring in November.
• Extend applications pool if/when necessary after first cut.
• Issue of moving Julian’s salary to the same pay scale as other directors (not Executive Director), plus a bonus for the work he has done.
• Mandatory update of personnel policy will likely take us to November, and the opening of positions would have to be 30 days after posting personnel policy.
• Will be looking this over in October meeting with a proposed recommendation.

10:21 AM – Discussion on Location of April Board Meeting
• 3 campuses not hosting
  o UC Irvine
  o UC Santa Barbara
  o UC Davis
• Motion to hold April Board Meeting at UC Irvine. Seconded, voted. Motion carries.
  o April 2014 Board meeting will be held at UC Irvine
  o SLC scheduled to be March 1-3
  o March 8-10 is an alternative
  o April 5-7 is an alternative

10:30 AM – Internship Tax Credit Resolution*
• There is an internship problem right now across the nation, with several court cases/lawsuits in which companies take advantage of interns and violate the
• The tax credit is a state-wide tax credit for businesses and employers that pay their college interns, and interns with any outstanding student loan debt, at the minimum wage ($8 per hour)
• What do you see the role of UCSA moving forward?
  o Wants letter to state legislators urging them to take the issue on; i.e., our demands with details
• Important because there it is not a mandatory minimum wage, but an attractive option for companies; interns can still be unpaid
• Amendments proposed: to change ‘proportion to ‘number’, and ‘have not’ to have not been’
  o WHEREAS, a large number of employers across the country have failed5 to provide their unpaid interns with working conditions that are subjected to the Fair Labor Standards Act’s six criteria on unpaid internships6;
  o WHEREAS, unpaid internships have not been proven to provide college graduates in general an overall advantage in the pursuit of a job, given that college graduates who have worked as unpaid interns are significantly less likely to receive a job offer than college graduates that worked as paid interns4;
• Personal privilege – in the future, maybe use Google Docs
• Motion to approve amendments; seconded, voted. Motion passed.
• Discussion on how UCSA supports ideas vs. bills; we can support the idea but would need to draft a bill
• Formally urging the Governor via a letter, making it clear we are pursuing this idea.
• Responsibility of writing campus resolutions; taking language from proposal and let campuses decide on the wording for the resolve
• Motion to extend time by 5 minutes.
• Suggestions to include more language on student workers
• Proposed amendment: to exclude the sentence “and most likely reduce California’s overall demand for federal student loans;”
• Vote, amendment fails.
• Proposed amendment: changing language “by no later than November 1st, 2013” to a later time/date; specifically November 30th, 2013.
• Seconded, objected and discussion. Suggested to November 14th, 2013
• Vote on amendment; amendment passes.
• Vanessa: Would like more numbers on Grad student/advanced degree debt included
• Motion to include “including graduate and undergraduate students”.
• Seconded, voted, motion carries
• Motion to delete the word ‘college’ in “LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that all of UCSA’s campus representatives present a resolution to their college student governments that calls for the establishment of their government’s commitment…”
• Motion to add graduate amendment: “Whereas the national average student loan debt for graduate and professional students is 54,740 dollars;”
• Seconded, voted. Motion carries
• Motion to extend time by 2 minutes. Passed
• Proposed amendment: Change ‘House’ to ‘Assembly’ in “Speaker of the House John Pérez”
• Seconded, voted, passed.
• Motion to pass resolution as amended; seconded, voted, motion passed.
• Recommendation to do feedback from Congress over email, and move onto SLC date now.
• Motion to use this time to discuss SLC dates and do Congress feedback online.
• Seconded, voted, passed.

11:16 AM – Discussion on SLC dates
• Recommendation to keep SLC dates as planned due to quarter system dates
• UCSB planning to have lobby information meetings on campus
• UCLA also has steps leading up to SLC and, due to structure of terms, it would be hard to have meeting in April
• It takes time to prepare and educate students for lobby
• We may not want to be in the position of giving our opinions last; will officials start to move forward without our opinion?
• The earlier we make our demands, the more time we have to polish what we want.
• Legislators last year stated that the opinion was appreciated and they would be looked into, but that more time would have helped allow for additional pushing for revising
• Time in winter quarter is divided by different priorities, but having SLC in a different quarter can help us focus and push.
• Community College org already voted on March
• Students didn’t feel they had sufficient background, and legislators needed more time to go over bills.
• Students who would be lobbying for SLC at some campuses may opt to stay on campus due to elections
• Often what is lobbied for is drastically different from what is decided upon due to time constraints/restraints.
  o Last year, talking points were prepared before even board issues to be lobbied upon were prepared.
• Motion to move SLC to April; seconded, objection, move to vote. Motion fails.
• Motion to keep SLC on March 1-3, seconded, move to vote. Motion fails.
• Entertaining a motion to check with hotel on available dates; possible to look at Alternative Hotels as well.
• Motion to extend time by one minute. Seconded. Voted. Passed.
• Motion to check with hotel on available dates (sent to Amanda). Second. Vote. Passed.

11:30 AM – CUCSA
• Objective is to introduce itself to UCSA and students.
• Proximate cause is budget letter with a paragraph that nonrepresented staff are little paid and not a significant influence on education.
• Speak on behalf of staff on admin
• Meet with UCOP, Regents, and various dignitaries
• Made up of 27 members, 3 officers, and 2 delegates
• Delegates serve for 2 years, while chair-elect becomes chair
• This population of staff (PSS) is a significant portion of campus staff; day to day work is a large part of staff work.
• Most of staff on campus are nonrepresented staff, except with campuses with medical centers (wherein staff are more represented).
• How to recognize staff on campus?
• They work in protective services, communications arts and graphics, food and linen services, student services, physical management, health services, among others.
• Main point: introductions, significant portion of staff on campus are nonrepresented and help faculty and students.
• Lot of opportunities for partnerships with circumstances, such as new UC President coming in.
• How can we tell the difference between represented (unionized) and nonrepresented staff?
  o Hard to tell; only real way is to look up staff classification on campuses and determine from there.
• UCOP funds travel and food for CUCSA, it funds one delegate to travel to campuses, and campuses are expected to fund the other delegate.
• CUCSA has minutes of meetings online
• UC Accountability Report has information on ethnographic breakdown; Workforce Breakdown has information from presentation
• CUCSA does not negotiate with UCOP; it facilitates discussion but no bargaining power

Motion to consider SB 105. Seconded. Vote. Motion passed.

12:07 PM—SB 105 Consideration - IGNITE Resolution
• Important to oppose Governor Brown’s SB 105 resolution
• First few clauses talk of prison populations.
• Followed by student to prison pipelines
• Followed by roundabout actions by Governor Brown.
• Purpose is to take a stand against SB 105 only at the moment
• Approach/Demands:
  o **THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the UCSA Board of Directors strongly opposes SB 105; and
  o **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that UCSA urges lawmakers to consider legislative solutions that actively recognize, and follow, the optimal ways of reducing recidivism; and
  o **BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED** that the UCSA President, Legislative Chair, and Legislative Vice-Chair will jointly draft a letter to Governor Brown, Senator Steinberg, and Speaker Perez that clearly articulates the issues that preclude SB 105 from being a reasonable, judicious, and productive approach to overcrowding in California’s prisons.

• End Goal is to get Governor Brown to the table to discuss this.
• Citations missing; can be taken from IGNIRE campaign information because they were used to build document.
• Bill based on morals, which makes it difficult to propose.
• Proposed Amendment: to change: “**BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED** that the UCSA President, Legislative Chair, and Legislative Vice-Chair will jointly draft a letter to Governor Brown, Senator Steinberg, and Speaker Perez that clearly articulates the issues that preclude SB 105 from being a reasonable, judicious, and productive approach to overcrowding in California’s prisons, and that the State should redirect money to higher education.”
• Seconded, Voted, motion carries.
This is part of a larger discussion; a small piece to the larger picture that provides context.

Motion to end discussion and call to question the document; voted, failed given Bruno has amendments.

Motion to extend time by 5 minutes. Seconded. Passed.

Proposed amendment: to change ‘citizen’ to ‘people’ in 3rd Whereas point:
- “WHEREAS, California spends more per person to incarcerate its people than it does to educate them, at $47,102 per inmate compared to $8,482 per student, ranking 49th in the nation in adjusted per pupil expenditures; and”

Proposed amendment: to change 6th clause to:
- “WHEREAS, Senate Bill 105, supported by Governor Jerry Brown, would seek to evade the process of freeing prisoners as per the Supreme Court’s orders by shipping prisoners out of state to private prisons and leasing new prison areas; and”

Motion to pass amendments. Seconded, voted, passed. Motion carries.

Motion to pass bill as amended. Seconded, voted, passed. Motion carries.

12:22 PM -- Open Access California

Meredith Niles

Supporting Documents: CA_Open_Access (2).pdf

- AB 609 would say that if you receive money from California for your research, after one year it would be made available in a public repository/for free.
- California would be the first if this bill passes; although it is moving forward federally, no state has taken a stance yet.
- Publishers came up en masse against this bill in spite of no demonstrated loss to publishers.
- Hoping to increase conversation on AB 609 and increase support
- E-Scholarship relation? It is a public repository where a paper can be placed to become open access.
  - Faculty can put papers in this database.
- This extends beyond just faculty, which already has an open access policy, to everyone since the money used is taxpayer money
- No strong opposition by faculty.
- UC as a blanket is not considered in this policy
- Supplemental information will be collected from Meredith and emailed out.

12:37 PM – Brief announcement brief announcement from UCLA regarding students of color conference.
- Want to increase students and groups of students coming this year

Final Roll Call:

- San Diego
- Irvine
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Absent)
- UCLA
  - AS (Present)
- Riverside
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Absent)
- Santa Barbara
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Present)
- Merced
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Present)
- Berkeley
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Absent)
- Davis
  - Grad (Present)
- Santa Cruz
  - AS (Present)
  - GSA (Absent)
- San Fran
  - AS (Absent)
  - GSA (Absent)